

Biopharma Analysis Guide



MilliporeSigma is the U.S. and Canada Life Science business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany.



Table of contents

| 1. | In | troduction | 03 |
|----|-----|---|------|
| 2. | 0 | verview of the Analytical Workflow | 05 |
| 3. | Pe | eptide Mapping Applications (Bottom-Up Analysis) | 06 |
| | 1. | An Optimized Protocol for Peptide Mapping of Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibodies with Minimum Deamidation and Oxidation Artifacts | 08 |
| | 2. | Teriparatide Peptide Mapping Analysis by LC-UV-Mass Spectrometry | 14 |
| | 3. | UHPLC-MS Bottom-Up Analysis of Trastuzumab on a BIOshell [™] A160 Peptide C18 Column | 25 |
| | 4. | Protein Fingerprinting of a Viral Vector, AAV5 | 27 |
| 4. | In | tact/Middle Up Analysis of A Monoclonal Antibody | 35 |
| | 1. | Analysis of Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibody Trastuzumab using BIOshell™ A400 Protein C4 Column | 37 |
| | 2. | UHPLC-MS Middle-Up and Intact Analysis of Trastuzumab on a BIOshell™ IgG 1000 Å Diphenyl Column | 40 |
| | 3. | Charge Variant Analysis (CVA) with Strong Cation Exchange and MS Compatible Buffers | 42 |
| | 4. | Protocol for Purification, Optional Reduction, and SEC-MS Analysis of a Monoclonal Antibody | 45 |
| | 5. | Middle-up Mass Analysis of Protease Digested Cetuximab | 54 |
| | 6. | Workflow for High-Throughput Glycoprofiling of Rituximab | 66 |
| | 7. | Middle-Up Analysis of Antibodies by Reversed-Phase Chromatography | 74 |
| | 8. | Released N-linked Glycan Analysis Workflow of Adalimumab | 77 |
| 5. | Ех | cipients & Impurities Analysis | 85 |
| | 1. | Workflow for the Analysis of Polysorbate 80 in Erbitux [®] Formulation | 87 |
| | 2. | Workflow for Antibody Aggregate and Fragment Analysis | 91 |
| 6. | Oli | igonucleotide Analysis | 96 |
| | 1. | Analysis of Oligonucleotides by SEC-MALS | 98 |
| | 2. | Analysis of Oligonucleotide Standard 6 Mix by Liquid Chromatography-UV | 101 |
| 7. | То | ools For Successful Biopharmaceutical Analysis | .105 |
| | 1. | U/HPLC Columns for Biochromatography Applications | 106 |
| | 2. | Biopharma Reference Materials | 108 |
| 8 | ۸r | pendix - Additional Applications for Your Consideration | 108 |

Introduction

The importance of therapeutic antibodies

Antibodies play a critical role in drug therapies, offering a wide range of benefits in the field of medicine. These proteins, produced by the immune system in response to foreign substances, have the remarkable ability to recognize and bind to specific targets. In drug therapies, antibodies can be harnessed for various purposes. These biomolecules have the ability to directly neutralize pathogens, preventing their entry into cells and halting infection. Additionally, antibodies can mark abnormal cells, such as cancer cells, for destruction by the immune system, enhancing the body's natural defense mechanisms. Moreover, antibodies can be engineered and modified in the laboratory to enhance their therapeutic properties, such as increasing their potency or extending their halflife in the body. With their specificity, versatility, and ability to interact with the immune system, antibodies have become invaluable tools in drug development and treatment, paving the way for innovative and targeted therapies that improve patient outcomes and quality of life. Several of the block buster drugs today are monoclonal antibodies.



Antibody-Drug Conjugates

Antibody-drug conjugates (ADCs) are a class of innovative therapeutic agents that combine the specificity of monoclonal antibodies with the potency of cytotoxic drugs. ADCs are designed to deliver a toxic payload directly to target cells, increasing the selectivity and efficacy of the treatment, while minimizing damage to healthy cells. The structure of an ADC typically consists of three components: a monoclonal antibody that recognizes a specific target on the surface of a cell, a linker that connects the antibody to the cytotoxic drug, and the cytotoxic drug itself. Once the ADC binds to the target cell, it is internalized and the linker is cleaved, releasing the cytotoxic drug directly into the cell, where it exerts its effects. In the treatment of cancer, this targeted approach enables a higher concentration of a drug to accumulate in the tumor, improving efficacy and reducing systemic side effects compared to conventional chemotherapy. ADCs have shown promising results in the treatment of various cancers, providing a new avenue for precision medicine and targeted therapies in oncology.

Bi-Specific Antibodies

A bispecific antibody is a type of antibody engineered to simultaneously bind to two different target molecules. This unique feature allows the antibodies to bridge different cells or molecules, facilitating specific interactions and modulating immune responses. Bispecific antibodies are designed to have one binding site that recognizes a target molecule on one cell or tissue and another binding site that recognizes a different target on a separate cell or tissue. This dual targeting capability opens a range of therapeutic possibilities. Bispecific antibodies can redirect immune cells to attack cancer cells, enhance the specificity of drug delivery, or modulate signaling pathways involved in immune regulation. By bringing different components together, these antibodies offer a novel approach to treat conditions such as cancer, autoimmune disorders, and infectious diseases. These innovative molecules hold great potential for personalized medicine and have sparked considerable interest in the field of biotechnology and drug development.

Overview of the Analytical Workflow

Sample Prep

Purification & Depletion

Recombinant/Fusion Tag Protein Purification ProteoExtract[®] Depletion

Seppro[®] Protein Depletion

Seppro[®] Protein Depletion

Inhibitor Cocktails

cOmplete[™] Protease Inhibitors

MS-SAFE Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor



Protein Concentration Amicon® Filters Stirred Cell Filtration & SPE

Millex[®] Syringe Filters Supelclean[™] LC-4 Side Pore SPE ZipTip[®] Pipette Tips

Lysis Reagents CelLytic[™] Reagents ProteoPrep[®] Kits

Digestion & Labeling

Proteases SOLu-Trypsin Trypsin Other Proteases



Isotopically Labeled Amino Acids



peptide mapping applications

Peptide Mapping

Peptide mapping is a vital technique in the field of biochemistry and pharmaceutical research, playing a crucial role in the characterization and guality control of proteins. The process involves the identification and sequencing of peptides within a protein, providing valuable information about its primary structure, post-translational modifications, and overall integrity. Peptide mapping is essential for ensuring the safety, efficacy, and consistency of protein-based drugs, as any alterations or variations in the peptide sequence can significantly impact the protein's function and therapeutic properties. By thoroughly analyzing the peptide map, researchers can gain insights into protein structure-function relationships and detect any modifications or impurities that may arise during production or storage.

Typically, peptide mapping is performed through a combination of techniques, including enzymatic digestion, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and mass spectrometry (MS). The process begins with the enzymatic cleavage of the protein using specific proteases, such as trypsin or chymotrypsin, which break it down into smaller peptides. HPLC separates the peptides based on their hydrophobicity, size, and charge, allowing for the identification and quantification of each peptide in the sample. Finally, mass spectrometry is employed to determine the exact molecular weight and sequence of the peptides, providing accurate information about the protein's primary structure and any modifications present.

Peptide mapping is a critical tool for protein analysis and quality control in various industries, including biopharmaceuticals, biotechnology, and academic research. The technique enables researchers to assess the structural integrity of proteins, identify modifications, and monitor the consistency of proteinbased drugs. By ensuring the accuracy and stability of protein products, peptide mapping contributes to the development of safe and effective therapies, as well as the advancement of scientific knowledge in the field of protein biochemistry.

Workflow for Peptide Mapping



An Optimized Protocol for Peptide Mapping of Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibodies with Minimum Deamidation and Oxidation Artifacts

Pegah Jalili, Principal Scientist, Analytical R&D Kevin Ray, PhD, Senior Manager, Analytical R&D Jeffrey Turner, PhD, Head of Protein Assay Development Maricar Dube, PhD, Analytical Sciences Liaison

Abstract

Post-translation modifications (PTM), such as oxidation and deamidation, can have serious consequences on therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. Peptide mapping is a widely used method for the identification of sitespecific PTMs, but typical protein digestion workflows often end up introducing significant amounts of artifacts. Hence, to obtain an accurate assessment of the modifications, it is critical to reduce the artifacts that occur during sample preparation steps. This study used NISTmAb as a model monoclonal antibody to demonstrate an optimized peptide mapping protocol resulting in minimal artificial asparagine deamidation and methionine oxidation. The protocol utilizes shorter incubation times and an improved digestion buffer, allowing for complete sample preparation in less than six hours.

Introduction

The development, production, and storage of therapeutic mAbs must be monitored for post-translational modifications (PTMs), to assure consistent quality and safety. PTMs such as deamidation and oxidation are known to influence the efficacy, safety, and stability of therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAb).^{1,2} Deamidation of asparagine (ASN or D) and the oxidation of methionine (Met or M) are major chemical degradation pathways for protein therapeutics and have been studied extensively.^{3,4,5} Asparagine residues can form a succinimide intermediate that subsequently hydrolyzes into isoaspartic or aspartic acid (**Figure 1A**).^{6,7} Whereas, hydroxyl radicals can oxidize methionine residues to form methionine sulfoxide (**Figure 1B**).^{8,9}

LC-MS based peptide mapping is the method of choice for measuring the relative abundance of PTMs. The sample preparation prior to the LC-MS analysis involves three steps of denaturation/reduction, alkylation, and digestion. The digestion of different mAbs produces different peptide fragments having a wide range of sizes — from single amino acids to longer polypeptides. Since these peptides vary widely in their hydrophobicity, reversed-phase (C18) is the preferred mode of chromatography for peptide mapping.



Figure 1. (A) Asparagine residues can undergo deamidation to form aspartic acid, and (B) methionine can undergo oxidation to form methionine sulfoxide.

The conventional trypsin digestion of monoclonal antibodies is lengthy, involving an overnight digestion step. The conditions and reagents used in this step are known to induce artifactual deamidation and oxidation of the mAb sample, leading to inaccurate measurement of PTMs.¹⁰ The first part of this paper compares methionine and asparagine deamidation between conventional trypsin digestion and an optimized digestion protocol that takes less than six hours to complete. The second part compares the optimized protocol with the protocol published by NIST. All LC-MS analyses were carried out using C18 columns with superficially porous particles (BIOshell[™] A160 Peptide C18).

BIOshell™ U/HPLC Columns

Faster Separation of Proteins, Peptides, and Glycans. Read more at

SigmaAldrich.com/HPLC



Experimental

Sample: NIST Monoclonal Antibody Reference Material 8671 (NISTmAb)

Digestion: Figure 2 outlines the digestion protocols.



The conventional protocol uses sodium deoxycholate (60 mg) in methanol (1 mL) as the denaturation solution. 20 mM TCEP (tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine) and the denaturation solution were mixed in 1:1 (v/v), to which 20 µL of dried down sample was added and incubated at 57 °C for one hour. The sample was brought back to room temperature and centrifuged at 14,000 x g per gram for 30 seconds. This was followed by the alkylation step using 5 µL of 200 mM iodoacetamide (in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate) and subsequent incubation for one hour in the dark at room temperature. Digestion was carried out by adding enough trypsin solution (trypsin in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate) to have an enzyme:protein ratio of 1:20 and incubating at 37 °C overnight (at least 16 hours) on a thermo-shaker. 2 µL neat formic acid was used to quench the digestion.

Detailed procedure for the optimized protocol is described in the technical bulletin for Low Artifact Digestion Buffer.¹¹ A NIST¹² paper describes the protocol provided by NIST. The reagents used in each protocol are shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Reagents used in the protocols of the study.

| Reagent | Conventional | Optimized | NIST |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Denaturing solution/buffer | Sodium deoxycholate | Urea | Guanidine HCI |
| Reduction | ТСЕР | ТСЕР | DTT |
| Alkylation | Iodoacetamide | Iodoacetamide | Iodoacetamide |
| Digestion buffer | Ammonium bicarbonate | Low Artifact Digestion Buffer | Urea |
| Trypsin | SOLu-Trypsin | SOLu-Trypsin | Recombinant, proteomics grade, expressed in Pichia pastoris |

LC-MS Conditions HPLC:

| HPLC Conditions | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Instrument: | Waters™ Acquity UPLC | | | | | |
| Column: | BIOshell [™] A160 Peptide C18, 15 cm x 1.0 mm, 2.7 µm particles (67099-U), two columns in series | | | | | |
| Mobile phase | [A] 0.1% formic [B] 0.1% formic | rile | | | | |
| | Time (min) | %B | | | | |
| | 0 | 1 | | | | |
| | 120 | 35 | | | | |
| Gradient: | 121 | 97 | | | | |
| | 136 | 97 | | | | |
| | 137 | 1 | | | | |
| | 162 | 1 | | | | |
| Flow rate: | 80 µL/min | | | | | |
| Column temp.: | room temperature | | | | | |
| Injection: | 10 µL (3-4 µg m | nAb digest) | | | | |

Mass Spectrometry:

| MS Conditions | |
|-----------------|----------------|
| Instrument: | Thermo QE Plus |
| Polarity: | Positive |
| Spray voltage: | 4.0 kV |
| Capillary temp: | 320 °C |
| Sheath gas: | 10 |
| Aux gas: | 5 |
| S-Lens: | 50 V |
| m/z range: | 300-4000 |

Data analysis

The raw MS files were subjected to BioPharma FinderTM 3.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for peptide mapping. The peptide identifications were performed by searching the processed data against the NISTmAb sequence-based accurate mass of a full mass scan and assignments of product ions in MS/MS spectra. The data was filtered to report only the peptides with a mass tolerance of ± 10 ppm. The % deamidation and oxidation were calculated by BioPharma FinderTM software using the mapping tab. Also, the result was manually checked by creating the extracted ion chromatograms (XICs) for unmodified and modified peptide within 10 ppm mass error. Equations 1 and 2 were used to calculate the % modification (oxidation, deamidation) and % missed cleavage (% MC), respectively.

Equation 1:

| 0/ Madification - | area under the peak of XIC of modified peptide | V 100 |
|-------------------|--|-------|
| % Mouncation = | area under the peak of XIC of modified peptide + area under the peak of XIC of un-modified peptide | X 100 |
| Equation 2: | | |
| % MC = | area under the peak of XIC of MC peptide | X 100 |
| 70 FTC - | area under the peak of XIC of standard peptide + area under the peak of XIC of | X 100 |

MC peptide

Results and Discussions

Peptide mapping using LC-MS has become a routine analysis in the development and manufacture of therapeutic mAbs. Traditional sample preparation procedures used prior to LC-MS are often cumbersome. These procedures generally involve chemical denaturation, reduction and alkylation, buffer exchange, and overnight protease digestion of the protein sample at elevated pH and temperature. Asparagine deamidation and methionine oxidation take place during these various steps, the extent of which depends on the conditions such as reagents used, ionic strength, temperature, pH, incubation time, digestion buffer, and presence of trace metals (in the case of methionine oxidation).⁷ A simpler, shorter method with minimal artifacts is certainly desired to obtain accurate endogenous levels of deamidation and oxidation.

Figure 3A is the base peak chromatogram of tryptic digested NISTmAb, showing examples of typical tryptic peptides used to measure the levels of Met (M) oxidation and Asn (D) deamination in this work. Figure 3B is the extracted ion chromatogram and MS spectrum of the peptide DTLMISR ($t_R = 51 \text{ min}$) and the peptide with an oxidized methionine residue (position HC:M255), $t_{R} = 44$ min. The oxidation of methionine rendered the molecule less hydrophobic, thus less retentive on the BIOshell[™] A160 Peptide C18 column. Figure 3C is the XIC and MS spectrum of the peptide GFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYK (t_R 88.90 min) and the deamidated peptide (position HC:N387). The deamidated forms (isoASP and ASP) elute before and after the unmodified peak at ~87.91 and 91.92 min, respectively.

Optimized versus Conventional Protocol

The optimized and conventional protocols use the same reduction (TCEP) and alkylation (iodoacetamide) reagents, but they differ in the denaturing solution used, incubation times, and temperature (see Figure 2 and Table 1). The conventional protocol has much longer incubation times and uses higher temperature for the denaturation/reduction step. The digestion step happened overnight with the conventional protocol at a higher pH of 8.5

With the optimized protocol, digestion took only four hours. The digestion buffer used was specifically developed to minimize deamidation and oxidation during the digestion step without sacrificing the digestion efficiency. The buffer was formulated at an optimal pH and contained a proprietary antioxidant.

In both protocols, the protease used was SOLu-Trypsin, a proprietary formulation of recombinant Trypsin (porcine sequence expressed in *Pichia pastori*) and stable in solution when refrigerated.

The deamidation levels between the protocols were extremely different at the two sites (**Figure 4A**). The biggest difference was observed at site HC:N387, where deamidation was 41.1% for the conventional



Figure 3. Analysis of tryptic digested NISTmAb (A) Base peak chromatogram of tryptic digested NISTmAb. Labeled peptides are examples of tryptical tryptic peptides used for determining Met oxidation and Asn deamination levels. (B) Extracted ion chromatogram and spectra of unmodified DTLMISR peptide and the peptide with oxidized Met. (C) Extracted ion chromatogram and spectra of unmodified GFYPSDIAVEWESNGQPENNYK peptide and the peptide with deamidated Asn.

protocol and 0.6% for the optimized one. At site HC:N318, a 21.2% deamidation was observed for the onventional protocol and none was observed for the optimized protocol. These results are not surprising. It is well known that the incubation times of protein samples in the denaturing/reduction and alkylation steps, and to a larger extent, the length of digestion, are directly proportional to the levels of artificial modification.¹³ It has been reported that deamidation artifacts are reduced at lower temperatures;¹⁴ in the conventional protocol, the denaturation/reduction step was carried out at an elevated temperature (57 °C). The difference in % oxidation was not as high as observed for deamidation. At site LC:M32, the conventional protocol had 2.9% higher oxidation than the optimized protocol, and it was 4.2% higher at site HC:M255 (**Figure 4B**).



Figure 4. Levels of (A) Asn deamidation and (B) Met oxidation of NISTmAb tryptic peptides using the conventional and optimized protocols.

Optimized Protocol versus NIST Protocol

In 2018, NIST published a paper wherein they described the development of a tryptic digestion protocol used for peptide mapping. Their study focused on parameters such as buffer concentration, digestion time and temperature, and the source and type of trypsin used.¹² (See **Figure 2** for the outline of the protocol and **Table 1** for the reagents used.)

The denaturation/reduction and alkylation steps were carried out at a very conservative temperature (4 °C) with incubation times much longer than the one for the optimized protocol. In addition, the protocol required a buffer exchange step (into the urea containing digestion buffer) before the tryptic digestion. Overall, the NIST protocol requires more time for reagent and sample preparation compared to the optimized protocol.



Figure 5. Comparison of base peak chromatograms of tryptic digested NISTmAb using the (A) optimized and (B) NIST protocols. Missed cleavage peptides are labeled with a red asterisk (*).

Figure 5 compares the base peak chromatograms of the digested NISTmAbs using the optimized protocol and the NIST protocol. The profile for the optimized digestion protocol is less complex. The chromatogram from the NIST protocol exhibited many extra peaks which were identified as missed cleavage peptides. The average percent missed cleavage was 16% for the optimized protocol and 35% for the NIST protocol (**Figure 6**).

In terms of Met oxidation and Asn deamidation, the performance of the two protocols is similar. The level of Met oxidation for both the methods is <5% and for deamidation <1.7% (Figure 7).



Figure 6. Average percent missed cleavage for the optimized and NIST protocols.



Figure 7. Levels of (A) Asn deamidation and (B) Met oxidation of NISTmAb tryptic peptides using optimized protocol and NIST protocol.

It is important to note that the HPLC conditions are also critical for the study. To enhance resolution and sequence coverage, two BIOshell[™] A160 Peptide C18 15 cm x 1.0 mm columns arranged in series were used to provide for a total effective column length of 30 cm. Hydrophilic peptides with less than five amino acids such as VDK, TISK, EYK that typically elute in flow-through, could be retained on the longer column and be subsequently analyzed by mass spectrometry. In addition, the BIOshell[™] columns are composed of superficially porous particles (SPPs) containing a solid, nonporous silica core with a porous silica outer layer, providing higher separation efficiency. This particle attribute results in a narrower peak width and improved resolution of the peptide analytes. Together with the optimized gradient conditions shown in the experimental section, a good separation of the unmodified and modified peptides was achieved. This result is well illustrated in **Figure 3B**, where the unmodified DTLMISR peptide and the peptide with oxidized Met were well resolved, allowing for accurate quantitation of each species.

Conclusions

The optimized protocol gave significantly lower levels of Asn deamidation compared to the conventional protocol, particularly at two sites, HC:N387 (over 40% lower) and HC:N318 (over 20% lower). The levels of oxidation (<5%) and deamidation (1.5%) were comparable with the NIST protocol. In addition, more missed cleavage peptides were observed with the NIST protocol (35%) compared to the optimized protocol (16%). The optimized protocol also offers the advantage of allowing complete digestion in less than 6 hours, with minimal deamidation and oxidation artifacts. The use of two BIOshell[™] A160 Peptide C18 (15 cm) columns in series allowed the successful separation of peptides in the tryptic digestion.

Featured Products

| Description | Cat.No. |
|---|-----------|
| HPLC | |
| BIOshell ^{$mmma$} A160 Peptide C18, 15cm x 1.0 mm, 2.7 μ m | 67099-U |
| Acetonitrile with 0.1% (v/v) Formic acid, hypergrade for LC-MS LiChrosolv^ $^{\circledast}$ | 1.59002 |
| Water with 0.1% (v/v) Formic acid, hypergrade for LC-MS LiChrosolv^ $^{\otimes}$ | 1.59013 |
| Formic acid 98% - 100%, for LC-MS LiChropur [™] | 5.33002 |
| Standards, Reagents, and Accessories | |
| NISTmAb, Humanized IgG1k Monoclonal Antibody | NIST8671 |
| Low-Artifact Digestion Buffer | EMS0011 |
| SOLu-Trypsin | EMS0004 |
| Microcon [®] 30kDa Centrifugal Filter Unit with Ultracel [®] 30 membrane | MRCF0R030 |

References

- 1. X. Xu, Y. Huang, H. Pan, R. Molden, H. Qiu, T. J. Daly, and N. Li. PLOS ONE. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223899
- 2. Y. Wang, X. Li, Y. -H. Liu, D. Richardson, H. Li, M. Shameem, and X. Yang. MAbs. 8, 1477–1486 (2016).
- I. Schmid, I., Bonnington, L., Gerl, M. et al. Commun Biol. 1, (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-018-0032-8.
- A. L. Pace, R. L. Wong, Y. T. Zhang, Y.-Hs. Kao, Y. J. Wang, Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 102, 1712-1723 (2013).
- 5. M. E. Alam, et al. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 109, 656-669 (2020.
- S. Liu, K. R. Moulton, J. R. Auclair, and Z. S. Zhou. Amino Acids. 48, 1059–1067 (2016).
- 7. V. Timm, P. Gruber, M. Wasiliu, H. Lindhofer, and D. Chelius. J Chrom B 878, 777-784 (2010).
- 8. K. Sankar, K. H. et. al. MAbs. 10, 1281-1290 (2018).
- 9. X. M. Lam, J. Y. Yang, and J. L. Cleland. Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 86, 1250-1255 (1997).
- D. Wang, C. Nowak, B. Mason, A. Katiyar, and H. Liu. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis, 183, 113-131 (2020).
- Low Artifact Digestion Buffer Technical Bulletin https://www. sigmaaldrich.com/content/dam/sigma-aldrich/docs/Sigma/ Bulletin/2/ems0011bul.pdf
- T. Mouchahoir and J. E. Schiel. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 410, 2111–2126 (2018)
- 13. D. Ren, et. al. Anal. Biochem. 392, 12-21 (2009).
- 14. S. D. Stroop. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 21, 830-836 (2007).

Find more about BIOshell™ U/HPLC columns at

SigmaAldrich.com/HPLC

Teriparatide Peptide Mapping Analysis by LC-UV-Mass Spectrometry

Workflow for Teriparatide Peptide Mapping



A complete workflow by LC-UV-MS has been developed for teriparatide peptide mapping with 100% sequence coverage. The workflow offers the following:

- Step-by-step instructions for enzyme digestion of teriparatide
- Chromatography conditions on five Supelco[®] columns to separate teriparatide digestion fragments
- Identification of teriparatide digestion fragments with high accuracy on high resolution, accurate mass QTOF mass spectrometer

Introduction

Peptide mapping is a widely used analytical technique to examine primary structures and post-translational modifications (PTMs) of biopharmaceuticals.^{1, 2} The general workflow is a bottom-up methodology including enzyme digestion followed by separation of the resulting peptide fragments and analysis via ultraviolet (UV) and/or mass spectrometry (MS).¹ The major use of the peptide mapping technique is to confirm the primary structure and thereby the identity of the analyte by the amino acid sequence. In the quality control (QC) environment, identity is confirmed by peptide mapping and comparing the sample map to a reference standard map in terms of the peak retention time, peak height and resolution.¹ A difference between the sample and reference standard may indicate a change in, or degradation of, the drug substance/ product.1 When coupled with mass spectrometry, the mass difference can be used to identify the type of degradation or modification (e.g. oxidation, deamidation and glycosylation of biomolecules).1,2

Teriparatide is a recombinant form of parathyroid hormone (PTH) used in the treatment of osteoporosis. It consists of the first 34 amino acids (N-terminal) which is the bioactive portion of the hormone, also called rhPTH (1-34).³ It is a single-chain linear polypeptide without any post-translational modifications. This molecule, with the active sequence of endogenous PTH, promotes the remodeling of bone through binding and activation of the PTH-R1 receptor.⁴ The originator product of Forteo[®] from Eli Lilly was approved by US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in November 2002 and European Medicines Agency (EMA) in June 2003. Since the patents on Forteo[®] expired in August 2019, biosimilars of teriparatide have been approved or are under development.

In this application note, a complete workflow for teriparatide peptide mapping by LC-UV-MS was developed on an Agilent 6545 XT AdvanceBio Q-TOF system. USP teriparatide standard and teriparatide sample were digested by Endoproteinase Glu-C according to the USP monograph method conditions. The resulting fragments were separated on multiple Supelco[®] columns including BIOshellTM A160 Peptide C18 (150 mm × 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm), Chromolith[®] RP-18e (100 mm × 4.6 mm), Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18e (100 mm × 2.0 mm), Ascentis[®] Express Phenyl-Hexyl (100 mm × 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm), and Ascentis[®] Express F5 (100 mm × 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm).

General Procedures

Reagent Preparation

20 mM Sodium Phosphate Buffer

A solution of 20 mM of sodium phosphate buffer is prepared by combining sodium phosphate monobasic and sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate together in water to reach the final concentration of 0.52 mg/mL sodium phosphate monobasic and 4.34 mg/mL sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate. [E.g., dissolve 0.104 g of sodium phosphate monobasic and 0.868 g of sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate in 200 mL of HPLC grade water.]

Enzyme Solution

Add 400 μ L of HPLC grade water to a vial containing 100 units of Endoproteinase Glu-C, from Staphylococcus aureus V8, and mix well. This solution is stable for 72 h when stored in the refrigerator (2-8 °C).

Mobile Phase A: 0.1% TFA in water

Add 1 mL of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) into 1000 mL of water. Mix well.

Mobile Phase B: 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile: water (60:40, v: v)

Mix 1 mL of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) to 600 mL of acetonitrile and 400 mL of water. Mix well.

Teriparatide Sample Preparation

- Bring the vial containing about 1 mg of lyophilized teriparatide to room temperature.
- Add 20 mM of sodium phosphate buffer using a positive displacement pipette and mix well to reach a teriparatide concentration of 1.5 mg/mL.
- Mix this solution with enzyme solution to achieve a teriparatide to protease ratio of 10:1. Mix well.
- Incubate teriparatide solution with enzyme at 37 °C for 18-24h in a heat block to keep the temperature constant.
- Quench the digestion by adding mobile phase A (0.1% TFA in water) to reach a final teriparatide concentration of approximately 0.25 mg/mL. This solution is stable for 72 hours when stored at 2-8 °C.
- Transfer this solution to an autosampler vial for LC-UV-MS analysis. Or transfer a portion of this solution in to an autosampler vial and then store the remaining amount in a freezer for up to 1 month for future analysis.

Sample Preparation

Teriparatide (USP) Standard Preparation

- Bring the USP teriparatide reference standard vial to room temperature.
- Open the USP teriparatide vial which typically contains about 1 mg of teriparatide (free base). Based on the exact amount in COA, add corresponding amount of 20 mM of sodium phosphate buffer using a positive displacement pipette to generate a 1.5 mg/mL of teriparatide reference standard (RS) solution without further weighing.
- Mix USP teriparatide RS solution with the enzyme solution at a teriparatide to protease ratio of 10:1. Mix well.
- Incubate the USP teriparatide RS and enzyme at 37 °C for 18-24h in a heat block to keep the temperature constant.
- Quench the digestion by adding mobile phase A (0.1% TFA in water) to reach a final teriparatide concentration of approximately 0.25 mg/mL. This solution is stable for 72 hours when stored at 2-8 °C.
- Transfer this solution to an autosampler vial for LC-UV-MS analysis. Or transfer a portion of this solution to an autosampler vial and store the remaining amount in a freezer for up to 1 month for future analysis.

Blank Preparation

- In a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube, combine 20 mM of sodium phosphate buffer with enzyme solution in the same portions used for the Standard solution and Sample solution. Mix well.
- Cap the vial and incubate at 37 °C for 18-24 hours, in a heat block to keep the temperature constant.
- Quench the digestion by adding the same volume of mobile phase A (0.1% TFA in water) as the Standard solution and Sample solution and mix well.
- Transfer this solution to an autosampler vial for LC-UV-MS analysis.

LC-UV-MS System Setup and Data Analysis Data Analysis

The essential settings of the LC-UV-MS chromatography system applied in the analysis of teriparatide peptide mapping are listed in **Table 1** and **Table 2** below.

| HPLC Parameters | |
|------------------|--|
| HPLC system | Agilent 1290 Infinity II |
| Software | Agilent MassHunter 10.0 |
| Column | BIOshell [™] A160 Peptide, C18, 150 x 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm Chromolith® RP-18e, 100 x 4.6 mm Chromolith® High Resolution RP-18e, 100 x 2.0 mm Ascentis® Express Phenyl-Hexyl 100 x 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm Ascentis® Express F5, 100 x 3 mm, 2.7 µm |
| Column temp | 40 °C |
| Autosampler temp | 5 °C |
| Injection volume | 2 µL |
| Mobile phase A | 0.1% TFA in water |
| Mobile phase B | 0.1% TFA in Acetonitrile: water (60:40, v: v) |
| UV Detector | 214 nm |
| | |

Table 1. HPLC-UV general system settings.

Mass Spec. Settings

| Mass Spectrometer | Agilent 6545 XT AdvanceBio Q-TOF |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Software | Agilent MassHunter 10.0 |
| Ion source | Dual ESI |
| Polarity | Positive |
| Gas temp | 320 °C |
| Drying gas | 8 L/h |
| Nebulizer | 35 psi |
| Fragmentor | 175 V |
| Skimmer | 65 V |
| VCap | 3500 V |
| Mass range | 100-1700 m/z |

 Table 2. QTOF Mass Spectrometer settings.

Data analysis for LC-UV and LC-MS was processed through Agilent MassHunter Qualitative Analysis 10.0 software. Digested teriparatide fragments were identified by comparing the m/z value from the extracted MS spectra to the ExPASY⁶ teriparatide Glu-C digestion fragment database. The LC-UV separation of the teriparatide fragments was compared with USP teriparatide standard as well as with USP teriparatide standard certificate of analysis.⁵

Results and Discussion

For each run of the digested teriparatide sample, a USP teriparatide standard digest was injected as a system suitability check to evaluate column and system performance. The LC-UV requirements for the system suitability sample were that resolution between fragment III and I is no less than (NLT) 1.5 and tailing factor for fragment IV is no more than (NMT) 2.3. All five digestion fragments found should match the chromatogram provided with USP certification. The retention time ratio between the USP teriparatide standard and the teriparatide sample should be within the range of 1.00 ± 0.03 .

Here, we included a second dimension of detection, by mass spectrometry, to confirm the digestion resulting fragments. One hundred percent sequence coverage was achieved with this digestion protocol. All five Supelco[®] columns evaluated here showed adequate separation of teriparatide fragments.

BIOshell[™] A160 Peptide, C18, 150 x 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm Column

Digested teriparatide USP standard, sample, and blank were analyzed on Agilent 6545 XT AdvanceBio QTOF LC-UV-MS system with a BIOshellTM A160 Peptide, C18 column (150 mm x 2.1 mm, 2.7 μ m). USP teriparatide standard was run as a system suitability sample before injection of the teriparatide sample. The HPLC gradient is shown in **Figure 1** along with LC-UV chromatograms for USP teriparatide standard and sample solution. All five fragments were identified by comparing to the USP teriparatide certificate. Resolution between fragment III and I is 8.4 which meets the USP monograph criteria of NLT $1.5.^5$ Tailing factor for fragment IV is 1.1 which meets the USP monograph⁴ criteria of NMT 2.3 as shown in **Table 2.** The retention time ratio between USP standard and the sample were all within the criteria 1.00 ± 0.03 (**Table 2**). One unknown peak, shown in USP teriparatide certificate as well, eluted in front of Fragment II with retention time at 21.48 min was used for Fragment II peak resolution calculation in **Table 2.**



Figure 1. LC-UV chromatograms of teriparatide fragments resulting from Glu-C digestion on BIOshell™ A160 Peptide, C18, 150 mm x 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm. a) USP teriparatide standard, b) teriparatide sample. Flow rate: 0.3 mL/min, column temperature: 40 °C.

| Peak ID | RT (min) | Area | Height | Symmetry | Width | Plates | Resolution | Tailing |
|--------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|---------|------------|---------|
| Fragment III | 5.97 | 13.16 | 2.14 | 0.69 | 0.096 | 22395 | NA | 1.2 |
| Fragment I | 7.39 | 17.27 | 2.53 | 0.81 | 0.108 | 27611 | 8.4 | 1.1 |
| Fragment V | 17.77 | 110.78 | 23.13 | 1.4 | 0.07 | 376655 | 70.6 | 0.9 |
| Fragment IV | 19.83 | 257.53 | 74.02 | 0.88 | 0.055 | 968726 | 20.9 | 1.1 |
| Unknown I | 21.48 | 144.74 | 44.22 | 0.75 | 0.05 | 1094124 | 20.4 | 1.2 |
| Fragment II | 21.93 | 234.8 | 70.79 | 0.88 | 0.051 | 1279074 | 5.6 | 1.1 |

Table 2. Result table for USP teriparatide standard (system suitability injection) on BIOshell™ A160 Peptide, C18 column.

A second dimension identification of the five fragments was conducted by extracting the mass spectra to match with ExPASY PeptideMass database for teriparatide Glu-C digestion.⁶ The m/z values of each fragment for both the USP standard and sample are shown in **Figure 2a** and **Figure 2b** listed following the elution order which proves the 100% sequence coverage of teriparatide from Glu-C digestion. The agreement further confirms that the teriparatide sample matches the USP standard.



Figure 2. Mass spectra for the five peptides from teriparatide Glu-C digestion on BIOshell[™] A160 Peptide, C18, 150 x 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm column, a) teriparatide USP standard, b) teriparatide sample.

Table 3 shows the mass accuracy of the five measured/deconvoluted fragments from Glu-C digestion compared to the theoretical calculated value from ExPASY PeptideMass.⁶ The deconvoluted mass for all five fragments from both the USP teriparatide and the sample teriparatide is very consistent to the theoretical monoisotopic mass with mass errors less than 2 ppm.

| Teriparatide Glu-C digested fragments | Position | Peptide sequence | Calculated Mass (Da) | USP | teriparatide | Sample teriparatide | |
|--|----------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | Measured Mass (Da)* | Mass Error (ppm) | Measured Mass (Da) | Mass Error (ppm) |
| Fragment II | 5-19 | IQLMHNLGKHLNSME | 1763.8811 | 1763.8835 | 1.36 | 1763.8845 | 1.93 |
| Fragment IV | 23-30 | WLRKKLQD | 1085.6345 | 1085.6369 | 2.21 | 1085.6365 | 1.84 |
| Fragment V | 31-34 | VHNF | 515.2492 | 515.2498 | 1.16 | 515.2499 | 1.36 |
| Fragment I | 1-4 | SVSE | 420.1856 | 420.1858 | 0.48 | 420.1859 | 0.71 |
| Fragment III | 20-22 | RVE | 402.2227 | 402.2232 | 1.24 | 402.2232 | 1.24 |

 Table 3. Mass accuracy of the five fragments from the QTOF high resolution accurate mass.

*Measured mass is the deconvoluted neutral mass without any charge.

Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18 endcapped, 100 x 2.0 mm Column

Teriparatide peptide mapping was done on a Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18 endcapped column (100 x 2.0 mm). The same mobile phases were used as on the BIOshell[™] column above but with an optimized gradient as shown in **Figure 3**. Resolution between fragment III and fragment I in system suitability sample is 3.9 and the tailing factor for fragment IV is 2.1 (Table 4), which both meet USP monograph acceptance criteria. The retention time ratio between the USP standard vs teriparatide sample is in the range of 0.99-1.00 (Table 4) which is within the USP criteria of 1.00 ± 0.03 .

The LC-MS trace of the five fragments confirms the elution order and the fragment sequence is consistent with the theoretical value from ExPASY database (data not shown).



Figure 3. Chromatogram of teriparatide Glu-C digestion fragments separation on Chromolith® High Resolution 18-e, 100 x 2.0 mm, a) LC-MS, b) LC-UV. Flow rate: 0.3 mL/min, column temperature: 40 °C.

| Peak | RT (min) | Area | Height | Symmetry | Width | Plates | Resolution | Tailing | RT ratio |
|--------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| Fragment III | 2.878 | 25.36 | 5.15 | 0.69 | 0.075 | 8530 | NA | 1.3 | 0.99 |
| Fragment I | 3.387 | 35.11 | 6.52 | 0.71 | 0.083 | 9830 | 3.9 | 1.2 | 0.99 |
| Fragment V | 16.921 | 127.65 | 40.38 | 0.75 | 0.049 | 694598 | 124.3 | 1.3 | 1.00 |
| Fragment IV | 17.975 | 295.12 | 82.57 | 0.67 | 0.056 | 819985 | 13.1 | 2.1 | 1.00 |
| Unknown I | 19.243 | 154.03 | 41.82 | 0.6 | 0.055 | 846007 | 15.6 | 1.7 | 1.00 |
| Unknown II | 19.528 | 6.42 | 1.98 | 0.88 | 0.052 | 1001269 | 3.5 | 1.1 | 1.00 |
| Fragment II | 19.665 | 276.96 | 78.43 | 0.75 | 0.053 | 956370 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.00 |

Table 4. LC-UV result data table for USP teriparatide standard system suitability injection on Chromolith[®] High Resolution 18-e, $100 \times 2.0 \text{ mm}$ column.

Chromolith[®] RP-18 endcapped, 100 mm × 4.6 mm Column

Teriparatide peptide mapping was also done on a Chromolith[®] RP-18 endcapped column (100 x 4.6 mm). The same mobile phases were used as before but with the gradient further optimized as shown in **Figure 4**. In system suitability sample, resolution between fragment III and fragment I is 4.8 and the tailing factor for fragment IV is 1.7 (**Table 5**) meeting USP monograph acceptance criteria. The retention time ratio between

the USP standard vs teriparatide sample is rounded to 1.00 (**Table 5**) showing the retention consistency between USP standard and sample teriparatide. Column performance was not as good as the Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18 100 mm x 2.0 mm endcapped column and further optimization maybe required.

LC-MS extracted spectra of the five fragments confirms the elution order, and the observed m/z values are consistent with theoretical values from ExPASY (data not shown here).



Figure 4. Chromatogram of teriparatide Glu-C digestion fragments separation on Chromolith[®] 18-e 100 x 4.6 mm, a) LC-MS, b) LC-UV. Flow rate: 0.6 mL/min, column temperature: 40 °C.

| Peak | RT (min) | Area | Height | Symmetry | Width | Plates | Resolution | Tailing | RT ratio |
|--------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|--------|------------|---------|----------|
| Fragment III | 6.656 | 15.91 | 1.54 | 0.88 | 0.158 | 10171 | NA | 1.1 | 1.00 |
| Fragment I | 8.055 | 21.76 | 1.81 | 0.89 | 0.184 | 10465 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 1.00 |
| Fragment V | 18.698 | 103.37 | 13.41 | 0.94 | 0.114 | 186835 | 43.6 | 1 | 1.00 |
| Fragment IV | 20.433 | 216.7 | 40.85 | 0.69 | 0.078 | 530435 | 12.2 | 1.7 | 1.00 |
| Unknown I | 22.082 | 146.02 | 24.57 | 0.91 | 0.085 | 624120 | 14.7 | 0.7 | 1.00 |
| Fragment II | 22.517 | 171.86 | 38.05 | 0.82 | 0.069 | 718526 | 4 | 1.2 | 1.00 |

Table 5. LC-UV result data table for USP teriparatide standard system suitability injection on Chromolith® 18-e 100 x 4.6 mm column.

Ascentis[®] Express Phenyl-Hexyl, 100 × 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm Column

Teriparatide peptide mapping was done on an Ascentis[®] Express Phenyl-Hexyl column (100 x 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm). The same mobile phases, 0.1% TFA in water and 0.1% TFA in acetonitrile/water (60:40, v: v) were used as above but with further gradient optimization. The system suitability injection of USP teriparatide standard yielded resolution between fragment III and fragment I of 2.6 and a tailing factor for fragment IV of 1.4 (**Table 6**), meeting USP monograph acceptance criteria. The retention time ratio for fragment V, IV, and II between USP standard and teriparatide sample all rounded to 1.00 (**Table 6**). Fragment III and I retention ratios, between USP standard and teriparatide sample, were 1.17 and 1.20, which were out of the USP monograph criteria. This may have been caused by a system equilibration issue since these two fragments are very polar (**Figure 5**). However, LC-MS spectra were used to confirm the identity of the five fragments and m/z values are consistent with theoretical values from ExPASY (data not shown). Therefore, Ascentis[®] Express Phenyl-Hexyl is capable of the analysis of teriparatide peptide mapping with adequate resolution and peak shapes.



Figure 5. Chromatogram of teriparatide Glu-C digestion fragments separation on Ascentis[®] Express Phenyl-Hexyl column (100 x 3.0 mm, 2.7 μm). a) LC-MS, b) LC-UV. Flow rate: 0.5 mL/min, column temperature: 40 °C.

| Peak | RT (min) | Area | Height | Symmetry | Width | Plates | Resolution | Tailing | RT ratio |
|--------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| Fragment III | 3.296 | 20.4 | 4.46 | 0.82 | 0.071 | 13232 | NA | 1.2 | 1.17 |
| Fragment I | 3.602 | 28.18 | 5.87 | 0.83 | 0.074 | 15138 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 1.20 |
| Fragment V | 16.111 | 103.35 | 23.57 | 0.73 | 0.069 | 361151 | 111.5 | 1.3 | 1.00 |
| Fragment IV | 18.322 | 237.38 | 73.07 | 0.86 | 0.050 | 863831 | 23.8 | 1.4 | 1.00 |
| Unknown I | 19.962 | 133.64 | 44.03 | 0.86 | 0.047 | 1102834 | 21.2 | 1.1 | 1.00 |
| Fragment II | 20.308 | 213.84 | 69.20 | 0.86 | 0.048 | 1103408 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 1.00 |

Table 6. LC-UV Result data table for USP teriparatide standard system suitability injection on Ascentis® Express Phenyl-Hexyl column.

Ascentis[®] Express F5, 100 × 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm Column

Teriparatide enzyme digests were evaluated on an Ascentis[®] Express F5 column (100 x 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm) as well. Again, the same mobile phases were used as above with the gradient further optimized. System suitability injection of USP teriparatide standard generated peak resolution between fragment I and fragment III was 13.9 (Table 7) meeting USP monograph acceptance criteria well. The tailing factor for fragment IV is 2.6, which is slightly higher than the USP monograph criteria of 2.3 (Table 7). On this column, the elution orders between fragment III, fragment I, the unknown peak, and fragment II are different from the columns used above. Peak identification was confirmed by MS results shown in **Figure 7**. Spectra for each of the fragment peaks was extracted and the observed m/z compared to

the ExPASY database for teriparatide Glu-C digestion. Comparing the USP teriparatide standard and the sample teriparatide, the retention time ratios for all five fragments all rounded to 1.00 (**Figure 6** and **Table 7**).

The Ascentis[®] Express F5 column provided adequate resolution for fragment III and I which is the most challenging separation in teriparatide peptide mapping. Fragment elution order on Ascentis[®] Express F5 is different from the C18 columns and the Phenyl-Hexyl column which indicates Ascentis[®] Express F5 would provide alternative selectivity for teriparatide peptide mapping work. Peak shapes on the Ascentis[®] Express F5 were not as good as with the other columns under the conditions tested. Optimization of chromatographic conditions to improve the peak shape may prove beneficial.



Figure 6. Chromatogram of teriparatide Glu-C digestion fragments on Ascentis[®] Express F5 column (100 x 3.0 mm, 2.7 µm), a) LC-MS, b) LC-UV. Flow rate: 0.4 mL/min, column temperature: 40 °C.

F5 column



Figure 7. Mass spectra for the five fragment peptides from Glu-C digestion of teriparatide sample on Ascentis® Express F5.

| Peak | RT (min) | Area | Height | Symmetry | Width | Plates | Resolution | Tailing | RT ratio |
|--------------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-------|--------|------------|---------|----------|
| Fragment I | 3.427 | 35.52 | 6.88 | 0.77 | 0.078 | 12524 | NA | 1.1 | 1.00 |
| Fragment III | 6.882 | 23.07 | 1.69 | 0.32 | 0.192 | 5409 | 13.9 | 1.8 | 1.00 |
| Fragment V | 18.035 | 119.04 | 13.89 | 0.39 | 0.130 | 107957 | 37.6 | 1.8 | 1.00 |
| Fragment IV | 20.757 | 226.80 | 19.47 | 0.25 | 0.170 | 72367 | 10.3 | 2.6 | 1.00 |
| Fragment II | 21.726 | 244.57 | 31.25 | 0.28 | 0.113 | 183852 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 1.00 |
| Unknown I | 22.169 | 138.09 | 10.99 | 0.64 | 0.185 | 89051 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.00 |

Table 7. LC-UV Result data table for USP teriparatide standard system suitability injection on Ascentis® Express F5 column.

Conclusions

A complete LC-UV-MS workflow has been developed for peptide mapping of teriparatide. This work illustrates the utility of orthogonal column selectivity and LC-UV-MS in peptide mapping analyses, as a means of confirming product identity and sequence coverage. Such approaches maybe useful in development of biosimilars and in monitoring lot to lot variations in manufacturing of peptide and protein drugs. The current USP compendial methods is LC-UV based. Here we show both the LC-UV and LCMS data to identify the peptide fragments detected in the LC-UV using HRMS. Modifications to column dimensions and gradients in compendial methods may require additional validation work. The workflow reported herein offers the following:

- System suitability testing with a USP teriparatide standard
- A step-by-step teriparatide digestion protocol using Endoproteinase Glu-C

- Five options for LC column separation of teriparatide digestion resulting fragments
- Complete list of all reagents, consumables, columns, and related products

USP teriparatide standard served as a system suitability sample to evaluate the teriparatide digestion. A detailed enzyme digestion procedure, to achieve 100% sequence coverage, is provided herein. Five different columns, listed in the HPLC column product list, have been evaluated based on USP monograph LC-UV criteria, as well as on mass spectrometry to confirm the peptide fragments identification. BIOshell[™] A160 Peptide C18, Chromolith[®] RP-18e, Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18e, and Ascentis[®] Express Phenyl-Hexyl columns all showed excellent separation of the five digest fragments, with sufficient resolution and tailing factors to pass USP criteria. The Ascentis[®] Express F5 showed different elution orders for fragment III and fragment I, the unknown peak and fragment II, compared with the first four columns, indicating that it could be used as an alternative for different selectivity.

• 100% sequence coverage

References

- Mouchahoir T., Schiel J.E. Development of an LC-MS/MS peptide mapping protocol for the NISTmAb. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry (2018) 410:2111–2126.
- Shatat S. M., Eltanany B. M., Mohamed A. A. et al. Coupling of oncolumn trypsin digestion-peptide mapping and principal component analysis for stability and biosimilarity assessment of recombinant human growth hormone. Journal of Chromatography B, 1072 (2018) 105–115.
- Chambers E.E., Lame M.E., Bardsley J. et al. High sensitivity LC–MS/ MS method for direct quantification of human parathyroid 1–34 (teriparatide) in human plasma. Journal of Chromatography B, 938 (2013) 96–104.
- 4. Kovács P., Schäfer T., Háda V., et al. Comparative Physicochemical and Biological Characterization of the Similar Biological Medicinal

Product Teriparatide and Its Reference Medicinal Product. BioDrugs (2020) 34:65–75.

- 5. USP monograph for teriparatide. https://doi org/10.31003/USPNF_M80910_04_01
- ExPASY PeptideMass. https://web.expasy.org/peptide_mass/ Gasteiger E., Gattiker A., Hoogland C., Ivanyi I., Appel R.D., Bairoch A. ExPASy: the proteomics server for in-depth protein knowledge and analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 31:3784-3788(2003)

Featured Products

| Description | Cat. No. |
|--|------------------|
| HPLC columns | |
| BIOshell™ A160 Peptide C18, 2.7 μm particle size, L × I.D. 15 cm × 2.1 mm | 66905-U |
| Chromolith [®] RP-18e, L × I.D. 100 mm × 4.6 mm | 1.02129 |
| Chromolith® High Resolution RP-18e, L × I.D. 100 mm × 2.0 mm | 1.52322 |
| Ascentis® Express Phenyl-Hexyl, 2.7 μ m particle size, L × I.D. 10 cm × 3.0 mm | 53345-U |
| Ascentis [®] Express F5, 2.7 μ m particle size, L × I.D. 10 cm × 3.0 mm | 53578-U |
| Chemicals & reagents | |
| Teriparatide (USP) | 1643962 |
| Endoproteinase Glu-C from Staphylococcus aureus V8 | P2922-100UN |
| Water, HPLC-Grade | 270733 |
| Acetonitrile, HPLC -Grade | 900667 |
| Sodium phosphate monobasic | 52074 |
| Sodium phosphate, dibasic, heptahydrate | S9390 |
| Sodium hydroxide solution (50% in H2O) | 415413-100ML |
| Phosphoric acid | 49685 |
| Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) | 302031 |
| Instruments & consumables | |
| Eppendorf ThermoMixer® F1.5 | EP5384000012 |
| Vials, amber glass, volume 2 mL | 27344 |
| Pipette 0.5-10 µL | EP4924000223 |
| Pipette 10-100 µL | EP4861000716-1EA |
| Pipette 100-1000 µL | EP4924000282 |
| Pipette tips 0.1-20 µL box | Z640204 |
| Pipette tips 2-200 µL box | Z640220 |
| Pipette tips 50-1000 µL box | Z640247 |

Related Products

| Description | Cat. No. |
|---|-------------|
| Teriparatide, certified reference material, pharmaceutical secondary standard | PHR8659-1MG |

UHPLC-MS Bottom-Up Analysis of Trastuzumab on a BIOshell[™]A160 Peptide C18 Column

Introduction

Bottom-up analysis (also called peptide mapping) is a routine assay performed by analysts in the biopharmaceutical industry as determining the primary structure of a biotherapeutic is a critical quality attribute (CQA). Narrow I.D. columns with 15 cm lengths are typically employed for this analysis in order to achieve high resolution and sensitivity. However, peptide mapping methods require a long run time and, therefore, utilize larger volumes of solvent than shorter methods. This requirement leads to higher costs of the method in terms of higher volumes of solvent used as well as additional expense in removing the solvent from the laboratory. This application note demonstrates the use of a new, 1.5 mm I.D. column in reducing solvent consumption for peptide mapping assays without a compromise in method performance.



Conditions

| Column: | BIOshell™ A160 Peptide C18, 15 cm x 2.1 or 1.5 mm I.D., 2.7 µm |
|---------------|---|
| Mobile phase: | [A] Water (0.1% (v/v) DFA); [B] Acetonitrile (0.1% (v/v) DFA) |
| Gradient: | 2 – 50% B in 60 min |
| Flow rate: | 0.2 mL/min (1.5 mm I.D.) or 0.4 mL/min (2.1 mm I.D.) |
| Column temp.: | 60 °C |
| Detector: | MSD, ESI-(+) |
| Injection: | 2.0 µL |
| Sample: | Trastuzumab tryptic digest, 1.25 mg/mL, 1.5 M Guanidine hydrochloride, 0.5% (v/v) formic acid |
| | |

MS Conditions

| Spray voltage: | 3.8 kV |
|-----------------|--------|
| Capillary temp: | 320 °C |
| Sheath gas: | 35 |
| Aux gas: | 10 |
| RF lens: | 50 |
| | |

Conclusion

This application note described the use of a new 1.5 mm I.D. column to reduce solvent consumption in peptide mapping workflows without a compromise in efficiency. As noted, 50% less solvent was consumed, as compared to a 2.1 mm I.D. column, using the 1.5 mm I.D. column as the optimum flow rate for this column is 0.2 mL/min. This observation translates to only 12 mL of solvent being used in this assay versus

24 mL using a 2.1 mm I.D. column. By using less solvent, the cost per sample is reduced as well as the cost of waste disposal, making this a truly "green" method. Finally, sensitivity, in general, was improved using the 1.5 mm I.D. column versus the 2.1 mm I.D. column, enabling more accurate quantitation of signature peptides as well as the detection of post-translational modifications.

Featured Products

| tion |
|--|
| I™ A160 Peptide C18, 15 cm x 1.5 mm I.D., 2.7 µm UHPLC Column |
| I™ A160 Peptide C18, 15 cm x 2.1 mm I.D., 2.7 µm UHPLC Column |
| or UHPLC, suitable for MS |
| rile, for UHPLC, suitable for MS |
| ne hydrochloride, \geq 99% (titration), organic base and chaeotropic agent |
| acetic acid, for LC-MS, LiChropur™ |
| acid, for LC-MS LiChropur™, 97.5-98.5% (T) |
| |

Related Products

| Product Part Number | Description |
|---------------------|---|
| MSQC22 | SILu™Lite SigmaMAb™ Trastuzumab Monoclonal Antibody |
| EMS0004 | SOLu-Trypsin |

Protein Fingerprinting of a Viral Vector, AAV5

Geoffrey Rule¹, Kevin Ray², Uma Sreenivasan³, Cory Muraco¹,

Agnieszka Lass-Napiorkowska², Pei Liu²

- 1. 595 N. Harrison Rd, Bellefonte, PA 16828
- 2. 2909 Laclede Ave., Saint Louis, MO 63103
- 3. 811 Paloma Dr., Suite A, Round Rock, TX 78665

Introduction

The culture and use of adeno-associated virus (AAV) as a gene delivery device has seen much interest in recent years as a strategy for delivering targeted gene therapies towards muscle, nerve, liver, and eye disorders. Different serotypes of AAV target specific tissues and genetic engineering is also being used to create hybrid types with altered tissue specificity. In-depth characterization of the viral capsid proteins, as well as the genomic content, is essential to verify critical quality attributes of these particles. Both the amino acid sequence as well as post-translational modifications (PTMs) that are found on the viral capsid proteins can have an impact on the tissue tropism, efficacy, and immunogenicity of AAV.¹ PTMs that have been identified on AAV include phosphorylation, SUMOylation, ubiguitination, acetylation, methylation, and glycosylation.²

The USP released draft quidelines³ for the analytical characterization of viral vectors in 2022 to provide method starting points for determination of critical quality attributes. A variety of tests are described to characterize identity, purity, concentration, and potency, among other traits. For determination of capsid identity, starting methods are provided that use Western blotting, reverse-phase HPLC with UV detection, and HPLC with MS detection. The latter approach describes the use of both intact mass analysis of the capsid proteins, as well as amino acid sequence analysis using peptide mapping. Here we describe our own work to develop methods for protein fingerprinting of AAV serotype 5 using both intact mass analysis and peptide mapping. Several post-translational modifications of the viral proteins VP1, VP2 and VP3 were identified.

Experimental Methods

A system suitability mix, MSRT1, was prepared according to the instructions on the data sheet but with a final acetonitrile concentration of 1.6%. The injection volume was 10 μ L. This solution is a mix of 14 isotopically labelled peptides injected prior to injection of samples to verify instrument performance.

AAV Production

AAV5 subtype (Q9YIJ1) was produced in HEK293 non-T VirusExpress[®] 293 AAV Production Cells (cat. no. VP002) using EX-CELL® CD HEK293 Viral Vector Medium (cat.no. 14385C). Three transfection plasmids carrying: 1. Replication and capsid genes; 2. certain adenovirus genes on a helper plasmid and 3. a plasmid with the gene of interest, in this case green fluorescence protein (GFP), were used. After three days of transfection, full AAV capsids, as well as product related impurities such as empty capsids, and capsids filled with host DNA fragments or plasmid backbones, were produced. The HEK cells were then lysed with detergent, treated with benzonase/magnesium, to digest all unused plasmid DNA and host nucleic acids, and finally treated with 0.5 M sodium chloride. The clarified lysate was affinity purified on an FPLC system (ÄKTA) using commercially available resin, from host cell proteins and digested nucleic acids. This process resulted in viral particle (vp) concentrations between 4E+12 and 2E+13 vp/mL as determined by ddPCR.

Intact Mass Analysis

AAV particles were treated with 10% acetic acid for 15 minutes to dissociate capsid proteins before centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for five minutes. Approximately 0.6 μ g of total capsid protein was injected for each run.

Chromatography was performed on BIOshellTM A400 Protein C4, 3.4 µm particle, and BIOshellTM IgG 1000 Å C4, 2.7 µm particle columns, with a competitor column, mentioned in the draft guideline, for comparison. All had dimensions of 100 x 2.1 mm. Mass spectrometry was conducted on a WatersTM Xevo[®] G2-S QTof in positive ion mode. Chromatography conditions and instrument parameters for the applied LC-UV-MS method were as shown in **Tables 1** and **2** below.

Table 1. HPLC conditions for intact mass analysis

| LC Conditions | | | | | |
|---------------|---|-------|----------|--|--|
| Instrument: | ACQUITY [™] Premier UPLC | | | | |
| Columns: | BIOshell™ A400 Protein C4, 100 x 2.1 mm, 3.4 μm (66825-U); BIOshell™ IgG 1000 Å C4, 100 x 2.1 mm, 2.7 μm (63288-U) | | | | |
| Mobile phase: | [A] Water containing 5% (v/v) acetonitrile with 0.1% TFA; [B] Acetonitrile (0.1% v/v TFA) | | onitrile | | |
| Gradient: | Time (min) | A (%) | B (%) | | |
| | 0.0 | 80 | 20 | | |
| | 1.0 | 68 | 32 | | |
| | 16.0 | 64 | 36 | | |
| | 20.0 | 20 | 80 | | |
| | 21.5 | 20 | 80 | | |
| | 22.0 | 80 | 20 | | |
| | 30.0 | 80 | 20 | | |
| Flow rate: | 0.2 mL/min | | | | |
| Column temp.: | 80 °C | | | | |
| Detector: | UV @ 280 nm and mass spectrometry (Table 2) | | | | |
| Injection: | 5 μL | | | | |
| Sample: | As described in text | | | | |

Table 2. MS Conditions used for intact mass analysis

| Mass Spectrometer Conditions | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Instrument: | Waters [™] Xevo [®] G2-S QTof |
| Polarity: | Positive ion |
| Capillary (kV): | 3.0 kV |
| Sampling cone: | 120 V |
| Source offset: | 120 V |
| Source temperature: | 125 °C |
| m/z range: | 500-4000 |

Peptide Mapping

Digestion of purified AAV particles was conducted with both trypsin and, separately, chymotrypsin, using a Low-Artifact Digestion Buffer (**cat.no. EMS0011**) and a filter-assisted, sample preparation protocol. For details on this protocol, please see the online application note.⁴

Both a Supelco[®] BIOshell[™] A160 Peptide C18, 2.7 µm particle column and an identical BIOshell[™] column with 2 µm particles were used with gradient conditions outlined in the USP draft guidelines.³ Both columns were 150 x 2.1 mm in dimension. For comparison, a competitor C18 column, mentioned in the draft guideline, was evaluated using the same conditions (**Table 3**) and column dimensions.

Mass spectrometry was conducted on a ThermoTM QE Plus in positive ion mode using a scan range of 200 to 2000 m/z and data dependent MS2 of the top ten ions (**Table 4**).

Table 3. HPLC conditions used for peptide mapping

| LC Conditions | | | | | |
|---------------|---|----|----|--|--|
| Instrument: | ACQUITY™ UPLC | | | | |
| Columns: | BIOshell [™] A160 Peptide C18, 2.7 µm (66905-U); BIOshell [™] A160 Peptide C18, 2 µm (67243-U); Competitor C18 130 Å, 2.5 µm FPP column All 150 x 2.1 mm | | | | |
| Mobile phase: | [A] 0.1% Formic acid in water;[B] 0.1% Formic acid in acetonitrile | | | | |
| Gradient: | Time (min) A (%) B (%) | | | | |
| | 0.0 | 97 | 3 | | |
| | 0.5 | 97 | 3 | | |
| | 50.0 | 45 | 55 | | |
| | 50.1 | 10 | 90 | | |
| | 55.0 | 10 | 90 | | |
| | 55.1 | 97 | 3 | | |
| | 75.0 | 97 | 3 | | |
| Flow rate: | 0.25 mL/min | | | | |
| Column temp.: | 40 °C | | | | |
| Pressure: | 2890 psi (2.7 μm column); 5725 psi (2 μm column); 3555 psi (2.5 μm column) at start of run. | | | | |
| Detector: | MS (Table 4) | | | | |
| Injection: | 5 µL | | | | |
| Sample: | As described in tex | ¢t | | | |

Table 4. Mass spectrometer conditions used forpeptide mapping

| MS Conditions | |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Instrument: | Thermo [™] QE Plus |
| Polarity: | Positive ion |
| Spray voltage: | 3.5 kV |
| Capillary temperature: | 320 °C |
| Sheath gas: | 10 |
| Aux gas: | 5 |
| S-Lens | 50 V |
| m/z range: | 200-2000 |
| ddMS2: | Top 10 |
| | |

Results

Protein Fingerprinting

The draft USP guidelines provided a starting point for MS characterization of viral vectors by both intact capsid fingerprinting and by peptide mapping. The draft guideline also describes an additional method, using UV detection and a 2-hour chromatographic run, for determination of capsid stoichiometry. While we did not replicate that method, we did use UV detection in conjunction with mass spectrometry of the intact viral capsids over the shorter 30-minute run. Integration of the UV detected peaks was then used to evaluate capsid stoichiometry. The combined LC-UV-MS analysis provided a convenient one-method assessment of fingerprint and stoichiometry in a shorter run time.

Intact Mass Analysis of VP1, VP2, and VP3

The comparison of columns for separation of the intact capsid proteins is shown in **Figure 1**. Both the BIOshell[™] A400 C4 and BIOshell[™] IgG 1000 Å C4 columns provided good retention of the proteins using the gradient described in the draft guideline. Separation of VP1 from VP2 and VP3 was also achieved. The BIOshell[™] A400 C4 column also provided partial separation of a VP3-clip from VP3. The competitor column gave faster elution with the same conditions but did not show a distinct peak for VP1. Deconvolution of the peaks observed on the A400 C4 column resulted in the mass determinations shown in **Figure 2**.



Figure 1. Total ion current (TIC) profile comparison of AAV5 capsid protein retention and separation on three columns evaluated.



Figure 2. Deconvoluted spectra from each of 4 dominant peaks observed on the BIOshell™ A400 Protein C4 column.

Both VP1 and VP3 were observed to be highly acetylated while VP2 showed a degree of phosphorylation. The presence of 0.1% TFA in the mobile phases was found to result in a substantial amount of TFA adduction on each of the proteins. Interestingly, other AAV serotypes (AAV2, AAV8) we have examined have not shown this same degree of TFA adduction. Replacing the TFA with 0.1% formic acid resulted in a loss of chromatographic separation of the three capsid proteins although the TFA adduction was eliminated (data not shown). The use of difluoroacetic acid (DFA) could be evaluated as a compromise between chromatographic separation and MS sensitivity. A clipped form of VP3 was also observed, corresponding to the cleavage of the aspartic acid-proline(695) bond. This bond is known to be particularly labile to hydrolysis under acidic conditions and elevated temperature, and similar clip proteoforms have been reported by others.^{5,6} **Table 5** shows the close agreement of the observed masses and the theoretical masses for the capsid proteins.

Table 5. Observed masses and the theoretical masses for the capsid proteins

| Viral Protein | AA seq | Modification | Theoretical mass (Da) | Observed mass (Da) | Mass error (%) |
|---------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| VP1 | 2-724 | N-term Acetylation | 80336 | 80335 | 0.0012 |
| VP2 | 138-724 | | 65283 | 65282 | 0.0015 |
| VP3 | 194-724 | N-term Acetylation | 59463 | 59462 | 0.0017 |
| VP3-clip | 194-694 | N-term Acetylation | 56125 | 56123 | 0.0036 |

Using the same conditions shown in **Table 1**, but with UV detection, a measurement of the relative abundance of the four main peaks was made, as shown in **Figure 3**.



Figure 3. Stoichiometry determination using UV (280 nm) detection on the BIOshell™ A400 Protein C4 column.

While the stoichiometry of AAV capsids is sometimes suggested to have a specific ratio of capsid proteins, such as 1:1:10, Wörner et al.⁷ nicely describe the process of capsid formation as being a stochastic sampling of capsid proteins available in the cell pool. AAV capsids are then made up of a highly heterogenous composition of three capsid proteins so that measured stoichiometry represents only an average composition. Combining both the UV and MS detection in the same run is an added convenience in evaluation of samples.

Peptide Mapping

For the comparison here, we used the column and conditions suggested in the draft USP guidelines; a competitor column and gradient conditions shown in **Tables 3** and **4**, above. This gradient is delivered over a 50-minute period. On both the competitor and BIOshell[™] columns, good sequence coverage was obtained using tryptic digestion but with slightly better sequence coverage on the BIOshell[™] columns due to retention of several small, early eluting peptides including VVTK, ADEVAR, GEPVNR, SLRVK, RIDDHFPKR (**Figure 4**). Importantly, the N-terminal sequence of VP2, (APTGK) was also identified on these columns, in addition to the N-terminal sequences of VP1 and VP3 as shown in **Figure 5**.



Figure 4. Venn diagram showing the number of peptides identified using each of the three columns evaluated.

One large section of 60 amino acids was not covered by tryptic digestion alone so a separate digestion was performed with chymotrypsin to increase overall sequence coverage. Similar multi-enzyme digestion of AAV capsids has been shown useful by others.⁸ Using the two enzymes, separately, coverage was increased to 100% on the BIOshell[™] columns.



Figure 5. Product ion spectra providing sequence coverage of VP1, VP2, and VP3 N-termini as well as C-terminus of each.

A summary of the PTMs identified, along with their percent abundance on each of the three columns is shown in **Table 6.**

| Protein | Residue # | Modification | Peptide Sequence | % Abundance Competitor C18 | % Abundance BIOshell™ Peptide C18 2 μm | % Abundance BIOshell™ Peptide C18 2.7 µm |
|---------|-----------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| VP1 | 1 | S1+Acetylation | SFVDHPPDWLEEVGEGLR | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| VP3 | 1 | S1+Acetylation | SAGGGGPLGDNNQGADGV GNASGDWHCDSTWMGDR | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| VP1 | 55 | N55+Deamidation | GLVLPGYNYLGPGNGLDR | 28.2 | 30.2 | 25.2 |
| VP1,2,3 | 468 | M468+Oxidation | NWFPGPMGR | 0.53 | 0.56 | 0.67 |
| VP1,2,3 | 474 | W474+Oxidation | TQGWNLGSGVNR | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.20 |
| VP1,2,3 | 568 | M568+Oxidation | VAYNVGGQMATNNQSSTTA PATGTYNLQEIVPGSVWMER | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.59 |
| VP1,2,3 | 623 | M623+Oxidation | IPETGAHFHPSPAMGGFGLK HPPPMMLIK; IPETGAHFHPSPAMGGFGLK | 0.28 | 1.24 | 0.34 |
| VP1,2,3 | 530 | S530+Phosphorylation | IFNSQPANPGTTATY | 5.70 | 5.80 | 5.90 |
| VP1,2,3 | ~648 | ~S648+Phosphorylation | MLIKNTPVPGNITSF; IKNTPVPGNITSF | 2.12 | 2.70 | 2.54 |
| VP2 | 1-41 | Phosphorylation | APTGKRIDDHFPKRKKARTEE DSKPSTSSDAEAGPSGSQQL | 9.55 | 5.50 | 9.51 |
| VP1,2 | 155-227 | Phosphorylation | TEEDSKPSTSSDAEAGPSGSQ QLQIPAQPASSLGADTMSAG GGGPLGDNNQGADGVGNA SGDWHCDSTWMGDR | 7.68 | 11.59 | 9.10 |

Both VP1 and VP3 were found to be 100% acetylated while at least four sites of phosphorylation were identified. Acetylation of the N-terminus of AAV capsids appears to be highly conserved across serotypes.² In addition to the deamination site, four sites of oxidation were observed with all being less than 1% abundance of the unoxidized forms. Overall, the agreement in the abundances of the PTMs between the columns was very good.

Conclusions

Several column comparisons were shown to demonstrate uses of the BIOshell[™] line of columns for characterizing viral vectors, in this case AAV serotype 5. Conditions outlined in the USP draft guideline were used for both intact mass fingerprinting of viral capsids and for peptide mapping experiments, but we suggest that further improvements in chromatography might be made with additional gradient optimization.

The BIOshell[™] columns, particularly the A400 Protein C4 column, have proven to be effective in separating the three capsid proteins of AAV5 for intact mass analysis and stoichiometry evaluation. In addition, the A400 column provides partial separation of the VP3-clip from VP3. The competitor column also shows partial separation of VP3-clip from VP3 but with coelution of VP1.

The Peptide C18 columns, in both the 2.7 and 2.0 µm particle sizes proved to be useful in retaining short, polar peptides to provide slightly improved sequence coverage over the competitor column. Retention of the N-terminus of VP2 was only provided by the BIOshell[™] columns.

The USP draft conditions for mobile phase and gradient conditions to both approaches to characterizing capsids were used here, but we suggest these conditions might benefit from further optimization with other AAV serotypes or specific PTMs.

References

- 1. Yan Z, Zak R, Luxton GW, Ritchie TC, Bantel-Schaal U, Engelhardt JF. Ubiquitination of both adeno-associated virus type 2 and 5 capsid proteins affects the transduction efficiency of recombinant vectors. J Virol. 2002;76(5):2043-53. DOI: 10.1128/jvi.76.5.2043-2053.2002.
- Mary B, Maurya S, Arumugam S, Kumar V, Jayandharan GR. Post-translational modifications in capsid proteins of recombinant adeno-associated virus (AAV) 1-rh10 serotypes. Febs j. 2019;286(24):4964-81. DOI: 10.1111/febs.15013.
- 3. USP. Analytical Procedures for Viral Vectored Vaccine Quality 2022
- Rule G, Jalili P, Sreenivasan U, Ray K. Peptide mapping LC-MS/MS analysis workflow for adalimumab. https://www.sigmaaldrich. com/US/en/technical-documents/protocol/pharmaceuticaland-biopharmaceutical-manufacturing/biologics-biosimilarscharacterization/peptide-mapping-lc-ms-ms-analysis-workflowfor-adalimumab. [accessed 24.07.2023]
- Wu Z, Wang H, Tustian A, Qiu H, Li N. Development of a Two-Dimensional Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Platform for Simultaneous Multi-Attribute Characterization of Adeno-Associated Viruses. Anal Chem. 2022;94(7):3219-26. DOI: 10.1021/acs. analchem.1c04873.
- 6. Vlasak J, Ionescu R. Fragmentation of monoclonal antibodies. mAbs. 2011;3(3):253-63. DOI: 10.4161/mabs.3.3.15608.
- 7. Wörner TP, Bennett A, Habka S, Snijder J, Friese O, Powers T, et al. Adeno-associated virus capsid assembly is divergent and stochastic. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1642. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-21935-5.
- Guapo F, Strasser L, Millán-Martín S, Anderson I, Bones J. Fast and efficient digestion of adeno associated virus (AAV) capsid proteins for liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) based peptide mapping and post translational modification analysis (PTMs). J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2022;207:114427. DOI: 10.1016/j.jpba.2021.114427.

Featured Products

| Product | Cat. No. |
|--|-------------|
| HPLC | |
| BIOshell™ A160 Peptide C18, 15 cm x 2.1 mm I.D., 2.7 µm | 66905-U |
| BIOshell™ A160 Peptide C18, 15 cm x 2.1 mm I.D., 2.0 µm | 67243-U |
| BIOshell™ A400 Protein C4, 10 cm x 2.1 mm I.D., 3.4 µm | 66825-U |
| BIOshell™ IgG 1000 Å C4, 10 cm x 2.1 mm I.D., 2.7 μm | 63288-U |
| Acetonitrile, LiChrosolv [®] hypergrade for LC-MS | 1.00029 |
| Formic acid, for LC-MS LiChropur™ | 533002 |
| Trifluoroacetic acid, eluent additive for LC-MS, LiChropur™ | 80457 |
| Difluoroacetic acid, for LC-MS LiChropur [™] | 00922 |
| Water, LiChrosolv [®] for LC-MS | 1.15333 |
| Samples and System Suitability Reagents | |
| MS RT Calibration Mix, Proteomics Retention Time Standard for LC-MS | MSRT1 |
| Sample Preparation | |
| Acetic acid, for LC-MS LiChropur™ | 5.33001 |
| Chymotrypsin Sequencing Grade, 4x25 µg | 11418467001 |
| Iodoacetamide (IAM), Single use vial of 56 mg | A3221 |
| Low Artifact Digestion Buffer | EMS0011 |
| SOLu-Trypsin, recombinant, expressed in <i>Pichia</i> pastoris, Proteomics Grade, liquid | EMS0004 |
| Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), 0.5 M, pH 7.0(aqueous solution; pH was adjusted with ammonium hydroxide) | 646547 |
| Microcon®-30kDa Centrifugal Filter Unit with Ultracel®-30 membrane | MRCF0R030 |

Related Products

| Product | Cat. No. |
|---|----------|
| Sample Preparation | |
| a-Chymotrypsin from bovine pancreas, suitable for protein sequencing, salt-free, lyophilized powder | C6423 |
| Acetonitrile for UHPLC-MS LiChrosolv® | 1.03725 |
| Acetonitrile for UHPLC, suitable for mass spectrometry (MS) | 900667 |
| Water for UHPLC-MS LiChrosolv® | 1.03728 |
| Water for UHPLC, suitable for mass spectrometry (MS) | 900682 |

Learn more about BIOshell[™] U/HPLC columns at **SigmaAldrich.com/HPLC**

Intact/Middle up analysis

Intact and Middle-Up Analysis

Intact antibody analysis and middle-up analysis are valuable techniques in the field of biopharmaceutical research, providing crucial insights into the structural characterization and quality control of therapeutic antibodies. Intact antibody analysis focuses on determining the intact mass and evaluating the overall integrity of antibodies, while middle-up analysis involves the characterization of subunits or fragments of the antibody. These analyses are vital for ensuring the safety, efficacy, and consistency of antibodybased drugs, as any alterations or variations in the structure can impact their functionality and therapeutic properties. By employing these techniques, researchers can gain a comprehensive understanding of the antibody's structure and detect any modifications or impurities that may arise during production or storage.

Performing intact antibody analysis typically involves a combination of techniques, including liquid chromatography (LC) and mass spectrometry (MS). The process begins with the separation of the intact antibody from any impurities in the sample using LC. The separated antibody is then introduced into the mass spectrometer, where it is ionized and analyzed to determine the intact mass. By comparing the measured mass with the theoretical mass of the antibody, researchers can assess the presence of any modifications or variations in the structure. Middle-up analysis, on the other hand, focuses on the characterization of antibody subunits or fragments. This analysis involves the digestion of the antibody using specific proteases, such as IdeS, which cleave it into smaller fragments while preserving structural information. The resulting fragments are then separated and analyzed using LC-MS, allowing for the identification and quantification of the subunits. Middle-up analysis provides insights into the structural heterogeneity, post-translational modifications, and subunit interactions within the antibody, enabling researchers to evaluate its quality and functionality.

Intact antibody analysis and middle-up analysis play vital roles in the structural characterization and quality control of therapeutic antibodies. These techniques provide valuable information about the intact mass, subunit composition, and modifications of the antibody, ensuring the safety, efficacy, and consistency of antibody-based drugs. By employing advanced analytical methods such as liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry, researchers can accurately assess the structural integrity of antibodies and monitor any modifications that may impact their therapeutic properties.

Workflow for Middle-up Analysis



Antibody purification



Antibody proteolysis and reduction



Chromatography



Measurement and analysis
Analysis of Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibody Trastuzumab using BIOshell™ A400 Protein C4 Column

Fast and high-resolution analysis of intact monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) Sundaram Palaniswamy, Segment Lead, Pharma QC; Aditya Pratihast, Application Scientist



Abstract

Although the majority of small molecules analysed by reversed phase have a mass below 1500 Da, there is a growing need to improve the performance of HPLC columns for the separation of therapeutic proteins and protein drug conjugates. This application note demonstrates a fast and reproducible reversed phase method with high-resolution for the analysis of intact therapeutic monoclonal antibody, trastuzumab. Separation and quantification were achieved using a BIOshell[™] A400 Protein C4 column in less than 5 minutes, and more importantly, the optimised method was able to monitor degradation compounds created by heat stress studies.

Introduction

Over the past few years, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) have become the best-selling drugs in the pharmaceutical market, and in 2018, eight of the top 10 best-selling drugs worldwide were biologics. The global therapeutic monoclonal antibody market was valued at approximately \$115 billion in 2018 growing up to \$300 billion by 2025. And although as of December 2019, 79 therapeutic mAbs have been approved by the US FDA for sales worldwide, there is a significant potential for the number to increase.¹ HPLC is a well-established method for the analysis of intact mAbs by Size Exclusion and Ion Exchange chromatography. However, technological advancements in the field of Reversed Phase (RP) have made them promising tools for the analysis on intact proteins.² Intact mAbs are yet analyzed with limited success using wide pore, fully porous particles due to their large molecular

size leading to slow mass transfer and long analysis times. Superficially porous particles have overcome these challenges, but have lower loadability and still a rather limited offering of different stationary phases. Moreover, highly resolving core-shell columns easily separate intact mAbs quickly and with high efficiency.

Here, we have demonstrated the suitability of the BIOshell[™] A400 Protein C4 column for a fast and high-resolution separation of intact trastuzumab using RP-HPLC. Retention time and area precision of the method were excellent, demonstrating the suitability of the column. Further we also showcase quantification and robustness that is highly suitable for biopharmaceutical QC applications.

Experimental

Equipment and Sample

The study was performed on a Shimadzu LC-2010CHT HPLC System. The therapeutic trastuzumab was purchased from a local pharmacy.

Methods

Chromatographic parameters for intact trastuzumab using a BIOshell $^{\rm TM}$ A400 Protein C4 column are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Chromatographic parameters used for RPHPLC analysis of trastuzumab

| LC Parameters | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Column: | BIOshell™ A400 Protein C4, 100 x 2.1 mm I.D., 3.4 µm (66825-U) | | | | |
| Mobile phase: | [A] Water + 0.1% TFA; [B] Acetonitrile + 0.09% TFA | | | | |
| | Time | 0/- A | 0/2 D | | |
| | Time | %A | %D | | |
| | | 95 | 5 | | |
| | 1 | 95 | 5 | | |
| Gradient program: | 2 | 80 | 20 | | |
| | 6 | 50 | 50 | | |
| | 8 | 5 | 95 | | |
| | 8.1 | 95 | 5 | | |
| Post time: | 2 minutes | | | | |
| Flow rate: | 1 mL/min | | | | |
| Autosampler temp.: | 5 °C | | | | |
| Column temp.: | 80 °C | | | | |
| Detector: | UV 280 nm, 20 Hz | | | | |
| Injection volume: | 10 µL | | | | |
| Sample: | 1 mg/mL trastuzumab (1:10 dilution of formulation in mobile phase A) | | | | |

Linearity, Limit of Quantitation (LOQ) and Limit of Detection (LOD)

The calibration curve was constructed with nine standard concentrations of trastuzumab from 1 to 25 μ g/mL. The mAb concentration that provided a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) > 3 was considered as LOD and S/N > 10 was considered as LOQ.

Forced Degradation Studies

We compared the chromatographic profiles of native and heat-stressed trastuzumab for monitoring degraded products. For the forced degradation studies, 1 mg/mL of trastuzumab was exposed to 10 ppm hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) followed by heating at 80 °C for 60 min. An aliquot of 10 µL was used for RP HPLC analysis.

Results and Discussion

Intact Trastuzumab Analysis

For the HPLC analysis, a BIOshell[™] A400 Protein C4, 3.4 µm HPLC column with core-shell particles and 400 Å pore size delivered reproducible, fast and high-resolution separation of intact trastuzumab, making it suitable for biopharma development and QC applications. **Figure 1** demonstrates excellent peak shape and overlays of six replicates in less than 5 minutes under the chromatographic conditions.



Figure 1. RP-HPLC analysis of trastuzumab on a BIOshell $^{\rm m}$ A400 Protein C4, 100 x 2.1 mm, 3.4 μm HPLC column.

Precision of Retention Time and Area

Table 2 shows the average Retention Time (RT) and Area RSDs from six replicates of trastuzumab injections. The Retention Time and Peak Area RSDs were less than 0.1% and 0.29 %, respectively, which demonstrates excellent reproducibility of the method and, thus, the precision of the method.

Table 2. Retention time and peak area precision (n = 6) for trastuzumab (1 mg/mL)

| | Mean | RSD (%) |
|----------------------|--------|---------|
| Retention Time (min) | 4.58 | 0.1 |
| Peak Area | 987268 | 0.29 |

Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation

The LOD and LOQ were $0.125 \ \mu g/mL$ and $0.25 \ \mu g/mL$, respectively, for trastuzumab, indicating that the method was sensitive. Observed LOD and LOQ values of trastuzumab are reported in **Table 3**. Representative chromatograms on same scale for 2 calibration runs & blank are shown overlayed in **Figure 2**.

Table 3. LOD, LOQ, and mean area and retention time (n = 3)

| | Concentration (µg/mL) | Mean Area (n=3) | Retention Time (min) |
|-----|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| LOD | 0.125 | 9562 | 4.58 |
| LOQ | 0.25 | 21977 | 4.58 |



Figure 2. Overlay of representative chromatograms on same scale for 2 calibration runs & blank.

Linearity

Linearity curves for trastuzumab were constructed from 1 μ g/mL up to 25 μ g/mL in this study using area response and concentration of trastuzumab. The average peak areas are listed in Table 4. The linearity curve for trastuzumab is shown in **Figure 3**.

Table 4. Summary of linearity range (n = 3) for trastuzumab

| Trastuzumab Conc. (µg/mL) | Average Area |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | 95,961 |
| 2 | 194,821 |
| 4 | 394,886 |
| 6 | 593,986 |
| 8 | 791,940 |
| 10 | 984,370 |
| 15 | 1,480,051 |
| 20 | 1,940,216 |
| 25 | 2,447,554 |



Figure 3. Linearity curve with nine standard concentrations of trastuzumab ranging from 1 to 25 μ g/mL showing excellent coefficient values. Also shown are chromatogram overlays for the linearity ranges.

Trastuzumab Degradation Studies

We compared the intact and stressed trastuzumab using RP-HPLC to evaluate if this method is stability indicating. Any deviations in peak RT or Area as a result of stress were considered degradation products. **Figure 4** compares the RP-HPLC profile of unstressed and heat stressed trastuzumab. The profiles indicate that the BIOshell[™] A400 Protein C4, HPLC column was able to distinguish between unstressed and stressed trastuzumab based on the peak shape and area.



Figure 4. BIOshell™ A400 Protein C4, 100 x 2.1 mm, 3.4 µm RP-HPLC profiles of unstressed (A) and heat stressed trastuzumab sample (B)

Conclusion

Analysis of intact mAbs provides a first level of interrogation of size, post translational modification and heterogeneity. RP-HPLC analysis of mAbs requires large pore sizes, a hydrophobic stationary phase and appropriate chromatographic methods. In this application note a simple LC-UV method for the analysis of intact trastuzumab was showcased. Using a BIOshell[™] A400 Protein C4 column, a high resolution and rapid separation of intact trastuzumab was developed. Area and RT precision of the method were excellent and showed the reliability of the method. The calibration curves with nine standard concentrations of trastuzumab had excellent coefficient of linearity values displaying that the method was quantitative and accurate. The LOD and LOQ for trastuzumab were found to be 0.125 µg/mL and 0.25 µg/mL, respectively, indicating the method was sensitive. In addition, heat stressed studies demonstrated that the BIOshell[™] A400 Protein C4 column was able to monitor degraded mAbs and the method could be used for stability studies.

References

- Lu R-M, Hwang Y-C, Liu I-Ju, Lee C-C, Tsai H-Z, Li H-J, Wu H-C. 2020. Development of therapeutic antibodies for the treatment of diseases. Journal of Biomedical Science. 27(1). https://doi. org/10.1186/s12929-019-0592-z
- Navas N, Herrera A, Martínez-Ortega A, Salmerón-García A, Cabeza J, Cuadros-Rodríguez L. 2013. Quantification of an intact monoclonal antibody, rituximab, by (RP)HPLC/DAD in compliance with ICH guidelines. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry. 405(29):9351– 9363. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-013-7368-1.

Featured Products

| Description | Cat. No. |
|--|----------|
| BIOshell™ A400 Protein C4, 100 x 2.1 mm I.D., 3.4 µm | 66825-U |
| LiChrosolv [®] Acetonitrile Isocratic Grade | 1.14291 |
| Trifluoroacetic acid HPLC grade | 302031 |
| Water for chromatography LiChrosolv® | 1.15333 |
| SILu™Lite SigmaMAb™ Trastuzumab Monoclonal Antibody | MSQC22 |

Learn more about our HPLC columns at SigmaAldrich.com/HPLC

UHPLC-MS Middle-Up and Intact Analysis of Trastuzumab on a BIOshell[™] IgG 1000 Å Diphenyl Column

Introduction

Sensitivity and recovery are two desirable traits in any method, especially in methods for characterizing monoclonal antibodies (mAbs). Having a method that can provide sharp, well-resolved peaks with sufficient area counts allows the analyst to better quantitate the analyte of interest and determine if any degradation products are in the sample. This application note demonstrates the advantage of using a smaller I.D. column (1.5 mm) versus a conventional 2.1 mm I.D. column. In the intact analysis of trastuzumab, double the area for the mAb was obtained on the 1.5 mm I.D. column as compared to the 2.1 mm I.D. column. In addition, in the reduced and alkylated assay, the area counts and sensitivity were improved significantly (2.7-fold increase in area count for the light chain (LC) and 2.3-fold increase in area count for the heavy chain (HC)) when using a 1.5 mm I.D. column as compared to a 2.1 mm I.D. column.



Conditions

| Column: | BIOshell™ IgG 1000 Å Diphenyl, 15 cm x 2.1 or 1.5 mm I.D., 2.7 µm |
|---------------|--|
| Mobile phase: | [A] Water (0.1% (v/v) DFA); [B] 50:50 Acetonitrile (0.1% (v/v) DFA): n-Propanol (0.1% (v/v) DFA) |
| Gradient: | 27 – 36% B in 40 min |
| Flow rate: | As indicated |
| Column temp.: | 60 °C |
| Detector: | MSD, ESI-(+) |
| Injection: | 3.0 µL |
| Sample: | Trastuzumab, 1.0 mg/mL, 100 mM Ammonium bicarbonate |

Conclusion

This application note describes the use of a 1.5 mm I.D. column in analyzing both intact and reduced trastuzumab. Improvements in both recovery and sensitivity were observed with the use of a 1.5 mm I.D. column over a conventional 2.1 mm I.D. column.

No special equipment or modifications to existing UHPLC systems were needed to achieve the benefits of the 1.5 mm I.D. column, which allows for any analyst to reap the benefits of this new geometry.

Materials

| bescription |
|---|
| IOshell™ IgG 1000 Å Diphenyl, 15 cm x 1.5 mm I.D., 2.7 μm |
| IOshell™ IgG 1000 Å Diphenyl, 15 cm x 2.1 mm I.D., 2.7 μm |
| Vater, for UHPLC, suitable for MS |
| cetonitrile, for UHPLC, suitable for MS |
| -Propanol, for liquid chromatography, LiChrosolv® |
| ifluoroacetic acid, for LC-MS, LiChropur™ |
| mmonium bicarbonate, BioUltra |
| |

Charge Variant Analysis (CVA) with Strong Cation Exchange and MS Compatible Buffers

Geoffrey Rule, Principal Scientist, MilliporeSigma Nivesh K. Mittal, Product Manager, HPLC, Shimadzu Scientific Instruments

Introduction

Protein therapeutics require thorough characterization to determine if all critical quality attributes (CQAs) meet specifications. This process includes the determination of various charge isoforms (variants) of the protein. Protein charge can vary based on amino acid composition, as well as from post-translational modifications (PTMs), such as deamidation, lysine clipping, N-terminal pyroglutamate formation, and glycosylation. A measure of protein charge is the isoelectric point, or pI, the pH at which the protein has an overall neutral charge. If pH is above the pI, then the protein will have a net negative charge. If below the pI, then a net positive charge will exist, and the protein can be retained on a cation exchange column.

Charge variant analysis (CVA) is often performed using cation exchange chromatography on either a weak, carboxylic acid-based resin, or a strong, sulfonic acidbased phase. In some cases, a gradient of non-volatile salts is used to affect the chromatographic separation of charge variants. With a gradient increase in cation concentration, the protein will be displaced from the resin and migrate down the column. In other cases, a mix of non-volatile organic buffers may be utilized to create a well-controlled pH gradient. In this case, the protein will elute through the column when the net charge equals zero. Neither of these approaches can be used with electrospray ionization mass spectrometry since these mobile phases are not compatible with electrospray sources. The use of electrospray ionization with high resolution, high mass accuracy mass spectrometry, is one of the most powerful approaches to characterizing whole proteins, especially when combined with a chromatographic separation. Intact mass analysis of protein in "native" form refers to analysis of nondigested protein in its natural, biological conformation. This technique is important to get an accurate picture of charge variants of the protein as they exist in biological conditions. Under denaturing conditions, such as in organic solvent or strongly acidic conditions, a protein is no longer in its native conformation and amino acids in the interior of the protein become exposed to the solvent conditions present. This method can alter the charge and conformation of the protein so that the biological state is no longer represented in the analysis. Native MS provides spectra at a higher mass, lower charge state, yielding broader separation of peaks in the spectral charge envelope, and may improve mass accuracy.¹ One other benefit of cation exchange-native MS, with a high-resolution instrument, is that one can discern the modifications creating the variants.²

Here, we report on the use of a polymeric cation exchange column to separate charge variants of two monoclonal antibodies using an LC-MS compatible, multi-modal gradient separation of ammonium acetate and pH.

Experimental Conditions

In this application note we use MS compatible buffers to separate mAb charge variants on an SCX column but with UV detection only (**Table 1**). The Proteomix[®] column used is a polymeric, nonporous particle with a sulfonic acid bonded phase.

Table 1. HPLC-UV conditions

| LC Conditions | | | |
|---------------|---|-------|-------|
| Instrument: | Shimadzu Nexera XS Inert UHPLC | | |
| Column: | Proteomix [®] SCX-NP5, 50 x 2.1 mm I.D., 5 μm (Z777156) | | |
| Mobile phase: | [A] 50 mM Ammonium acetate, pH 5.5 (acetic acid) followed by addition of 2% acetonitrile; [B] 200 mM Ammonium acetate, pH 8.5 (ammonium hydroxide) followed by addition of 2% acetonitrile | | |
| Gradient: | Time (min) | A (%) | B (%) |
| | 0.00 | 75 | 25 |
| | 1.00 | 45 | 55 |
| | 21.00 | 5 | 95 |
| | 22.00 | 75 | 25 |
| | 28.00 | 75 | 25 |
| Flow rate: | 0.1 mL/min | | |
| Column temp: | 30° C | | |
| Detector: | UV @ 280 nm | | |
| Injection: | 1 μL | | |
| Sample(s): | NISTmab solution: 10 mg/mL, in 12.5 mM L-histidine, 12.5 mM L-histidine HCl (pH 6.0) in water Infliximab solution: 10 mg/mL, in 12.5 mM histidine buffer | | |

Results and Discussion

When doing charge variant analysis by pH gradient, others have shown that the buffering capacity of the column itself can influence the gradient.³ Consequently, to minimize the influence of column buffering capacity, it was found beneficial to use shorter columns with larger particle sizes. Additionally, we have seen in the literature, as well as had personal communications, suggesting that metals in the HPLC system and column hardware can have a negative impact on separations of proteins by adsorbing to certain groups in the protein. For this application, we used an LC system designed to be free of wetted metal components in the sample flow path.

Heterogeneity of protein isoforms gives rise to both acidic and basic variants. These impurities are typically related to the most abundant form, or "main peak", so that one has early eluting acidic forms and later eluting basic forms (**Figure 1**). As the gradient proceeds, proteins elute from the column when they reach their isoelectric point, or pI.



Figure 1. Separation of several variant forms of the monoclonal antibody NISTMab.

Reproducibility

We wanted to evaluate the reproducibility of our charge variant separation over several days and using the same mobile phase preparation. The mobile phase was prepared on day 1 and after performing a series of four injections the mobile phases were left on the instrument at room temperature. On days 2 and 3, the same series of injections were made. Afterwards peaks in the region of interest were integrated and used to evaluate system reproducibility.



Figure 2. Overlay of 12 chromatograms analyzed over three days, four injections per day and using the same mobile phase preparation.

As shown in **Figure 2**, the 12 injections overlaid very well indicating stability of the column and mobile phase used. Analysis of the seven most abundant components of NISTmAb were used to generate the statistics in **Table 2**. The precision calculations of percent abundance for each component are seen to be excellent and increase only when evaluating very minor components. Retention time stability is also seen to be excellent, while recovery (based on peak area) was found to be very good with no significant drift observed over the course of the injections. Table 2. Integration Statistics for seven charge variant peaks of NISTmAb across 12 injections over three days.

| | Abun | dance | | R | etention Time | | | Peak Area | |
|--------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|---------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------|--------|
| | Avg (%) | Std Dev | CV (%) | Avg (min) | Std Dev | CV (%) | Avg Area (mAU*min) | Std Dev | CV (%) |
| Peak 1 | 86.325 | 0.092 | 0.11 | 10.344 | 0.0155 | 0.15 | 7707134 | 173062 | 2.25 |
| Peak 2 | 10.902 | 0.089 | 0.81 | 11.111 | 0.0166 | 0.15 | 973336 | 21474 | 2.21 |
| Peak 3 | 1.326 | 0.013 | 1.00 | 12.100 | 0.0223 | 0.18 | 118333 | 2358 | 1.99 |
| Peak 4 | 0.437 | 0.017 | 3.95 | 12.508 | 0.0245 | 0.20 | 39037 | 1177 | 3.02 |
| Peak 5 | 0.258 | 0.020 | 7.86 | 13.582 | 0.0293 | 0.22 | 23052 | 1704 | 7.39 |
| Peak 6 | 0.620 | 0.047 | 7.59 | 15.201 | 0.0363 | 0.24 | 55417 | 5116 | 9.23 |
| Peak 7 | 0.131 | 0.006 | 4.63 | 16.899 | 0.0420 | 0.25 | 11723 | 753 | 6.42 |

In addition to our evaluation of NISTmAb, we used the same conditions and mobile phases to evaluate a separation of infliximab. This material is available as a certified reference material (CRM) at 10 mg/mL in aqueous histidine buffer. **Figure 3** shows the separation achieved with infliximab using the same column and mobile phases but with a slightly modified gradient (shown in figure inset).



Figure 3. Separation of infliximab charge variants using gradient conditions shown in the inset and with a flow rate of 80 μ L/min. All other conditions the same as reported above. Integration of the peaks yielded 8% acidic variants (combined), 40% main peak, and 51% basic variants.

Conclusion

The Proteomix[®] SCX-NP5 column shows very good performance in separating several charge variants of NISTmAb and infliximab. While mass spectrometric detection was not used here, we show the separation of charge variants using MS compatible mobile phases (ammonium acetate buffers), as demonstrated by the literature cited below, on a metal-free UHPLC system. These mobile phases were found to provide reproducible chromatography over at least three days.

References

- Bailey AO, Han G, Phung W, Gazis P, Sutton J, Josephs JL, Sandoval W. 2018. Charge variant native mass spectrometry benefits mass precision and dynamic range of monoclonal antibody intact mass analysis. MAbs. 10(8):1214–1225. doi:10.1080/19420862.2018.15 21131.
- Leblanc Y, Faid V, Lauber MA, Wang Q, Bihoreau N, Chevreux G. 2019. A generic method for intact and subunit level characterization of mAb charge variants by native mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 1133(121814):121814. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2019.121814
- 3. Füssl F, Cook K, Scheffler K, Farrell A, Mittermayr S, Bones J. 2018. Charge variant analysis of monoclonal antibodies using direct coupled pH gradient cation exchange chromatography to highresolution native mass spectrometry. Anal Chem. 90(7):4669–4676. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b05241.

Featured Products

| Description | Cat. No. |
|---|----------|
| HPLC | |
| Proteomix [®] SCX-NP5 (2.1 mm x 50) mm, 5 µm | Z777156 |
| Acetonitrile, LiChrosolv® hypergrade for LC-MS | 1.00029 |
| Water, LiChrosolv [®] for LC-MS | 1.15333 |
| Ammonium acetate, LiChropur [™] for LC-MS | 73594 |
| Ammonium hydroxide, OmniTrace [®] Ultra * | AX1308 |
| Acetic acid, LiChropur [™] for LC-MS | 5.33001 |
| Samples and System Suitability Reagents | |
| NISTmAb, Humanized $IgG1_k$ Monoclonal Antibody | NIST8671 |
| Infliximab (Remicade) solution, certified reference material, Cerilliant [®] , 0.25 mL | I-042 |

*Only available in North America, alternative is LiChropur[™] product cat.no. 5.43830

Read more about pharmaceutical analysis and quality control at **SigmaAldrich.com/pharmaQC**

Protocol for Purification, Optional Reduction, and SEC-MS Analysis of a **Monoclonal Antibody**

Stephan Altmaier¹, Pegah Jalili², Uma Sreenivasan³, Kevin Ray² ¹Darmstadt, Germany, ²St.Louis, MO, USA, ³Round Rock, TX, USA

Workflow For Intact And Middle-Up Mass Analysis Of Adalimumab

A complete SEC-MS workflow has been developed to simplify intact mass analysis of both non-reduced and reduced monoclonal antibodies (mAbs).

In detail, it includes:

- Antibody purification using immobilized protein A
- Antibody reduction procedure (optional)

Characterization of Monoclonal

Intact and Subunit Mass Analysis

Antibodies and the Role of SEC-MS in

Monoclonal antibodies (or immunoglobulins - IgGs)

are large glycoproteins with a molecular weight of

approximately 150 kDa (150,000 g/Mol). They are

weight ca. 25 kDa each) and two identical heavy chains (HC, molecular weight ca. 50 kDa each) linked

composed of two identical light chains (LC, molecular

through covalent inter- and intra-chain disulfide bonds.

They are utilized for the treatment of various types of

cancer, and other diseases such as multiple sclerosis,

- Mass spectrometer calibration
- System suitability test utilizing a recombinant human monoclonal antibody reference
- One generic SEC-MS method suitable for sample separation and analysis of both non-reduced and reduced monoclonal antibodies



Antibody purification

Antibody proteolysis and reduction

> chromatographic technique (e.g., size exclusion, reversed phase or hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography, respectively - SEC, RP or HILIC)^{3,4} coupled with mass spectrometry (MS). This combination allows for different types of analyses to be carried out, e.g., accurate mass measurement of the intact mAb and subunits, peptide mapping, and the determination of post-translation modifications such as glycosylation, oxidation, and deamidation.

> Several techniques are applied to simplify antibody analysis by either fragmentation or removal of glycans. The latter can be performed by treatment with PNGase F, whereas proteolysis with IdeS⁵ or reduction of inter-chain disulfide bonds with reducing agents, such as dithiothreitol, result in the formation of different antibody fragments with masses of 25 - 50 kDa. Various combinations of these techniques can be applied. For analysis of mAbs in cell culture supernatants, these may be combined with a preceding affinity purification step.6 These approaches are referred to as intact mass and middle-up analysis methods.⁷ The former term relates to the measurement of the mass of an intact mAb without controlled dissociation being performed. Such an experiment reveals information about stoichiometry, proteoforms, and modifications. Middle up experiments include mass measurement

Careful and thorough characterization of therapeutic mAbs is essential for ensuring drug safety and efficacy. mAbs are typically manufactured in mammalian host

Alzheimer's disease, and migraine.

cell lines in bioreactors, generating a large number of heterogeneous drug molecules. It is important to establish critical quality attributes (CQAs) for each mAb and demonstrating that production batches are within acceptable limits are requirements for both innovator and biosimilar therapeutics.1,2

In many cases, the characterization of an antibody-based drug is performed using a specific after cleaving mAbs into several large fragments/ subunits via chemical reduction or proteolytic digestion. An example of this approach is the analysis of mAbs light and heavy chains, providing insight into posttranslational modifications of the individual chains. **Figure 1** provides an overview of antibody sample preparation and various digestion options prior to intact mass analysis.



Figure 1. Antibody sample preparation

This report describes the application of both nonreducing and reducing SEC-MS workflows for the generation of intact mass and middle-up data of adalimumab. It includes the correlation of de-charged masses of respective heavy and light chains with calculated theoretical intact masses.

In all experiments, a recombinant human antibody standard, SILu[™] Lite SigmaMAb[™] (#MSQC4), was utilized as a reference and assay control sample. Throughout the text this is referred to simply as SigmaMAb[™] although several different SigmaMAb[™] standards are also available commercially.

General Procedures - Sample and Reference Preparation and System Setup for Antibody Purification and SEC-MS

Antibody Purification Procedure

The target antibody purification was performed on cell culture supernatants using immobilized protein A resin in a 96-well format. The suggested minimum working mAb titer is 100 μ g/mL.

All procedures were conducted using both reference and assay control samples of SigmaMAb[™] with a molecular mass of ~150 kDa. The reference sample consisted of the pure antibody reconstituted in water; it is used for the system suitability tests and instrument check. The assay control sample contained the antibody spiked into or delivered as a mixture with cell culture media or spent media (cell broth including nutrients etc.); it goes through the entire workflow and functions as a control sample.

In detail, the high-throughput purification of mAbs from cell culture media using protein A resin was performed as follows:

- 1. System/Workflow suitability
 - As part of the workflow suitability, an assay control of SigmaMAb[™] in media was purified along with the samples. Reference sample (SigmaMAb[™]) is prepared as follows: [SA1]
 - Reconstitute each vial of MSQC4 in 1.0 mL water to obtain a solution with an antibody concentration of 1 mg/mL.
 - Prepare assay control (spent media sample) by spiking SigmaMAb[™] in EX-CELL[®] CHOZN[®] Platform Medium, or equivalent, to obtain a final concentration of 100-500 µg/mL.
- 2. Preparation of equilibration and elution buffers
 - Prepare equilibration buffer (20 mM citrate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7) by dissolving 5.82 g trisodium citrate dihydrate, 0.04 g citric acid, and 8.77 g sodium chloride in 1 L water. Adjust pH of resulting solution to 7 using 1 M NaOH or HCl as needed; subsequently filter solution using a 0.2 μm filter.
 - Prepare elution buffer (25 mM citrate, pH 3) by dissolving 4.8 g citric acid in 1 L water. Adjust pH of resulting solution to 3 using 1 M NaOH or HCl as needed.
- Clarify samples Centrifuge samples in tubes at maximum speed for five minutes and samples in plates at maximum
- 4. Protein A loading

speed for 60 minutes.

- Add or remove water from top portion of settled protein A slurry to obtain a 50% protein A suspension.
- Mix slurry by constant pipette action and gentle shaking of reagent reservoir.
- Use a multichannel pipette to deliver 200 μ L of protein A slurry to each well of a 96-well filter plate. Place protein A filter plate on a vacuum manifold. Catch any flow-through from the filter plate by placing the filter plate on top of a used collection plate.
- 5. Protein A equilibration
 - Add 200 µL of equilibration buffer to each well of protein A and apply vacuum to void wells of buffer.
 - Repeat both steps twice.

- 6. Washing bound mAb
 - Place protein A filter plate on vacuum manifold with a waste collection plate inserted and the film cover removed.
 - Apply vacuum to void wells of buffer media and transfer the filter plate onto a waste collection plate.
 - Add 200 μ L of equilibration buffer to wells and centrifuge plates at 3700 rpm for five minutes (this step helps in clearing the sample film on sides of filter plate wells).
 - Add 200 μL of equilibration buffer to wells and apply vacuum to remove buffer.
 - Repeat once more for a total of three washes.
- 7. Eluting bound mAb
- Place protein A filter plate on a new collection plate and secure with a rubber band.
- Add 100 μL of elution buffer to each well, incubate filter plate on orbital shaker at 170 rpm for five minutes.
- Centrifuge plates at 3700 rpm for five minutes.
- Repeat addition of elution buffer, incubation on orbital shaker, and centrifugation for a total of three elution steps (300 μL of total elution volume). Typical antibody recovery using this procedure is 60%.

Antibody Reduction Procedure

Disulfide (S-S) bond reduction was performed as follows:

- 1. Prepare a 1 M dithiothreitol (DTT) solution by dissolving 154.25 mg DTT in 1 mL water.
- 2. Prepare a 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) solution by dissolving 79.06 mg ABC in 1 mL water.
- 3. Combine equal volumes of 1 M ABC and 1 M DTT to prepare the reduction solution.
- 4. Transfer aliquots of 50 μ L of each sample, system suitability reference, and control to autosampler vials.
- 5. Reduce by addition of 5 μL 0.5 M ABC/0.5 M DTT solution.
- 6. Incubate for one hour at room temperature or 30 min at 37 °C.

Note: Reduction is performed under non-denaturing conditions, where the inter-chain disulfide bonds (which are more susceptible to reduction) will break and produce the light and heavy chains, while the intra-chain disulfide bonds within each individual domain remain intact.

Alternatively, reduction of samples can be performed by using this protocol:

- Prepare a 100 mM solution of tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) in 6 M aqueous guanidine hydrochloride by dissolving 2.87 g of TCEP and 57.32 g of guanidine hydrochloride in 100 mL of water (if less solution is needed, scale down accordingly).
- 2. Combine 30 μL of the resulting solution with 10 μL of sample.
- 3. Incubate for two hours at 37 °C.

Note: Reduction performed under denaturing conditions, where both the inter-chain and intra-chain disulfide bonds (which are more susceptible to reduction) will break.

Instrument Calibration

The WatersTM QToF Xevo[®] G2XS mass spectrometer was calibrated in a mass range of 500 – 6000 m/z with a 20 µL/min infusion of 0.4 mg/mL of cesium iodide in water. Alternatively, calibration can be performed with a 20 µL/min infusion of 0.4 mg/mL of polyalanine in water, prior to running the samples.

System Suitability

To evaluate the performance of the entire workflow, an assay control (SigmaMAb[™] in media) was prepared and analyzed along with the samples. SigmaMAb[™] reference was also tested to ensure system suitability (see section above).

In addition, reduced SigmaMAbTM antibody reference (formulated at 2 mg/mL and further diluted to 1 mg/mL) was analyzed alongside the samples to determine system suitability of the SEC-MS platform.

SEC-MS System Setup and MS Data Analysis

SEC-MS System Setup

The essential settings of the UHPLC-PDA chromatography system and the qToF mass spectrometer applied in the analysis of both reduced and non-reduced antibodies are listed in **Tables 1** and **2** below.

| Instrument | Waters™ H-Class Acquity UPLC Chromatography System |
|-------------------|--|
| Software: | MassLynx [®] 4.1 |
| Column: | Tosoh TSK Gel SW3000XL, 300 x 2.0 mm, 4 μm |
| Column temp: | Ambient |
| Autosampler temp: | 8 °C |
| Mobile phase: | Acetonitrile/water 30/70 (v/v) + 0.1% TFA |
| Gradient: | Isocratic |
| Flow: | 0.1 mL/min |
| Loop volume: | 20 µL |
| Injection method: | Partial loop or full loop |
| Injection volume: | 20 µL |
| Run time: | 10 min |
| Photodiode array: | 280 nm |
| Flow divert: | 6.7 - 9.9 min |

Table 1. UHPLC-PDA settings.

| Instrument | Waters™ QToF Xevo [®] G2X2 Mass Spectrometer |
|------------------------|--|
| Software: | MassLynx [®] 4.1 |
| Capillary (V): | 3,500 |
| Sample cone (V): | 45 |
| Extraction cone (V): | 3 |
| Ion guide (V): | 3 |
| Desolvation temp (°C): | 100 |
| Source temp (°C): | 300 |
| Scan range (Da): | 400 - 4,000 |
| Desolvation gas (L/h): | 40 |
| Cone gas (L/h): | 600 |
| Collision energy (V): | 5 |
| Pusher (V): | 930 |
| RF setting: | Autoprofile |

Table 2. qToF-MS settings.

MS Data Analysis

Data were processed using the MaxEnt1 module within the MassLynx[®] 4.1 software to generate and analyze deconvoluted (zero charged) mass spectra. In general, a summed spectrum was created from the corresponding total ion chromatogram (TIC) of the eluted intact mAb, heavy chain (HC), or light chain (LC). The summed m/z spectrum was then processed by the MaxEnt1 algorithm. Detailed parameters are listed in **Table 3**.

For glycoform analysis, data were processed using UNIFI software from Waters[™]. Glycoforms were matched by the software and HC glycoform glycans are listed in the respective section below. Glycoform relative abundance data was tabulated based on peak intensities of the coeluting glycoform species. A deconvolution filter setting employing a base peak intensity of 2% was utilized to preclude noise incorporation, and an output resolution setting of 5 Da was used.

| Non-Reduced Intact Mass | |
|--|---|
| m/z range | Full spectral range |
| Damage model | Gaussian, FWHM 3 Da |
| Resolution (Da/channel) | 2 |
| Mass range (Da) | 140,000 - 160,000 |
| Minimum intensity ratios, L and R (%) | 33 |
| Iterations | 30 |
| Background subtract post MaxEnt1 | 25th order, 5%, 0.01% tolerance |
| Center spectrum | Peak width half-height 20. Centroid top 30%, height |
| Reduced – Heavy Chain | |
| m/z range | 1,200 - 3,000 |
| Damage model | Gaussian, FWHM 0.5 Da |
| Resolution (Da/channel) | 1 |
| Mass range (Da) | 40,000 - 60,000 |
| Minimum intensity ratios, L and R (%) | 33 |
| Iterations | 12 |
| Reduced – Light Chain | |
| m/z range | 1,000 - 2,600 |
| Damage model | Gaussian, FWHM 0.5 Da |
| Resolution (Da/channel) | 1 |
| Mass range (Da) | 20,000 - 25,000 |
| Minimum intensity ratios, L and R (%) | 33 |
| Iterations | 12 |
| | |

Table 3. Deconvolution parameters.

Adalimumab SEC-MS Intact and Middle Up Analysis Results

Intact Mass Analysis of Non-Reduced Adalimumab

The analysis objective was to perform non-reduced SEC-MS intact mass analysis on all submitted samples to verify the molecular weight of adalimumab.

Protein A purification of samples of adalimumab and SigmaMAbTM antibody control was performed as described in the previous section. Intact SigmaMAbTM was used to determine system suitability. All mAb samples were solubilized in 100 µL H2O to obtain a final concentration of 1 mg/mL. Subsequently, samples were analyzed in their non-reduced form via SEC-MS.

System Suitability Test Results

SigmaMAbTM reference sample (10 µL) was injected on the SEC-MS system. **Figure 2** illustrates the photodiode array (280 nm) and TIC (total ion current) traces of the non-reduced antibody, while **Figure 3** displays the deconvoluted mass spectrum of the SigmaMAbTM reference. The observed intact mAb glycoforms matched the common glycoform masses of MSQC4, as listed in **Table 4**. The measured discrepancies between the observed masses and the theoretical values for four glycoforms were within 0.005% mass error or less.







Figure 3. Deconvoluted mass spectrum of non-reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference.

Table 4. Observed and theoretical masses of nonreduced SigmaMAb[™] reference glycoforms.

| Species | Molecular Formula | Theoretical Mass (Da)* | Observed Mass (Da) |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Heavy Chain/ G0FG0F | $C_{6486}H_{10048}N_{1716}O_{2070}S_{46}$ | 146658 | 146665 |
| Heavy Chain/ G0FG1F | $C_{6492}H_{10058}N_{1716}O_{2075}S_{46}$ | 146821 | 146823 |
| Heavy Chain/ G1FG1F | $C_{6498}H_{10068}N_{1716}O_{2080}S_{46}$ | 146983 | 146982 |
| Heavy Chain/ G1FG2F | $C_{6504}H_{10078}N_{1716}O_{2085}S_{46}$ | 147145 | 147140 |

G0F: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G1F: GalGlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2F: Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

*Masses based on NIST Physical Reference Data

Non-Reduced Sample Results

The monoclonal antibody samples were analyzed in their non-reduced form using SEC-MS. The corresponding photodiode array (280 nm) traces, TICs, MS spectra, and the deconvoluted MS spectra of adalimumab are shown in **Figures 4** and **5**. The observed masses of the non-reduced mAb correlate well with the calculated theoretical masses for all submitted samples, as shown in **Table 5**, and the observed mass error was found to be 0.010% or less.





| Species | Molecular Formula | Theoretical Mass (Da)* | Observed Mass (Da) |
|------------------------|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Heavy Chain/ G0FG0F | $C_{6267}H_{10040}N_{1898}O_{1906}S_{15}$ | 148080 | 148082 |
| Heavy Chain/ G0FG1F | $C_{6273}H_{10050}N_{1898}O_{1911}S_{15}$ | 148242 | 148244 |
| Heavy Chain/ G1FG1F | $C_{6279}H_{10060}N_{1898}O_{1916}S_{15}$ | 148405 | 148398 |
| Heavy Chain/ G1FG2F | $C_{6285}H_{10070}N_{1898}O_{1921}S_{15}$ | 148567 | 148552 |
| | | | |

Table 5.Calculated and experimental masses ofnon-reduced adalimumab.

G0F: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

 $G1F:\ GalGlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc$

G2F: Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

*Masses based on NIST Physical Reference Data



Figure 5. MS data for non-reduced adalimumab. **5a**: summed spectrum; **5b**: deconvoluted spectrum.

Intact Mass Analysis of Reduced Adalimumab

The objective of the intact mass analysis was to verify the molecular weight of adalimumab light and heavy chains by applying an SEC-MS middle-up approach.

Protein A purification of samples of cell culture supernatants containing expressed adalimumab and SigmaMAbTM assay control was performed as described in the previous section. Reduced MSQC4 reference was used to determine system suitability. All mAb samples were solubilized in 100 μ L H2O for a final concentration of 1 mg/mL. Subsequently, samples were analyzed in their reduced form via SEC-MS.

System Suitability Test Results

10 µL of a reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference sample was injected on the SEC-MS system. The observed heavy chain mAb glycoforms matched the expected glycoform masses of SigmaMAb[™], as listed in **Table 6**. Discrepancies between the observed masses and the theoretical values for all three glycoforms were within 0.003% mass error or less. **Figure 6** illustrates the UV chromatogram (280 nm) trace of the SigmaMAb[™] reference, while **Figure 7** shows the summed and deconvoluted mass spectra of the reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference light and heavy chain glycoforms.



Figure 6. Photodiode array (280 nm, 6a) and TIC trace (6b) of reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference.



Figure 7. Summed (7a and 7b) and deconvoluted (7c and 7d) mass spectra of the light and heavy chains (left and right, respectively) of reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference.

| Species | Molecular Formula | Theoretical Mass (Da) Fully Reduced | Intra Disulfide Bonds | Theoretical Mass (Da) Partially Reduced |
|------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Light chain | $C_{1006}H_{1555}N_{267}O_{333}S_7$ | 22,942.2 | 2 (-4 Da) | 22,938.2 |
| Heavy Chain/ G0F | $C_{2237}H_{3485}N_{591}O_{702}S_{16}$ | 50,403.2 | 4 (-8 Da) | 50,395.2 |
| Heavy Chain/ G1F | $C_{2243}H_{3495}N_{591}O_{707}S_{16}$ | 50,565.3 | 4 (-8 Da) | 50,557.3 |
| Heavy Chain/ G2F | $C_{2249}H_{3505}N_{591}O_{712}S_{16}$ | 50,727.5 | 4 (-8 Da) | 50,719.5 |

Table 6. Observed and theoretical masses of reduced SigmaMAbTM reference light chain and heavy chain glycoforms and (theoretical mass was calculated based on NIST Physical Reference Data).

G0F: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G1F: GalGlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2F: Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

*Masses based on NIST Physical Reference Data

Reduced Sample Results

The reduced adalimumab samples were analyzed using SEC-MS. The corresponding photodiode array (280 nm) traces, TICs, MS spectra, and the summed and deconvoluted MS spectra of the samples are shown in individual **Figures 8** and **9**. The measured masses of reduced forms correlated well with the calculated masses as shown in **Table 7** (mass error 0.005% or less). Deconvoluted masses of reduced light and heavy chains correlate well with the expected calculated masses for all submitted samples.



Figure 8. Photodiode array (280 nm, **8a**) and TIC trace **(8b)** of reduced adalimumab.



Figure 9. Summed (**9a and 9b**) and deconvoluted (**9c and 9d**) mass spectra of the light and heavy chains (left and right, respectively) of reduced adalimumab.

Mass

Conclusion

A workflow for the SEC-MS intact and middle-up mass analysis of reduced and non-reduced monoclonal antibodies was developed, using adalimumab as a model mAb and SILu[™]Lite SigmaMAb[™] as a reference and assay control sample.

The workflow was comprised of an antibody purification process using immobilized Protein A, an optional mAb reduction procedure, a mass spectrometer calibration method, and a system suitability test utilizing a recombinant human monoclonal antibody reference. In addition, a generic SEC method suitable for sample separation and analysis of both reduced and nonreduced mAbs was established.

Results for non-reduced SigmaMAbTM reference revealed measured discrepancies between the observed masses and the theoretical values for four glycoforms of 0.005% mass error or less. For adalimumab, the measured masses of the non-reduced mAb showed a strong agreement with the expected calculated masses for all submitted samples, and the observed mass error was observed to be 0.010% or less.

Analysis of reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference sample revealed that the observed heavy chain mAb glycoforms matched the expected glycoform masses of the antibody. The discrepancies between the observed and the theoretical values for three glycoforms were all within 0.003% mass error or less. Similarly, the measured masses of reduced adalimumab correlated well with the calculated masses (mass error 0.005% or less).

The experimental data demonstrated that the workflow can be used for either reduced or non-reduced monoclonal antibody sample analysis, with accurate results allowing for an unambiguous identification of various glycoforms.

References

- Sandra K, Vandenheede I, Sandra P. 2014. Modern chromatographic and mass spectrometric techniques for protein biopharmaceutical characterization. Journal of Chromatography A. 133581-103. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2013.11.057
- Berkowitz SA, Engen JR, Mazzeo JR, Jones GB. 2012. Analytical tools for characterizing biopharmaceuticals and the implications for biosimilars. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 11(7):527-540. http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/nrd3746
- Morris CJOR. 1979. High performance liquid chromatography. Nature. 277(5692):157-158. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/277157b0
- 4. Valentina D'Atri, Fekete S, Beck A, Lauber M, Guillarme D. 2017. Hydrophilic Interaction Chromatography Hyphenated with Mass Spectrometry: A Powerful Analytical Tool for the Comparison of Originator and Biosimilar Therapeutic Monoclonal Antibodies at the Middle-up Level of Analysis. Anal. Chem.. 89(3):2086-2092. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.6b04726
- Sjögren J, Olsson F, Beck A. Rapid and improved characterization of therapeutic antibodies and antibody related products using IdeS digestion and subunit analysis. Analyst. 141(11):3114-3125. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1039/c6an00071a
- Beck A, Wagner-Rousset E, Ayoub D, Van Dorsselaer A, Sanglier-Cianférani S. 2013. Characterization of Therapeutic Antibodies and Related Products. Anal. Chem.. 85(2):715-736. http://dx.doi. org/10.1021/ac3032355
- Lermyte F, Tsybin YO, O?Connor PB, Loo JA. 2019. Top or Middle? Up or Down? Toward a Standard Lexicon for Protein Top-Down and Allied Mass Spectrometry Approaches. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.. 30(7):1149-1157. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13361-019-02201-x

| Species | Molecular Formula | Theoretical Mass (Da) Fully Reduced* | Intra Disulfide Bonds | Theoretical Mass (Da) Partially Reduced* |
|------------------------|--|---|-----------------------------|---|
| Light chain | $C_{1027}H_{1610}N_{282}O_{332}S_6$ | 23411.9 | 2 (-4 Da) | 23407.9 |
| Heavy chain/ G0F | $C_{2247}H_{3484}N_{586}O_{716}S_{15}$ | 50644.3 | 4 (-8 Da) | 50636.3 |
| Heavy chain/ G1F | $C_{2253}H_{3494}N_{586}O_{721}S_{15}$ | 50806.5 | 4 (-8 Da) | 50798.5 |
| Heavy chain/ G2F | $C_{2259}H_{3504}N_{586}O_{726}S_{15}$ | 50968.6 | 4 (-8 Da) | 50960.6 |

Table 7. Calculated and experimental masses of reduced adalimumab light chain and heavy chain glycoforms (theoretical mass was calculated based on NIST Physical Reference Data).

G0F: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc G1F: GalGlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc G2F: Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

*Masses based on NIST Physical Reference Data

Related Products

| Product No. | Description |
|----------------|---|
| MSQC4 | SILu™Lite SigmaMAb™ Universal Antibody Standard human |
| 821485 | TSKgel® SuperSW3000 HPLC Column phase diol, L \times I.D. 30 cm \times 2 mm, 4 μm particle size |
| 1.03728 | Water for UHPLC-MS LiChrosolv® |
| 1.03725 | Acetonitrile for UHPLC-MS LiChrosolv® |
| 80457 | Trifluoroacetic acid eluent additive for LC-MS, LiChropur [™] , ≥99.0% (GC) |
| 68957 | Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride BioUltra, suitable for electrophoresis, SDS-PAGE tested |
| 75259 | Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride BioUltra, ≥98% (NMR) |
| SRE0066 | Guanidine hydrochloride solution, 6M Reagent designed and manufactured under cGMP controls suitable for use in an IVD application |
| 50933 | Guanidine hydrochloride BioUltra, for molecular biology, \geq 99.5% (AT) |
| 09830 | Ammonium bicarbonate BioUltra, ≥99.5% (T) |
| P3476 | Protein A-Agarose Fast Flow 50%, aqueous suspension |
| S1804 | Trisodium citrate dihydrate meets USP testing specifications |
| 251275 | Citric acid ACS reagent, ≥99.5% |
| 1.09137 | Sodium hydroxide solution $c(NaOH) = 1 mol/l (1 N)$, Titripur [®] , reag. Ph. Eur., reag. USP |
| 1.09057 | Hydrochloric acid solution c(HCl) = 1 mol/l (1 N), Titripur [®] , reag. Ph. Eur., reag. USP |
| S9888 | Sodium chloride ACS reagent, ≥99.0% |
| D5545 | DL-Dithiothreitol BioXtra, ≥99.0% (titration) |
| 21004 | Cesium iodide analytical standard, suitable for mass spectrometry (MS) |
| P9003 | Poly-DL-alanine mol wt 1,000-5,000 |
| MSRLN04 | MultiScreen® Solvinert 96 Well Filter Plate Clear, Sterile, Hydrophilic Polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), 0.45 µm pore size membrane, 0.5mL volume, Polyolefin copolymer device, 50 plates |

Middle-up Mass Analysis of Protease Digested Cetuximab

Protocol for purification, proteolysis, reduction, and UHPLC-MS analysis of unlabeled and labeled monoclonal antibodies

Workflow for Middle-up Mass Analysis of Protease Digested Cetuximab



A complete reversed phase UHPLC-MS workflow has been developed to simplify middle-up mass analysis of an immunoglobulin G antibody. The protocol describes the analysis of proteolyzed and reduced samples. This type of antibody fragment analysis is a much faster assay than peptide mapping for establishing the presence or absence of antibody fragment modifications.

In detail, the workflow includes:

- Antibody purification using immobilized protein A
- Antibody proteolysis utilizing IdeS enzyme
- Antibody reduction procedure
- Mass spectrometer calibration
- UV spectrophotometric mAb quantification method
- System suitability test utilizing an intact protein LC-MS standard
- RP-UHPLC-MS method for separation and analysis of unlabeled and labeled samples

Introduction to Monoclonal Antibody Sample Preparation and Analysis

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs or immunoglobulins -IgGs) are large glycoproteins with a molecular weight of approximately 150 kDa (150,000 g/Mol). They are composed of two identical light chains (LC, molecular weight ca. 25 kDa each) and two identical heavy chains (HC, molecular weight ca. 50 kDa each) linked through covalent inter- and intra-chain disulfide bonds. They are utilized for the treatment of various types of cancer, and other diseases such as multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease, and migraine.

Careful and thorough characterization of therapeutic mAbs is essential for ensuring drug safety and efficacy. MAbs are typically manufactured in mammalian host cell lines in bioreactors, generating a large number of heterogeneous drug molecules. Establishing a number of critical quality attributes (CQAs) for each mAb and demonstrating that production batches are within acceptable limits are requirements for both innovator and biosimilar therapeutics.^{1,2}

In many cases, the characterization of an antibody-based drug is performed using a specific chromatographic technique (e.g., size exclusion, reversed phase or hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography, respectively – SEC, RP or HILIC) ^{3,4} coupled with mass spectrometry (MS). This combination allows for different types of analyses to be carried out, e.g., accurate mass measurement of the intact mAb and subunits, peptide mapping, and the determination of post-translation modifications such as glycosylation, oxidation, and deamidation.

Several techniques are applied to simplify antibody analysis by either fragmentation or removal of glycans. The latter can be performed by treatment with PNGase F, whereas proteolysis with IdeS⁵ or reduction of inter-chain disulfide bonds with reducing agents, such as dithiothreitol, result in the formation of different

antibody fragments with masses of 25 - 50 kDa. Various combinations of these techniques can be applied. For analysis of mAbs in cell culture supernatants, these may be combined with a preceding affinity purification step.6 These approaches are referred to as intact mass and middle-up analysis methods.⁷ The former term relates to the measurement of the mass of an intact mAb without controlled dissociation being performed. Such an experiment reveals information about stoichiometry, proteoforms, and modifications. Middle-up experiments include mass measurement after cleaving mAbs into several large fragments/subunits via chemical reduction or proteolytic digestion. An example of this approach is the analysis of mAbs light and heavy chains, providing insight into amino acid and post-translational modifications of the individual chains. Figure 1 shows an overview of antibody sample preparation and various digestion options prior to intact mass analysis.



Figure 1. Antibody sample preparation by protein A affinity cleanup and chemical and proteolytic digestion options: Proteolysis with IdeS (formation of Fc and Fab fragments); PNGase F treatment (deglycosylation); chemical reduction (release of heavy and light chains). A combination of proteolysis and chemical reduction is also possible.

This report describes a middle-up approach for mass analysis of cetuximab IgG1 antibody samples. After protein A purification, each sample was subjected to IdeS digestion, reduced, and analyzed by reversed phase UHPLC-MS in order to determine the Fc, LC, and Fab masses of unlabelled and labelled antibody samples and reference.

The recombinant human monoclonal antibody SILu™Lite SigmaMAb™ (#MSQC4) in media was utilized

as a purification assay control and pre-purified SILuTM Lite SigmaMAbTM reference served as a digestion control. System suitability control was performed using SigmaProtTM Intact Protein LC-MS Standard (#MSRT2 containing a set of nine proteins: ribonuclease B, insulin, lysozyme, transferrin, bovine serum albumin, trypsin inhibitor, β -lactoglobulin A, carbonic anhydrase, lactate dehydrogenase).

General Procedures – Antibody Preparation and System Setup for Reversed Phase LC-MS Analysis

Antibody Purification Procedure

The target antibody purification was performed on cell culture supernatants using immobilized protein A resin in a 96-well format. The suggested minimum working mAb titer is 100 μ g/mL.

All procedures were also conducted using a cetuximab and a SigmaMAbTM reference with a molecular mass of ~150 kDa. The assay control sample contains the antibody spiked into or delivered as a mixture with cell culture media or spent media (cell broth including nutrients etc.); it goes through the entire workflow and serves as a control sample within the protein A purification process (and not as an SST for SEC-MS).

In detail, the high-throughput purification of mAbs from cell culture media using protein A resin was performed as follows:

1. System/Workflow suitability

As part of the workflow suitability, an assay control of SigmaMAbTM in media was purified along with the samples.

- a) Reconstitute each vial of MSQC4 in 1.0 mL water to obtain a solution with an antibody concentration of 1 mg/mL.
- b) Prepare assay control (spent media sample) by spiking SigmaMAb[™] in EX-CELL[®] CHOZN[®] Platform Medium, or equivalent, to obtain a final concentration of 100-500 µg/mL.

2. Preparation of equilibration and elution buffers

- a) Prepare equilibration buffer (20 mM citrate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7) by dissolving 5.82 g trisodium citrate dihydrate, 0.04 g citric acid, and 8.77 g sodium chloride in 1 L water. Adjust pH of resulting solution to 7 using 1 M NaOH or HCl as needed; subsequently filter solution using a 0.2 µm filter.
- b) Prepare elution buffer (25 mM citrate, pH 3) by dissolving 4.8 g citric acid in 1 L water. Adjust pH of resulting solution to 3 using 1 M NaOH or HCl as needed.

3. Clarify samples

Centrifuge samples in tubes at maximum speed for five minutes and samples in plates at maximum speed for 60 minutes.

4. Protein A loading

 a) Add or remove water from top portion of settled protein A slurry to obtain a 50% protein A suspension.

- b) Mix slurry by constant pipette action and gentle shaking of reagent reservoir.
- c) Use a multichannel pipette to deliver 200 μL of protein A slurry to each well of a 96-well filter plate.
 Place protein A filter plate on a vacuum manifold.
 Catch any flow-through from the filter plate by placing the filter plate on top of a used collection plate.

5. Protein A equilibration

- a) Add 200 μL of equilibration buffer to each well of protein A and apply vacuum to void the wells of buffer.
- b) Repeat both steps twice.

6. mAb binding

- a) Remove 750 μL of solution of sample and control without disturbing the pellet and load plate.
- b) Cover the plate with film and secure filter and collection plates with a rubber band.
- c) Incubate on an orbital shaker at 170 rpm for 30 minutes.

7. Washing bound mAb

- a) Place protein A filter plate on vacuum manifold with a waste collection plate inserted and the film cover removed.
- b) Apply vacuum to void wells of buffer media and transfer the filter plate onto a waste collection plate.
- c) Add 200 μ L of equilibration buffer wells and centrifuge plates at 3700 rpm for five minutes (this step helps in clearing the sample film on sides of filter plate wells).
- d) Add 200 μL of equilibration buffer to wells and apply vacuum to remove buffer.
- e) Repeat once more for a total of three washes.

8. Eluting bound mAb

- a) Place protein A filter plate on a new collection plate and secure with a rubber band.
- b) Add 100 μL of elution buffer to each well, incubate filter plate an orbital shaker at 170 rpm for five minutes.
- c) Centrifuge plates at 3700 rpm for five minutes.
- d) Repeat addition of elution buffer, incubation on orbital shaker, and centrifugation for a total of three elution steps (300 μL of total elution volume).

Typical antibody recovery using this procedure is 60%.

Antibody Proteolysis

The protein A purified or pre-purified antibody is proteolyzed and reduced into Fab, Fc, and LC components. The immunoglobulin-degrading enzyme of Streptococcus pyogenes (IdeS, Genovis brand name FabRICATOR®) proteolyzes IgG1 at the heavy chain sequence PAPELLGGP, between adjacent glycines; this gives a F(ab')2 and two Fc fragments. The F(ab')2 fragment, prior to reduction, contains all intermolecular disulfide bonds of IgG. After reduction, three Fab fragment types with a molecular mass of approximately 25 kDa each are observed.

Additionally, pre-purified SigmaMAb[™] reference is used as a digestion control to test if the IdeS workflow/ treatment worked. SigmaProt[™] Intact Prot Protein LC-MS Standard is used as a system suitability control.

The antibody proteolysis utilizing IdeS was performed as follows:

- 1. pH adjustment buffer: Add 932 μL water to 67.6 μL NH₄OH 28-30% solution to prepare a 1 M ammonium hydroxide solution.
- Add 5 µL of pH adjustment buffer to 40 µL of the cetuximab sample and SigmaMAb[™] reference (1 mg/mL).

Note: pH of the sample should be \geq 7.

- 3. Add 0.6 µL of IdeS (67 units/µL) to pH adjusted sample.
- 4. Incubate at 37 °C for 30 minutes.

Antibody Reduction Procedure

Partial disulfide (S-S) bond reduction was performed as follows:

- 1. Prepare a 1 M dithiothreitol (DTT) solution by dissolving 154.25 mg DTT in 1 mL water.
- 2. Prepare a 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) solution by dissolving 79.06 mg ABC in 1 mL water.
- 3. Combine equal volumes of 1 M ABC and 1 M DTT to prepare the reduction solution.
- 4. Transfer aliquots of 50 μL of each sample, system suitability reference, and control to autosampler vials.
- 5. Reduce by addition of 5 μL 0.5 M ABC/0.5 M DTT solution.
- 6. Incubate for one hour at room temperature or 30 min at 37 °C.

Note: Partial reduction is performed under nondenaturing conditions, where the inter-chain disulfide bonds (which are more susceptible to reduction) will break and produce the light and heavy chains, while the intra-chain disulfide bonds within each individual domain remain intact.

Alternatively, complete reduction of samples can be performed by using this protocol:

- 1. Prepare a 100 mM solution of tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) in 6 M aqueous guanidine hydrochloride by dissolving 2.87 g of TCEP and 57.32 g of guanidine hydrochloride in 100 mL of water (if less solution is needed, scale down accordingly).
- 2. Combine 30 μL of the resulting solution with 10 μL of sample.
- 3. Incubate for two hours at 37 °C.

Note: Complete reduction is performed under denaturing conditions, where both the inter-chain and intra-chain disulfide bonds will break.

Calibration

The WatersTM Xevo[®] G2S mass spectrometer was calibrated with a 20 µL/min infusion of 0.4 mg/mL of polyalanine and a lock mass of Glu-Fib was used. Alternatively, calibration can be performed with a 20 µL/min infusion of 0.4 mg/mL of cesium iodide prior to running the samples.

System Suitability

To evaluate performance of the entire workflow, an assay control (SigmaMAb[™] in media) was prepared and analyzed along with the samples. SigmaProt[™] Intact Prot Protein LC-MS Standard was also tested to ensure system suitability.

UHPLC-MS System Setup and Data Analysis

1. UHPLC-MS System Setup

The essential settings of the UHPLC-PDA chromatography system and the qToF mass spectrometer applied in the analysis of both reduced and non-reduced antibodies are listed in **Tables 1** and **2** below.

Table 1. RP-UHPLC-PDA settings.

| Instrument | Waters™ H-Class Acquity UPLC Chromatography System |
|-------------------|---|
| Software: | MassLynx [®] 4.1 |
| Column: | BIOshell [™] A400 Protein C4 15 cm x 1.0 mm, 3.4 µm |
| Column temp: | Ambient |
| Autosampler temp: | 10 °C |
| Mobile phase: | (A) 0.1% TFA in water (B) 0.1% TFA in ACN |
| Gradient: | 20-46% B in 13.0 min, 0.5 min to 80% B, 2 min at 80% B, 0.5 min to 20% B, 7 min at 20% B |
| Flow: | 70 μL/min |
| Loop volume: | 20 µL |
| Injection method: | Partial loop or full loop |
| Injection volume: | 10 µL |
| Run time: | 23 min |
| Photodiode array: | 280 nm |
| Divert valve: | 0 – 3.5 min |

Table 2. qToF-MS settings.

| Instrument | Waters [™] Xevo [®] G2S Mass Spectrometer |
|------------------------|---|
| Software: | MassLynx [®] 4.1 |
| Capillary (V): | 3,500 |
| Sample cone (V): | 120 |
| Ion energy (V): | 1 |
| Desolvation temp (°C): | 300 |
| Source temp (°C): | 100 |
| Scan range (Da): | 300 - 5000 |
| Desolvation gas (L/h): | 600 |
| Cone gas (L/h): | 0 |
| Collision energy (V): | 5 |
| Pusher (V): | 1900 |
| RF setting: | Automatic |

2. MS Data Analysis

Data were processed using Waters[™] MassLynx[®] 4.1 software to generate and analyze deconvoluted (zero charged) mass spectra. In general, a summed spectrum was created from the corresponding total ion chromatogram (TIC) of the eluting mAb species. The summed m/z spectrum was then processed by the MaxEnt1 algorithm and converted to a decharged (deconvoluted) mass spectrum. Detailed parameters are listed in **Table 3**.

For glycoform analysis, data were processed using UNIFI software from Waters[™] Glycoforms were matched by the software and HC glycoform glycans are listed in the respective section below. Glycoform relative abundance data were tabulated based on peak intensities of the coeluting glycoform species. A deconvolution filter setting employing a base peak intensity of 2% was used to preclude noise incorporation, and an output resolution setting of 5 Da was used.

Table 3. Deconvolution parameters.

| MassLynx [®] deconvolution parameters | | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Output mass | MassLynx [®] 4.1 | | | |
| Ranges | 20,000 - 30,000 | | | |
| Resolution | 1 Da/channel | | | |
| Damage model | | | | |
| Uniform Gaussian FWHM | 1 Da | | | |
| Minimum intensity ratios | | | | |
| Left | 33.0% | | | |
| Right | 33.0% | | | |
| Completion options | | | | |
| Iterate to convergence | No | | | |
| Maximum iterations | 12 | | | |
| RF setting | Automatic | | | |

Protein Quantification Method – Determination of Protein Concentration

Protein quantification of cetuximab samples was performed by analysis of the UV absorbance of all samples at 280 nm ("A280 method"). The system applied was a Varian Cary® UV 50 Bio UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Protein A elution buffer was recorded as a blank prior to sample measurement. To meet system suitability requirements, NIST BSA with a known concentration of 1.04 mg/mL was subjected to analysis. The mAb sample was measured without additional dilution, using 80 µL eluted sample. In detail, the method was run as follows:

1. Sample preparation

- a) Take sample vial out of freezer and leave at room temperature for min. 15 minutes.
- b) Centrifuge at 14,000 g for 5 minutes using a benchtop centrifuge (collection of lyophilized product at bottom of vial).
- c) Add 500 μL of 0.1% formic acid in water to the vial.
- d) Gently invert and mix content in vial, min. 5 times.
- e) Leave vial at room temperature for min. 15 minutes.
- f) Gently invert and mix content in vial, min. 5 times prior to UV measurement.

2. UV instrument suitability

 a) Measure UV absorbance using WAV-7 solid-state reference cell at wavelengths of 270 nm, 280 nm, 300 nm, 320 nm, and 340 nm. Expected absorbance readings are as follows:

| Wavelength (nm) | Expected absorbance reading |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| 270 | 1.0050 |
| 280 | 0.9060 |
| 300 | 0.8000 |
| 320 | 0.5120 |
| 340 | 0.4000 |

The observed absorbance reading should be within 5% of the expected absorbance reading.

- b) Measure UV absorbance using $\rm H_2O$ (blank for BSA protein standard solution).
- c) Measure UV absorbance at 280 nm and 320 nm of control, BSA protein standard (sourced from NIST).
 - Calculate concentration of control (ϵ = 0.67 mL/ mg), conc. should be within \pm 0.1 mg/mL.

3. Sample UV measurement

- a) Measure UV absorbance using 0.1% formic acid in water (blank for sample) at 280 nm and 320 nm.
- b) Transfer adequate volume of solution (e.g., 80 $\mu L)$ to UV cell and measure absorbance at 280 nm and 320 nm.
- c) If difference between absorbance at 320 nm for blank and sample is < 0.1 skip to step f.
- d) If difference between absorbance at 320 nm for blank and sample is > 0.1, centrifuge samples at 14,000 g for 5 minutes using a bench-top centrifuge.
- e) Measure UV absorbance at 280 nm and 320 nm again.
- f) Calculate corrected UV absorbance at 280 nm by subtracting blank at 280 nm.
- g) Calculate recovered mAb amount in μ g (ϵ = 1.4) using this formula:

 $[mAb]=AU/\epsilon$

AU = corrected absorbance reading at 280 nm

 $\epsilon = 1.4 \text{ mL/mg}$

[mAb] = Antibody concentration (mg/mL)

 $[mAb] \times 500 (\mu L) = \text{content amount } (\mu g)$

Results of RP-UHPLC-MS Analysis of Proteolyzed and Reduced Cetuximab

The analysis objective was to perform middle-up mass analysis of all submitted cetuximab samples. After protein A purification, each sample was subjected to IdeS digestion, reduction, and analysis with reversed phase UHPLC-MS for the determination of Fc, LC, and Fab fragment masses.

All media samples (see **Table 4**) were received and stored at -20 °C prior to protein A purification.

To meet system suitability requirements, NIST BSA with a known concentration of 1.04 mg/mL was subjected to an A280 analysis. Concentration of the NIST BSA was calculated to be 1.13 mg/mL, which is within the +/- 0.1 mg/mL system suitability requirements. A280 value, corrected A280 value, and the calculated concentration of the purified Cetuximab samples are listed below in **Table 4**.

Table 4. Denotations and properties ofsubmitted samples.

| Sample | A280 value | Corrected A280 value | Concentration (mg/mL) |
|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Blank | 0.3486 | 0 | - |
| Cetuximab E2 Light | 0.6761 | 0.3275 | 0.24 |
| Cetuximab E2 Heavy | 0.5875 | 0.2389 | 0.17 |

System Suitability Test Results

1. Cetuximab Reference

Cetuximab reference sample (10 μ L) was injected on the WatersTM Xevo[®] G2S. **Figure 2** illustrates the TIC (total ion current) and photodiode array (280 nm) traces of the digested and reduced antibody, while **Figure 3** displays the charged and decharged mass spectra of the reference. The observed intact mAb glycoform masses matched the common glycoform masses of cetuximab, as listed in **Table 5** below. The measured discrepancies between the observed masses and the theoretical values for four glycoforms are all within 0.004% mass error or less.



Figure 2. Cetuximab reference after proteolysis and reduction. Top: Photodiode array (280 nm) trace, Bottom: Total ion chromatogram (TIC).



Figure 3. Cetuximab reference after proteolysis and reduction. Summed (left) and deconvoluted (right) mass spectra for Fc, LC, and Fab (top to bottom) regions.

| Peak | Fragment glycoform | Composition | Calculated mass (Da) | Measured mass (Da) | % Error |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Peak 1 (Fc) | Man5 | $C_{1112}H_{1724}N_{284}O_{357}S_{7}$ | 25008 | 25008 | 0.000 |
| | Man5 + Lys | $C_{1118}H_{1736}N_{286}O_{358}S_7$ | 25136 | 25136 | 0.000 |
| | G0F | $C_{1122}H_{1740}N_{286}O_{361}S_{7}$ | 25236 | 25237 | 0.004 |
| | G0F + Lys | $C_{1128}H_{1752}N_{288}O_{362}S_7$ | 25364 | 25363 | 0.004 |
| | G1F | $C_{1128}H_{1750}N_{286}O_{366}S_{7}$ | 25398 | 25399 | 0.004 |
| | G1F + Lys | $C_{1134}H_{1762}N_{288}O_{367}S_{7}$ | 25526 | 25526 | 0.000 |
| | G2F | $C_{1134}H_{1760}N_{286}O_{371}S_{7}$ | 25560 | 25560 | 0.000 |
| Peak 2 (LC) | NA | $C_{1025}H_{1599}N_{281}O_{338}S_{5}$ | 23427 | 23428 | 0.004 |
| | LC + 57 Da* | $C_{1027}H_{1604}N_{283}O_{338}S_5$ | 23484 | 23485 | 0.004 |
| Peak 3 (Fab) | G0F | $C_{1192}H_{1839}N_{301}O_{391}S_8$ | 26899 | 26900 | 0.004 |
| | G1F | $C_{1198}H_{1849}N_{301}O_{396}S_8$ | 27061 | 27061 | 0.000 |
| | G2F | $C_{1204}H_{1859}N_{301}O_{401}S_8$ | 27223 | 27223 | 0.000 |
| | G3F | $C_{1210}H_{1869}N_{301}O_{406}S_8$ | 27385 | 27385 | 0.000 |
| | G2FS' | $C_{1215}H_{1876}N_{302}O_{410}S_8$ | 27530 | 27530 | 0.000 |
| | G4F | $C_{1216}H_{1879}N_{301}O_{411}S_{8}$ | 27547 | 27547 | 0.000 |
| | G4F2 | $C_{1222}H_{1889}N_{301}O_{415}S_8$ | 27693 | 27693 | 0.000 |

Table 5. Observed peaks for IdeS-treated and reduced cetuximab reference.

*The +57 Da mass shift correlates with one glycine

G0F: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G1F: GalGlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2F: Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G3F: Gal3GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2FS': NeuAcGal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc G4F: Gal4GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc G4F2: Gal4GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc2

2. SigmaMAb[™] Reference

SigmaMAbTM reference sample (10 μ L) was injected on the WatersTM Xevo[®] G2S. **Figure 4** illustrates the photodiode array (280 nm) and TIC traces of the digested and reduced antibody, while **Figure 5** displays the charged and decharged mass spectra of the reference. The observed masses are listed in **Table 6** below.







Figure 5. Proteolyzed and reduced SigmaMAb[™]. Summed (left) and deconvoluted (right) spectra for Fc, LC, and Fab (top to bottom) regions. Peaks 1, 2, and 3 correspond to Fc, LC, and Fab fragments.

| Peak | Fragment glycoform | Theoretical mass (Da) | | |
|--------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Peak 1 (Fc) | Fc unmodified | 23761 | | |
| | G0F-N | 25001 | | |
| | G0 | 25059 | | |
| | G0F* | | | |
| | G1F** | 25367 | | |
| | G2F | 25529 | | |
| Peak 2 (LC) | LC | 22943 | | |
| | LC + glycine | 23000 | | |
| Peak 3 (Fab) | Fab unmodified | 25218 | | |
| | Fab + glycine | 25276 | | |

Table 6. Observed peaks for IdeS-treated and reduced SigmaMAb™.

G0F-N: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAcFuc

G0: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2

G0F: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G1F: GalGlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2F: Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

*, **: GOF and G1F species are expected to be the most abundant

Cetuximab Sample Results

The submitted cetuximab E2 Light (unlabelled) and cetuximab E2 Heavy (labelled) samples were analyzed in a proteolyzed and reduced form using RP-UHPLC-MS.

1. Cetuximab E2 Light (unlabelled)

The TIC, photodiode array (280 nm) trace, and summed and deconvoluted MS spectra for the



unlabeled sample cetuximab E2 Light are shown in individual **Figures 6** and **7**. Corresponding observed masses are displayed in **Table 7**. Minor amounts of oxidized species were observed and are not listed.

The IdeS-treated and reduced cetuximab E2 Light unlabeled fractions Fc, LC, and Fab match with the theoretical masses within an error of 0.004% or less. Comparison of the submitted samples to the reference revealed a difference in the glycosylation profile.













Figure 7. Cetuximab E2 Light unlabeled sample after proteolysis and reduction. Charged (left column) and decharged (right column) mass spectra for Fc, LC, and Fab (top to bottom) regions.

Table 7. Observed peaks for IdeS-treated and reduced Cetuximab E2 Light unlabeled sample..

| Peak | Fragment glycoform | Composition | Calculated mass (Da) | Measured mass (Da) | % Error |
|--------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Peak 1 (Fc) | G0F | $C_{1122}H_{1740}N_{286}O_{361}S_7$ | 25236 | 25237 | 0.004 |
| | G1F | $C_{1128}H_{1750}N_{286}O_{366}S_{7}$ | 25398 | 25399 | 0.004 |
| | G2F | $C_{1134}H_{1760}N_{286}O_{371}S_7$ | 25560 | 25560 | 0.000 |
| Peak 2 (LC) | NA | $C_{1025}H_{1599}N_{281}O_{338}S_5$ | 23427 | 23427 | 0.000 |
| | LC + 57 Da* | $C_{1027}H_{1604}N_{283}O_{338}S_5$ | 23484 | 23485 | 0.004 |
| Peak 3 (Fab) | G2F | $C_{1204}H_{1859}N_{301}O_{401}S_8$ | 27223 | 27223 | 0.000 |
| | G2FS | $C_{1215}H_{1876}N_{302}O_{409}S_8$ | 27514 | 27514 | 0.000 |
| | G2FS2 | $C_{1226}H_{1893}N_{303}O_{417}S_{8}$ | 27806 | 27805 | 0.004 |

*The +57 Da mass shift correlates with one glycine G0F: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G1F: GalGlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2F: Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc G2FS: NeuAcGal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2FS2: NeuAc2Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

2. Cetuximab E2 Heavy (labelled)

The TIC, photodiode array (280 nm) trace, and summed and deconvoluted MS spectra for the labelled sample cetuximab E2 Heavy are shown in individual **Figures 8** and **9**. Corresponding observed masses are displayed in **Table 8**. This sample shows oxidized species that are also listed and that may have occurred during sample preparation.

The IdeS-treated and reduced cetuximab E2 Heavy labelled fractions Fc, LC, and Fab match with the theoretical masses within an error of approx. 0.01%. Comparison of the submitted samples to the reference revealed a different glycosylation profile.



Figure 8. Cetuximab E2 Heavy labelled sample after proteolysis and reduction. Photodiode array (280 nm, left) and TIC traces (right).



Figure 9. Cetuximab E2 Heavy labelled sample after proteolysis and reduction. Charged (left column) and decharged (right column) mass spectra for Fc, LC, and Fab (top to bottom) regions.

Table 8. Observed peaks for IdeS-treated and reduced Cetuximab E2 Heavy labelled sample.

| Dook | Fragment | Composition | Calculated mass | Measured mass | % Error |
|--------------|-------------|--|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| | GIVEOIOIIII | | (Da) | | -76 EITOI |
| Peak I (FC) | GUF | C ₁₁₂₂ Π ₁₇₄₀ N ₂₈₆ O ₃₆₁ S ₇ | 25436 | 25437 | 0.004 |
| | G0F+O | $C_{1122}H_{1740}N_{286}O_{362}S_7$ | 25450 | 25453 | 0.004 |
| | G0F+20 | $C_{1122}H_{1740}N_{286}O_{363}S_7$ | 25469 | 25470 | 0.004 |
| | G0F+30 | $C_{1122}H_{1740}N_{286}O_{364}S_7$ | 25485 | 25486 | 0.004 |
| | G1F | $C_{1128}H_{1750}N_{286}O_{366}S_{7}$ | 25600 | 25600 | 0.000 |
| | G1F+O | $C_{1128}H_{1750}N_{286}O_{367}S_{7}$ | 25616 | 25616 | 0.000 |
| | G1F+20 | $C_{1128}H_{1750}N_{286}O_{368}S_7$ | 25632 | 25631 | 0.004 |
| | G1F+30 | $C_{1128}H_{1750}N_{286}O_{369}S_{7}$ | 25647 | 25648 | 0.004 |
| Peak 2 (LC) | NA | $C_{1025}H_{1599}N_{281}O_{338}S_5$ | 23594 | 23593 | 0.004 |
| | LC + 57 Da* | $C_{1027}H_{1604}N_{283}O_{338}S_5$ | 23651 | 23646 | 0.020** |
| Peak 3 (Fab) | G2F | $C_{1204}H_{1859}N_{301}O_{401}S_8$ | 27366 | 27365 | 0.004 |
| | G2F+O | $C_{1204}H_{1859}N_{301}O_{402}S_8$ | 27382 | 27381 | 0.004 |
| | G2FS | $C_{1215}H_{1876}N_{302}O_{409}S_8$ | 27657 | 27656 | 0.004 |
| | G2FS+O | $C_{1215}H_{1876}N_{302}O_{410}S_8$ | 27673 | 27672 | 0.004 |
| | G2FS+20 | $C_{1215}H_{1876}N_{302}O_{411}S_8$ | 27689 | 27692 | 0.010 |
| | G2FS+30 | $C_{1215}H_{1876}N_{302}O_{412}S_{8}$ | 27705 | 27711 | 0.020** |
| | G2FS2 | $C_{1226}H_{1893}N_{303}O_{417}S_{8}$ | 27948 | 27947 | 0.004 |
| | G2FS2+O | $C_{1226}H_{1893}N_{303}O_{418}S_8$ | 27964 | 27963 | 0.004 |
| | G2FS2+20 | $C_{1226}H_{1893}N_{303}O_{419}S_{8}$ | 27980 | 27981 | 0.004 |

| *The +57 Da mass shift agrees wit | h |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| one glycine | |

**The % error was slightly higher than 0.01%

E2 Heavy sample Peak 1 Fc G0F: 25236+ (((18K x 8.01) + (6R x 10.01)) x 99% = 25438

E2 Heavy sample Peak 2 LC: 23427+ (((11K x 8.01) + (8R x 10.01)) x 99% = 23594

E2 Heavy sample Peak 3 Fab G2F: 23423+ (((13KX8.01) + (4R X 10.01)) X

99% = 27366

G0F: GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G1F: GalGlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2F: Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2FS: NeuAcGal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc

G2FS2: NeuAc2Gal2GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc G4F2: Gal4GlcNAc2Man3GlcNAc2Fuc2

Conclusion of RP-UHPLC-MS Middleup Mass Analysis of Proteolyzed and Reduced Monoclonal Antibodies

A workflow for the RP-UHPLC-MS middle-up mass analysis of proteolyzed and reduced monoclonal immunoglobulin G antibodies was developed, using unlabeled and labelled cetuximab as a model mAb and SILu™Lite SigmaMAb[™] Universal Antibody Standard human as a reference and assay control sample for purification and digestion control, respectively. The workflow was comprised of an antibody purification process using immobilized protein A, a proteolysis step utilizing IdeS, a mAb reduction procedure, a mass spectrometer calibration method, and a system suitability test applying a recombinant human monoclonal antibody reference. In addition, a generic reversed phase UHPLC-MS method suitable for sample separation and analysis of proteolyzed and reduced mAbs was established. System suitability control was performed using an LC-MS standard comprised of nine proteins. Compared to peptide mapping, the advantage of this type of antibody fragment analysis is its much higher speed for establishing the presence or absence of antibody fragment modifications.

The experimental data demonstrated that the workflow can be used for middle-up mass analysis on cetuximab samples. Deconvoluted masses for unlabeled and labelled cetuximab and SigmaMAb[™] fragments Fc, LC, and Fab were generated, and all demonstrate a strong correlation with the theoretical masses within an error of approximately 0.01% or less. A comparison of submitted cetuximab samples to the reference mAb revealed a difference in the glycosylation profile.

References

- 1. K. Sandra, I. Vandenheede, P. Sandra, J. Chrom. A 1335, 81 103 (2014).
- 2. S. A. Berkowitz, J. R. Engen, J. R. Mazzeo, G. B. Jones, Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov. 11, 527 – 540 (2012).
- J. H. Knox, High Performance Liquid Chromatography, Edinburgh University Press, 1978.
- 4. V. D'Atri, S. Fekete, A. Beck, M. Lauber, D. Guillarme, Anal. Chem. 89, 2086 – 2092 (2017).
- 5. J. Sjogren, F. Olsson, A. Beck, Analyst 141, 3114 3125 (2016).
- 6. A. Beck, E. Wagner-Rousset, D. Ayoub, A. Van Dorsselaer, S. Sanglier-Cianferani, Anal. Chem. 85, 715 736 (2013).
- F. Lermyte, Y. O. Tsybin, P.B. O'Connor, J.A. Loo, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 30, 1149–1157 (2019).

Featured Products

| Description | Cat. No. |
|---|--|
| Antibodies | |
| SILu™Lite SigmaMAb™ Universal Antibody Standard human | MSQC4 |
| SILu™Lite SigmaMAb™ Cetuximab Monoclonal Antibody | MSQC18 |
| SigmaProt [™] Intact Protein LC-MS Standard | MSRT2 |
| HPLC columns | |
| BIOshell [™] A400 Protein C4 15 cm x 1.0 mm, 3.4 µm | 67045-U |
| Solvents & reagents | |
| Ultrapure water from Milli-Q $^{\otimes}$ IQ 7 series water purification system or LiChrosolv $^{\otimes}$ UHPLC-MS grade water | Milli-Q [®] IQ 7000 or 1.03728 |
| Acetonitrile for UHPLC-MS LiChrosolv® | 1.03725 |
| Trifluoroacetic acid eluent additive for LC-MS, LiChropur™ | 80457 |
| Formic acid for LC-MS LiChropur [™] | 00940 |
| Guanidine hydrochloride solution 6M, manufactured under cGMP controls | SRE0066 |
| Guanidine hydrochloride BioUltra | 50933 |
| Ammonium hydroxide solution 28.0-30.0% NH ₃ basis | 221228 |
| Protein A-Agarose Fast Flow 50%, aqueous suspension | P3476 |
| EX-CELL [®] CHOZN [®] platform medium | 24367C-1L |
| Trisodium citrate dihydrate, meets USP testing specifications | S1804 |
| Citric acid ACS reagent | 251275 |
| Sodium hydroxide solution 1 M | 1.09137 |
| Hydrochloric acid solution 1 M | 1.09057 |
| Sodium chloride ACS reagent | S9888 |
| Dithiothreitol BioXtra | D5545 |
| Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine BioUltra | 68957 / 75259 |
| Ammonium bicarbonate BioUltra | 09830 |
| Cesium iodide analytical standard, suitable for mass spectrometry | 21004 |
| Poly-DL-alanine | P9003 |
| Equipment & consumables | |
| Microcentrifuge tubes volume 0.6 mL | T5149 |
| Autosampler vials volume 0.3 mL | 29661-U |
| Stericup® Quick Release-GV Sterile vacuum filtration system | S2GVU05RE |
| PlatePrep 96-well vacuum manifold | 575650-U |
| MultiScreen® Solvinert 96 well filter plate | MSRLN0410 |
| Corning [®] Costar [®] reagent reservoirs | CLS4870 |
| BRAND® 96-well deep well plate, stackable | BR701346 |
| AlumaSeal [®] 96 film | Z721549 |
| EZ-Pierce™ films | Z721581 |

Appendix

Sequence FC

GPSVFLFPPKPKDTLMISRTPEVTCVVVDVSHEDPEVKFNWYVDGVEVHNAKTKPREEQYNSTYRVVSVLTVLHQ DWLNGKEYKCKVSNKALPAPIEKTISKAKGQPREPQVYTLPPSREEMTKNQVSLTCLVKGFYPSDIAVEWESN GQPENNYKTTPPVLDSDGSFFLYSKLTVDKSRWQQGNVFSCSVMHEALHNHYTQKSLSLSPG

LC DILITQSPVILSVSPGERVSFSCRASQSIGTNIHWYQQRTNGSPRLLIKYASESISGIPSRFSGSGSGTDFTLSIN SVESEDIADYYCQQNNNWPTTFGAGTKLELKRTVAAPSVFIFPPSDEQLKSGTASVVCLLNNF YPREAKVQWK VDNALQSGNSQESVTEQDSKDSTYSLSSTLTLSKADVEKHKVYACEVTHQGLSSPVTKSFNRGEC

Fab

QVQLKQSGPGLVQPSQSLSITCTVSGFSLTNYGVHWVRQSPGKGLEWLGVIWSGGNTDYNTPFTSRLSINKDNSKSQVF FKMINSLQSNDTAIYYCARALTYYDYEFAYWGQGTLVTVSAASTKGPSVFPLAPSSKSTSGGTA ALGCUKDYFPEPVTVSWNS GALTSGVHTPAVLQSSGLYSLSSVTVPSSSLGTOTYICNWHKPSTKTVDKRVEPKSCDKT HTCPPCPAPELIG

N terminus modification: pyro-Glu

Workflow for High-Throughput Glycoprofiling of Rituximab

Protocol for purification, reduction, and SEC-MS glycoform analysis of a therapeutic monoclonal antibody



A complete SEC-MS workflow has been developed to enable rapid glycoprofiling of monoclonal antibodies in cell culture supernatants. In detail, it includes:

- Antibody purification using immobilized protein A
- Antibody reduction procedure

1. Introduction

Monoclonal antibodies (or immunoglobulins - IgGs) are large glycoproteins with a molecular weight of approximately 150 kDa (150,000 g/mol). They are composed of two light chains (LC, molecular weight ca. 25 kDa each) and two heavy chains (HC, molecular weight ca. 50 kDa each) linked through covalent inter-chain disulfide bonds. They are utilized for the treatment of various types of cancer, and other diseases such as multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease, and migraine.

Careful and thorough characterization of therapeutic mAbs is essential for ensuring drug safety and efficacy. MAbs are typically manufactured in mammalian host cell lines in bioreactors, generating a large number of heterogeneous drug molecules. Establishing a number of critical quality attributes (CQAs) for each mAb and demonstrating that production batches are within acceptable limits are requirements for both innovator and biosimilar therapeutics.^{1,2}

In many cases, the characterization of an antibody-based drug is performed using a specific chromatographic technique (e.g., size exclusion, reversed phase or hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography, respectively – SEC, RP or HILIC)^{3,4} coupled with mass spectrometry (MS). This combination allows for different types of analyses to be carried out, e.g., accurate mass measurement of the intact mAb

- Mass spectrometer calibration
- System suitability test utilizing a recombinant human monoclonal antibody reference
- SEC-MS method for sample separation and analysis

and subunits, peptide mapping, and the determination of post-translation modifications such as glycosylation, oxidation, and deamidation.

Several techniques are applied to simplify antibody analysis by either fragmentation or removal of glycans. The latter can be performed by treatment with PNGase F, whereas proteolysis with IdeS⁵ or reduction of inter-chain disulfide bonds with reducing agents, such as dithiothreitol, result in the formation of different antibody fragments with masses of 25 - 50 kDa. Various combinations of these techniques can be applied. For analysis of mAbs in cell culture supernatants, these may be combined with a preceding affinity purification step.⁶ These approaches are referred to as intact mass and middle-up analysis methods.⁷ The former term relates to the measurement of the mass of an intact mAb without controlled dissociation being performed. Such an experiment reveals information about stoichiometry, proteoforms, and modifications. Middle-up experiments include mass measurement after cleaving mAbs into several large fragments/ subunits via chemical reduction or proteolytic digestion. An example of this approach is the analysis of mAb light and heavy chains, providing insight into posttranslational modifications of the individual chains. Figure 1 provides an overview of antibody sample preparation and various digestion options prior to middle-up mass analysis.



Figure 1. Antibody sample preparation by protein A affinity cleanup and chemical and proteolytic digestion options: Proteolysis with IdeS (formation of Fc and Fab fragments); PNGase F treatment (deglycosylation); chemical reduction (release of heavy and light chains). A combination of proteolysis and chemical reduction is also possible.

Glycosylation is one of the most common and important post translational modifications for mAbs. Glycans attached to antibodies play an important role in their pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety. Glycosylation involves the attachment of glycans at specific sites on a protein, most commonly at asparagine (Asn, N-linked) or serine/threonine (Ser/Thr, O-linked) amino acid residues. Both types of glycosylation are important for protein conformation, protein activity, protection from proteolytic degradation, and intracellular trafficking and secretion. Based on the large influence of glycosylation on protein function an accurate study and analysis of glycans is essential. N-glycan composition can be determined by the analysis of four different target structures: intact glycoproteins, glycopeptides, released glycans, and monosaccharide analysis.

This report describes the generation of heavy chain mass data for the rapid glycoprofiling of reduced rituximab recombinant monoclonal antibody samples expressed in 30 separate CHO cell clones along with an authentic rituximab reference material. Samples were purified using protein A resin, reduced, and analyzed by SEC-MS. Deconvoluted heavy chain spectra were generated, and glycoform relative distributions were determined. In all experiments, the recombinant human monoclonal antibody SILu[™]Lite SigmaMAb[™] (#MSQC4) was utilized as a reference antibody.

2. Procedures for mAb Purification, Reduction, and SEC-MS Glycoform Analysis

The target antibody purification was performed on cell culture supernatants using immobilized protein A resin in a 96-well format. The suggested minimum working mAb titer is 100 μ g/mL.

All procedures were conducted using both reference and assay control samples of SigmaMAb[™] with a molecular mass of ~150 kDa. The reference sample consisted of the pure antibody reconstituted in water; it is used for the system suitability tests and instrument check. The assay control sample contained the antibody spiked into or delivered as a mixture with cell culture media or spent media (cell broth including nutrients etc.); it goes through the entire workflow and functions as a control sample.

2.1 mAb Sample Preparation and System Setup

In detail, the high-throughput purification of mAbs from cell culture media using protein A resin was performed as follows:

1. System/Workflow suitability

As part of the workflow suitability, an assay control of SigmaMAbTM in media was purified along with the samples.

Reference sample (SigmaMAbTM) is prepared as follows:

- c. Reconstitute each vial of MSQC4 in 1.0 mL water to obtain a solution with an antibody concentration of 1 mg/mL.
- d. Prepare assay control (spent media sample) by spiking SigmaMAb[™] in EX-CELL[®] CHOZN[®] Platform Medium, or equivalent, to obtain a final concentration of 100-500 µg/mL.

2. Preparation of equilibration and elution buffers

- a. Prepare equilibration buffer (20 mM citrate, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7) by dissolving 5.82 g trisodium citrate dihydrate, 0.04 g citric acid, and 8.77 g sodium chloride in 1 L water. Adjust pH of resulting solution to 7 using 1 M NaOH or HCl as needed; subsequently filter solution using a 0.2 µm filter.
- b. Prepare elution buffer (25 mM citrate, pH 3) by dissolving 4.8 g citric acid in 1 L water. Adjust pH of resulting solution to 3 using 1 M NaOH or HCl as needed.

3. Clarify samples

Centrifuge samples in tubes at maximum speed for five minutes and samples in plates at maximum speed for 60 minutes.

4. Protein A loading

- Add or remove water from top portion of settled protein A slurry to obtain a 50% protein A suspension.
- b. Mix slurry by constant pipette action and gentle shaking of reagent reservoir.
- c. Use a multichannel pipette to deliver 200 μ L of protein A slurry to each well of a 96-well filter plate. Place protein A filter plate on a vacuum manifold. Catch any flow-through from the filter plate by placing the filter plate on top of a used collection plate.

5. Protein A equilibration

- a. Add 200 µL of equilibration buffer to each well of protein A and apply vacuum to void wells of buffer.
- b. Repeat both steps twice.

6. mAb binding

- a. Remove 750 µL of solution of sample and control, without disturbing the pellet, and load plate.
- b. Cover the plate with film and secure filter and collection plates with a rubber band.
- c. Incubate on an orbital shaker at 170 rpm for 30 minutes.

7. Washing bound mAb

- a. Place protein A filter plate on vacuum manifold with a waste collection plate inserted and the film cover removed.
- b. Apply vacuum to void wells of buffer media and transfer the filter plate onto a waste collection plate.
- c. Add 200 μ L of equilibration buffer to wells and centrifuge plates at 3700 rpm for five minutes (this step helps in clearing the sample film on sides of filter plate wells).
- d. Add 200 μL of equilibration buffer to wells and apply vacuum to remove buffer.
- e. Repeat once more for a total of three washes.

8. Eluting bound mAb

- a. Place protein A filter plate on a new collection plate and secure with a rubber band.
- b. Add 100 μ L of elution buffer to each well, incubate filter plate on orbital shaker at 170 rpm for five minutes.
- c. Centrifuge plates at 3700 rpm for five minutes.
- d. Repeat addition of elution buffer, incubation on orbital shaker, and centrifugation for a total of three elution steps (300 μ L of total elution volume).

Typical antibody recovery using this procedure is 60%.

2.2 Antibody Reduction Procedure

Partial disulfide (S-S) bond reduction was performed as follows:

- 1. Prepare a 1 M dithiothreitol (DTT) solution by dissolving 154.25 mg DTT in 1 mL water.
- Prepare a 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) solution by dissolving 79.06 mg ABC in 1 mL water.
- 3. Combine equal volumes of 1 M ABC and 1 M DTT to prepare the reduction solution.
- 4. Transfer aliquots of 50 μ L of each sample, system suitability reference, and control to autosampler vials.
- 5. Reduce by addition of 5 μL 0.5 M ABC/0.5 M DTT solution.
- Incubate for one hour at room temperature or 30 min at 37 °C.

Note: Partial reduction is performed under nondenaturing conditions, where the inter-chain disulfide bonds (which are more susceptible to reduction) will break and produce the light and heavy chains, while the intra-chain disulfide bonds within each individual domain remain intact.

Alternatively, complete reduction of samples can be performed by using this protocol:

- Prepare a 100 mM solution of tris(2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) in 6 M aqueous guanidine hydrochloride by dissolving 2.87 g of TCEP and 57.32 g of guanidine hydrochloride in 100 mL of water (if less solution is needed, scale down accordingly).
- 2. Combine 30 μL of the resulting solution with 10 μL of sample.
- 3. Incubate for two hours at 37 °C.

Note: Complete reduction is performed under denaturing conditions, where both the inter-chain and intra-chain disulfide bonds will break.

2.3 Instrument Calibration

The WatersTM QToF Xevo[®] G2XS mass spectrometer was calibrated in a mass range of 500 – 6000 m/z with a 20 µL/min infusion of 0.4 mg/mL of cesium iodide in water. Alternatively, calibration can be performed with a 20 µL/min infusion of 0.4 mg/mL of polyalanine in water prior to running the samples.

2.4 System Suitability

To evaluate performance of the entire workflow, an assay control (SigmaMAb[™] in media) was prepared and analyzed along with the samples. Reduced SigmaMAb reference was also tested to ensure system suitability (see section above).

2.5 SEC-MS System Setup and MS Data Analysis

2.5.1 SEC-MS system setup

The essential settings of the UHPLC-PDA chromatography system and the qToF mass spectrometer applied in the analysis of reduced antibodies are listed in **Tables 1** and **2** below.

Table 1. UHPLC-PDA settings.

| Instrument | Waters™ H-Class Acquity UPLC Chromatography System |
|------------------|---|
| Software | MassLynx [®] 4.1 |
| Column | Tosoh TSKgel® SW3000XL, 300 x 2.0 mm, 4 μm |
| Column temp | Ambient |
| Autosampler temp | 8 °C |
| Mobile phase | Acetonitrile/water 30/70 (v/v) + 0.1% TFA |
| Gradient | Isocratic |
| Flow | 0.1 mL/min |
| Loop volume | 20 μL |
| Injection method | Partial loop or full loop |
| Injection volume | 20 μL |
| Run time | 10 min |
| Photodiode array | 280 nm |
| Flow divert | 6.7 - 9.9 min |

Table 2. qToF-MS settings.

| Instrument | Waters™ QToF Xevo [®] G2X2 Mass Spectrometer |
|-----------------------|--|
| Software | MassLynx [®] 4.1 |
| Capillary (V) | 3,500 |
| Sample cone (V) | 45 |
| Extraction cone (V) | 3 |
| Ion guide (V) | 3 |
| Desolvation temp (°C) | 100 |
| Source temp (°C) | 300 |
| Scan range (Da) | 400 - 4,000 |
| Desolvation gas (L/h) | 40 |
| Cone gas (L/h) | 600 |
| Collision energy (V) | 5 |
| Pusher (V) | 930 |
| RF setting | Autoprofile |

2.5.2 MS data analysis

Data were processed using the MaxEnt1 module within the MassLynx[®] 4.1 software to generate and analyze deconvoluted (zero charged) mass spectra. In general, a summed spectrum was created from the corresponding total ion chromatogram (TIC) of the eluted heavy chain (HC). The summed m/z spectrum was then processed by the MaxEnt1 algorithm; detailed parameters are listed in **Table 3**.

For glycoform analysis, data were processed using UNIFI software from Waters[™]. Glycoforms were matched by the software and HC glycoform glycans are listed in the respective section below. Glycoform relative abundance data were tabulated based on peak intensities of the co-eluting glycoform species. A deconvolution filter setting employing a base peak intensity of 2% was utilized to preclude noise incorporation, and an output resolution setting of 5 Da was used.

Table 3. Deconvolution parameters.

| Heavy Chain | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| m/z range | 1,200 - 3,000 |
| Damage model | Gaussian, FWHM 0.5 Da |
| Resolution (Da/channel) | 1 |
| Mass range (Da) | 40,000 - 60,000 |
| Minimum intensity ratios, L and R (%) | 33 |
| Iterations | 12 |

3. Results

The analysis objective was to perform rapid glycoprofiling of a set of reduced rituximab samples by SEC-MS. 30 cell culture supernatant samples from separate CHO clones expressing rituximab were received in 1.5 mL microcentrifuges tubes and stored at -20 °C prior to analysis. An authentic rituximab sample was also tested for comparison. In addition, SigmaMAb[™] antibody reference and control samples were used to determine system suitability.

Protein A purification and reduction of rituximab samples and SigmaMAbTM antibody control was performed as described in the previous section. Purification was performed on 500 µL of each sample and 750 µL of SigmaMAbTM control. SigmaMAbTM reference underwent reduction only (no protein A purification) and was used to determine system suitability. Subsequently, samples were analyzed in their reduced form via SEC-MS.

Tables 4 and **5** list all glycans searched in this workand glycan constituent monosaccharides, respectively.

Table 4. Glycans searched as variable modifications.

| Glycan | Monosaccaride Composition | Modification Mass | Structure* |
|--------|---|----------------------|------------|
| Man5 | Man_5 GlcNAc ₂ | 1217.1 | |
| G0F-N | $Fuc_1 Man_3 GlcNAc_3$ | 1242.1 | |
| G0 | Man_3 GlcNAc ₄ | 1299.2 | |
| G0F | $Fuc_1 Man_3 GlcNAc_4$ | 1445.3 | |
| G1F | $Fuc_1 Man_3 Gal_1$ GlcNAc ₄ | 1607.5 | |
| G2F | $Fuc_1 Man_3 Gal_2$ GlcNAc ₄ | 1769.6 | |
| G1FS' | $Fuc_1 Man_3 Gal_1$ GlcNAc ₄ Neu ₅ Gc ₁ | 1914.7 | |
| G2FS' | $Fuc_1 Man_3 Gal_2$ GlcNAc ₄ Neu ₅ Gc ₁ | 2076.9 | |

*Purple square: N-acetylglucosamine, green circle: mannose, red triangle: fucose, yellow circle: galactose, light blue diamond: N-glycolylneuraminic acid.

Table 5. Glycan constituent monosaccharides.

| Glycan | Short Name | Residue Mass | Representation |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------------|----------------|
| N-Acetylglucosamine | GlcNAc | 203.08 | |
| Mannose | Man | 162.05 | • |
| Galactose | Gal | 162.05 | • |
| Fucose | Fuc | 146.06 | A |
| N-Glycolylneuraminic acid | Neu5Gc | 325.27 | • |

3.1 System Suitability Test Results

10 µL of a reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference sample was injected on the SEC-PDA-MS system. Figure 2 displays the photodiode array (280 nm) and TIC traces of the SigmaMAb[™] reference, while **Figure 3** shows the summed and deconvoluted mass spectra of the reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference heavy chain glycoforms. Obtained data demonstrated that the system and assay were suitable for glycoform mass analysis. The system reliably measured glycoform distributions throughout the acquisition queue, with control data falling within historical ranges for relative composition of GOF and G1F glycoforms and indicating proper calibration and sample reduction conditions. In more detail, the observed heavy chain mAb glycoform masses matched the expected masses of SigmaMAb[™], as listed in Table 6. Discrepancies between the observed masses and the theoretical values for three glycoforms are all within 0.003% mass error or less.



Figure 2. Photodiode array (280 nm, left) and TIC trace (right) of reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference.





Table 6. Observed and theoretical masses of reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference heavy chain glycoforms (theoretical mass was calculated based on NIST Physical Reference Data).

| Species | Molecular Formula | Theoretical Mass (Da) Fully Reduced | Intra Disulfide Bonds | Theoretical Mass (Da) Partially Reduced | Observed Mass (Da) | Error (%) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------|
| Heavy Chain/G0F | C2237H3485N591O702S16 | 50,403.2 | 4 (-8 Da) | 50,395.2 | 50395 | +0.001 |
| Heavy Chain/G1F | C2243H3495N591O707S16 | 50,565.3 | 4 (-8 Da) | 50,557.3 | 50556 | +0.002 |
| Heavy Chain/G2F | C2249H3505N591O712S16 | 50,727.5 | 4 (-8 Da) | 50,719.5 | 50718 | -0.003 |

3.2 Sample Test Results

30 rituximab monoclonal antibody samples from separate CHO clones were analyzed for their glycoform composition by SEC-MS along with an authentic rituximab reference. **Figure 4** displays deconvoluted spectra of the glycoform composition of two exemplary antibody samples (clones 8 and 27). Typical protein adducts surrounding the major species are at low but appreciable levels; hence, care should be taken when



Figure 4. Exemplary deconvoluted SEC-MS spectra of rituximab from clones 8 (left) and 27 (right). The MS peak annotations correspond to glycoform glycans listed in Tables 7 and 8.

considering glycoforms with composition values less than 3%. **Tables 7** and **8** show observed and theoretical masses of the two reduced rituximab samples from clones 8 and 27. The mass errors between the observed masses and the theoretical values for the different glycoforms are in the range of 0.004 to 0.023%. **Table 8** summarizes the results for all 30 samples and authentic reference and **Table 9** provides an overview over the glycan composition of all 30 clones analyzed. G0F, G1F and G2F were the main glycans observed. In addition, a small fraction of the samples was also bearing G0, G0F-N and Man glycans.

Table 7. Observed and theoretical masses of reduced rituximab from clone 8 heavy chain glycoforms(expected mass was calculated based on NIST Physical Reference Data).

| Component name | Observed mass (Da) | Expected mass (Da) | Mass error (mDa) | Mass error (ppm) | Error (%) |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Rituximab Pyroglutamic Acid Q N-TERM [1/1], Glycosylation GOF N [1/301] | 50,505.0 | 50,514.0 | -9,087.6 | -179.9 | -0.018 |
| Rituximab Pyroglutamic Acid Q N-TERM [1/1], Glycosylation G1F N [1/301] | 50,666.6 | 50,676.2 | -9,583.8 | -189.2 | -0.019 |
| Rituximab Pyroglutamic Acid Q N-TERM [1/1], Glycosylation G2F N [1/301] | 50,827.4 | 50,838.3 | -10,902.4 | -214.5 | -0.021 |

Table 8. Observed and theoretical masses of reduced rituximab from clone 27 heavy chain glycoforms(expected mass was calculated based on NIST Physical Reference Data).

| Component name | Observed mass (Da) | Expected mass (Da) | Mass error (mDa) | Mass error (ppm) | Error (%) |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| Rituximab Pyroglutamic Acid Q N-TERM [1/1], Glycosylation Man5 N [1/301] | 50,275.6 | 50,285.8 | -10,230.4 | -203.5 | -0.020 |
| Rituximab Pyroglutamic Acid Q N-TERM [1/1], Glycosylation G0F-GlcNAc N [1/301] | 50,308.5 | 50,310.9 | -2,348.8 | -46.7 | -0.004 |
| Rituximab Pyroglutamic Acid Q N-TERM [1/1], Glycosylation G0 N [1/301] | 50,357.1 | 50,367.9 | -10,775.3 | -214.0 | -0.021 |
| Rituximab Pyroglutamic Acid Q N-TERM [1/1], Glycosylation G0F N [1/301] | 50,503.8 | 50,514.0 | -10,269.1 | -203.3 | -0.020 |
| Rituximab Pyroglutamic Acid Q N-TERM [1/1], Glycosylation G1F N [1/301] | 50,665.3 | 50,676.2 | -10,856.8 | -214.3 | -0.021 |
| Rituximab Pyroglutamic Acid Q N-TERM [1/1], Glycosylation G2F N [1/301] | 50,826.7 | 50,838.3 | -11,597.5 | -228.2 | -0.023 |

Table 9. Percent sample composition (ND = not detected).

| Sample | Man5 | G0F-N | G0 | G0F | G1F | G2F |
|-----------|------|-------|-----|------|------|------|
| Clone 1 | ND | ND | ND | 61.8 | 30.0 | 8.1 |
| Clone 2 | ND | ND | ND | 59.7 | 31.7 | 8.6 |
| Clone 3 | ND | ND | ND | 69.4 | 24.6 | 6.0 |
| Clone 4 | 1.4 | ND | ND | 67.9 | 24.6 | 6.1 |
| Clone 5 | ND | ND | ND | 67.8 | 26.0 | 6.2 |
| Clone 6 | ND | ND | ND | 68.7 | 25.3 | 6.1 |
| Clone 7 | 1.4 | ND | 1.3 | 66.8 | 24.3 | 6.2 |
| Clone 8 | 1.5 | ND | ND | 68.3 | 23.9 | 6.3 |
| Clone 9 | ND | ND | ND | 73.7 | 21.3 | 5.1 |
| Clone 10 | ND | ND | ND | 73.9 | 21.3 | 4.9 |
| Clone 11 | ND | ND | ND | 63.3 | 29.4 | 7.3 |
| Clone 12 | ND | ND | ND | 60.6 | 31.5 | 7.9 |
| Clone 13 | ND | ND | ND | 47.4 | 41.0 | 11.6 |
| Clone 14 | ND | ND | ND | 46.5 | 41.1 | 12.4 |
| Clone 15 | ND | ND | ND | 50.9 | 38.2 | 10.9 |
| Clone 16 | ND | ND | ND | 50.2 | 38.4 | 11.4 |
| Clone 17 | ND | ND | ND | 45.5 | 41.9 | 12.6 |
| Clone 18 | ND | ND | ND | 47.0 | 41.0 | 12.0 |
| Clone 19 | ND | ND | 1.8 | 65.3 | 25.7 | 7.1 |
| Clone 20 | ND | ND | 1.7 | 64.2 | 26.6 | 7.5 |
| Clone 21 | ND | ND | 2.2 | 75.0 | 19.3 | 3.6 |
| Clone 22 | ND | ND | 2.1 | 75.1 | 19.1 | 3.7 |
| Clone 23 | ND | ND | 2.7 | 71.0 | 22.3 | 4.0 |
| Clone 24 | ND | ND | 2.6 | 70.2 | 23.1 | 4.1 |
| Clone 25 | ND | ND | 3.6 | 74.5 | 18.9 | 3.0 |
| Clone 26 | ND | ND | 3.3 | 73.6 | 19.6 | 3.6 |
| Clone 27 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 4.0 | 67.1 | 20.2 | 3.8 |
| Clone 28 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 3.9 | 67.6 | 19.9 | 3.8 |
| Clone 29 | ND | ND | 4.7 | 59.7 | 29.9 | 5.7 |
| Clone 30 | ND | ND | 4.7 | 60.0 | 29.8 | 5.4 |
| Reference | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 38.0 | 45.0 | 13.7 |
4. Conclusion

The objective of this work was to develop a CHO clone screening workflow using a SEC-MS middleup mass analysis of reduced rituximab recombinant monoclonal antibody samples, to generate protein mass data for the antibody heavy chains and to determine their glycoform compositions. Samples were purified from spent media, reduced, analyzed by SEC-MS and deconvoluted heavy chain spectra were generated for the determination of glycoform relative distributions.

The workflow was comprised of an antibody purification process using immobilized protein A, a mAb reduction procedure, a mass spectrometer calibration method and a system suitability test utilizing SILu[™] Lite SigmaMAb[™] Universal Antibody Standard human. In addition, a SEC method suitable for sample separation and analysis of reduced mAbs was utilized.

A total of 30 rituximab cell culture supernatants from separate CHO clones underwent a protein A purification and a reduction procedure and were then subjected to SEC-MS analysis, along with an authentic rituximab reference. In addition, SigmaMAb[™] was utilized as a reference and was treated in the same way.

Experiments utilizing a reduced SigmaMAb[™] reference sample revealed that the observed mAb heavy chain glycoform masses matched the expected masses of the antibody fragments. In this case, discrepancies between the observed and the theoretical values for three glycoforms are all within 0.003% mass error or less, proving the system and assay were suitable for middle-up mass analysis. Control data falling within historical ranges for relative composition of GOF and G1F glycoforms indicated proper calibration and sample reduction conditions.

Glycoform composition of rituximab from 30 CHO clones was determined by SEC-MS. Results reveal significant variation in the glycoprofiles of individual clones, with GOF being the most abundant glycan for all clones screened in this experiment. The most abundant glycan observed in the authentic reference rituximab was G1F.

All generated data display that the implemented workflow can be used for reduced monoclonal antibody sample glycoform analysis, with accurate results allowing for an unambiguous identification and relative quantitation of six different glycans.

5. References

- 1. K. Sandra, I. Vandenheede, P. Sandra, J. Chrom. A 1335, 81 103 (2014).
- S. A. Berkowitz, J. R. Engen, J. R. Mazzeo, G. B. Jones, Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov. 11, 527 – 540 (2012).
- J. H. Knox, High Performance Liquid Chromatography, Edinburgh University Press, 1978.
- 4. V. D'Atri, S. Fekete, A. Beck, M. Lauber, D. Guillarme, Anal. Chem. 89, 2086 – 2092 (2017).
- 5. J. Sjogren, F. Olsson, A. Beck, Analyst 141, 3114 3125 (2016).
- A. Beck, E. Wagner-Rousset, D. Ayoub, A. Van Dorsselaer, S. Sanglier-Cianferani, Anal. Chem. 85, 715 – 736 (2013).
- F. Lermyte, Y. O. Tsybin, P.B. O'Connor, J.A. Loo, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 30, 1149–1157 (2019).

6. Featured Products

| Description | Cat. No. |
|--|---------------------|
| Antibodies | |
| SILu [™] Lite SigmaMAb [™] Universal Antibody Standard human | MSQC4 |
| SILu [™] Lite SigmaMAb [™] Rituximab Monoclonal Antibody | MSQC17 |
| HPLC columns | |
| TSKgel® SuperSW3000 HPLC Column phase diol, L \times I.D. 30 cm \times 2 mm, 4 μm particle size | 821485 |
| Solvents & reagents | |
| Ultrapure water from Milli-Q [®] IQ 7 series water | Milli-Q® |
| purification system or LiChrosolv® UHPLC-MS | IQ 7000 or |
| grade water | 1.03728 |
| Acetonitrile for UHPLC-MS LiChrosolv® | 1.03725 |
| Trifluoroacetic acid eluent additive for LC-MS, LiChropur™ | 80457 |
| Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine BioUltra | 68957 / 75259 |
| Guanidine hydrochloride solution 6M, manufactured under cGMP controls | SRE0066 |
| Guanidine hydrochloride BioUltra | 50933 |
| Ammonium bicarbonate BioUltra | 09830 |
| Protein A–Agarose Fast Flow 50%, aqueous | P3476 |
| EV CELL® CHOZN® platform modium | 242670 11 |
| Trisodium citrate dibydrate meets LISP testing | 24307C-1L \$1904 |
| specifications | 51004 |
| Citric acid ACS reagent | 251275 |
| Sodium chloride ACS reagent | S9888 |
| Dithiothreitol BioXtra | D5545 |
| Cesium iodide analytical standard, suitable for mass spectrometry | 21004 |
| Poly-DL-alanine | P9003 |
| Consumables | |
| Microcentrifuge tubes volume 0.6 mL | T5149 |
| Autosampler vials volume 0.3 mL | 29661-U |
| Stericup [®] Quick Release-GV Sterile Vacuum Filtration System | S2GVU05RE |
| PlatePrep 96-well Vacuum Manifold | 575650-U |
| MultiScreen [®] Solvinert 96 Well Filter Plate | MSRLN0410 |
| Corning [®] Costar [®] reagent reservoirs | CLS4870 |
| BRAND [®] 96-well deep well plate, stackable | BR701346 |
| AlumaSeal [®] 96 film | 2721549 |
| EZ-Pierce [™] films | Z721581 |

Middle-Up Analysis of Antibodies by Reversed-Phase Chromatography:

Comparison of Chromolith® WP 300 RP-18, 2 mm I.D., with other Columns

Benjamin Peters and Gisela Jung HPLC R&D, Darmstadt, Germany

Introduction

Analysis of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) is a difficult analytical challenge that requires a multi-pronged approach. Different modes of chromatography, in addition to different sample preparation strategies, need to be employed for complete characterization. In reversed-phase mode, three levels of analysis are typically performed: top-down (intact), bottom-up (fully digested peptide fragments), and middle-up (larger protein sub-units).

In middle-up analysis, a digestion procedure, such as using dithiothreitol (DTT) or IdeS (a protease) is employed to break the protein into larger fragments. DTT breaks a mAb down into a light chain (LC) fragment and a heavy chain (HC) fragment; IdeS breaks the mAb down into a fragment crystallizable (Fc) fragment and an antigen-binding fragment (Fab). These two approaches lead to a simpler mixture than a full tryptic digest which enables easier identification of variants.

The purpose of this application is to subject the SigmaMAb[™] antibody reference standard and the NIST mAb reference standard to DTT and IdeS digestion and analyze each of the resulting digests by RPC. In addition, a series of columns will be employed to perform the analysis, and the differences in selectivity, efficiency, and peak shape will be examined.



Figure 1: Digested products of an IgG antibody after being subjected to a) DTT and b) IdeS

| Experimental Conditions | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Column: | As indicated; 10 | 0 cm x 2.1 mm I.D., 2.0 µm (SPP), 1.7 µm (FPP) |
| Detection: | UV at 220 nm (| analytical flow cell; 10 μL) |
| Mobile phase A: | Water (0.1% TF | FA v/v) |
| Mobile Phase B: | Acetonitrile (0.0 | 08% TFA v/v) |
| Gradient: | Time (min) | %B |
| | 0 | 20 |
| | 1 | 20 |
| | 10 | 45 |
| Sample: | Digested mAb, | varied concentration, water (0.1% TFA v/v) |
| Sample Prep (DTT Method): | 60 µL of 40 mM | I DTT solution was added to 40 μL mAb and allowed to incubate in a vial at 37 °C for 30 minutes. |
| Sample Prep (IdeS Method): | 4 μL IdeS and 5 | 56 μL water were mixed with 40 μL mAb and allowed to incubate at 37 °C for 30 minutes. |
| Injection volume: | 1.0 µL | |
| Flow rate: | 0.38 mL/min | |
| Temperature: | Column: 80 °C Autosampler: 1 | 0 °C |
| Pressure drop: | As indicated | |

SigmaMAb[™] DTT Reduction

NIST mAb DTT Reduction



Figure 2: Comparison of separation performance between Chromolith[®] WP 300, a SPP, and a FPP-packed column analyzing DTT reduced SigmaMAb[™] and NIST mAb. Chromolith[®] columns perform comparably to the UHPLC columns (SPP and FPP) but at a significantly lower backpressure.



Figure 3: Comparison of separation performance between Chromolith[®], a SPP, and a FPP-packed column in analyzing IdeS reduced SigmaMAb[™] and NIST mAb. Chromolith[®] columns are able to separate the Fab and Fc species with high resolution without generating artifacts due to higher pressure, as illustrated by extra peaks and peak broadening, as seen in the SigmaMAb[™] example with the FPP and SPP columns, respectively.

Conclusion

The purpose of this study was to compare the performance of a series of columns in resolving medium-sized fragments of antibodies, after digestion, by RPC. Two different digestion protocols were employed in this study, DTT and IdeS. All three columns achieved excellent resolution between the two protein subunits. For the DTT digest, the Chromolith[®] WP 300, 2 mm I.D. column achieved equivalent UHPLC performance to the two, dedicated UHPLC columns, indicating that it has equivalent separating performance. As an added benefit, this performance was achieved at ~16% the pressure drop of the FPP column.

For the IdeS digestion, the Chromolith[®] WP 300, 2 mm I.D. column exhibited better separation performance than the SPP column, as is illustrated by the improved resolution of variants around the Fab peak in both SigmaMAb[™] and NIST mAb. In what appears to be peak splitting occurring on the Fab peak of SigmaMAb[™] on the FPP is actually a new variant generated from the high backpressure seen on the FPP. This artifact is mitigated, without a compromise in efficiency, on the Chromolith[®] WP 300, 2 mm I.D. column. Further research will examine the composition of this variant.

Featured Products

| Product list | Cat. No |
|---|----------|
| Chromolith® WP 300, 2 mm I.D., RP-18, 100-2 mm | 1.52370 |
| BIOshell™ A160 Peptide C18, 10 cm x 2.1 mm I.D., 2.0 µm | 67242-U |
| Water for chromatography (LC-MS grade) LiChrosolv® | 1.15333 |
| Acetonitrile for UHPLC-MS LiChrosolv® | 1.03725 |
| Trifluoroacetic Acid (LC-MS grade) LiChrosolv® | 80457 |
| DL-Dithiothreitol (>98% HPLC) | D0632 |
| SILu™Lite SigmaMAb™ Universal Antibody Standard human | MSQC4 |
| NISTmAb, Humanized IgG1k Monoclonal Antibody | NIST8671 |

Released N-linked Glycan Analysis Workflow of Adalimumab

Step-by-step protocol for the procainamide labeled glycan profiling of a monoclonal antibody

Romana Rigger PhD¹, Pegah Jalili², Uma Sreenivasan PhD³, Kevin Ray PhD² ¹Buchs, Switzerland, ²St.Louis, MO, USA, ³Round Rock, TX, USA

Workflow for released N-glycan analysis



A complete workflow based on UHPLC-FLR-MS is developed to analyze the N-glycan profile. The workflow offers the following:

- Step-by-step instructions for sample preparation and analysis
- Procainamide labeling for increased detection sensitivity

1. Introduction

Careful and thorough characterization of therapeutic mAbs is essential to ensuring drug safety and efficacy. Hence, establishing several critical quality attributes (CQAs) for each of the proteins and demonstrating that their production batches are within acceptable limits is necessary for both the innovator and biosimilar therapeutics. In this application note, we describe glycan analysis of the innovator mAb adalimumab (Humira®) as well as a recombinant mAb of the same sequence.

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are target specific and have high efficacy and few side effects. Glycosylation is one of the most common and important post translational modifications for mAbs. Glycans attached to the antibodies play an important role in the pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety of therapeutic adalimumab. Glycosylation involves the attachment of glycans at specific sites on a protein, most commonly at asparagine (Asn, N-linked) or serine/threonine (Ser/ Thr, O-linked) amino acid residues. There are two types of glycosylation— N-linked glycosylation and O-linked glycosylation, and both types are important for protein conformation, protein activity, providing protection from proteolytic degradation, and intracellular trafficking and

- Separation using BIOshell[™] Glycan HPLC column
- Low flow rate for reduced solvent consumption
- Compatibility with mass spectrometry

secretion. N-glycan moieties also play a key role in the folding, processing, and secretion of proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the golgi apparatus. Based on the large influence of glycosylation on protein function, an accurate study and analysis of glycans is essential. Protein glycosylation is specifically mentioned in established technical guidelines, e.g., ICH Q5E and Q6B and FDA's published guidance for industry titled "Development of Therapeutic Protein Biosimilars".

There are four options to approach the N-glycan analysis: intact glycoproteins, glycopeptides, released glycans, or monosaccharides. This article focuses on the analysis of released N-glycans by UHPLC, combined with fluorescence (FLR) and mass spectrometric (MS) detection. The analysis of released N-glycans presented here is one of the most powerful and commonly used approaches for glycan composition analysis. Fluorescent derivatization increases the MS ionization efficiency and allows relative quantification of percent abundances of glycan species by fluorescence detection. Among fluorescent derivatization molecules, procainamide offers one of the best signals, surpassing the more traditional 2-AB and 2-AA labeling. Hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) is a proven technique for the separation and quantitation of glycans and has notable advantages over the other HPLC separation modes (e.g. reversed-phase, anion exchange). In this protocol, a BIOshell™ Glycan HPLC column is used to analyze adalimumab N-glycans—labeled with procainamide.

2. General procedure for released N-linked glycan analysis

2.1 Samples

Two samples are analyzed and compared using the following protocol:

- Adalimumab Reference (Humira[®], from Abbvie, Inc., North Chicago, IL)
- MSQC16 SILu[™]Lite SigmaMAb[™] Adalimumab Monoclonal Antibody (equivalent, recombinant protein from us)

2.2 Reagent preparation

2.2.1 Buffers and Enzymes

- 8 M Guanidine HCl, prepared in water
 - 1. Dissolve 7.6 g guanidine HCl in water and bring the final volume to 10 mL
 - 0.2 mL/sample (152.85 mg guanidine HCl/ sample) is required
- 50 mM Ammonium bicarbonate (ABC buffer), prepared in water
 - 1. Dissolve 395 mg ABC in 100 mL water
 - 2. 2 mL/sample (7.91 mg ABC/sample) is required
- 1 unit/µL PNGase F
 - Dissolve in water; may be aliquoted and stored for 6 months or longer at -20 °C

2.2.2 System suitability reagents

The workflow, including glycan release, labeling, and SPE steps is tested on human IgG (hIgG). A sample of IgG is handled alongside other samples. Procainamide labeled dextran hydrolysate is used as an external standard for the analysis of glycans by HPLC. When analyzed on the BIOshell[™] Glycan HPLC Column, the standard gives a characteristic ladder profile, from a monomeric glucose to approximately a 20-mer of glucose oligosaccharide. This ladder provides calibration reference points that can aid in identifying more complex glycans based upon retention characteristics.

• IgG purified from human serum for workflow suitability

IgG purified from human serum (200 μ g) is processed as a workflow suitability control.

- 1. Prepare a 10 $\mu g/\mu L$ solution in 8 M guanidine HCl and aliquot in 200 μg portions
- 2. IgG is handled identically to the samples
- 3. Store any unused portions at -20°C for later use

Adalimumab from different sources was analyzed. It is a recombinant human IgG1 monoclonal antibody (mAb), specific for human tumor necrosis factor (TNF). It has a molecular mass of about 150 kDa and is N-glycosylated on the Fc region.

• Dextran hydrolysate, procainamide labeled for HPLC-FLR-MS system suitability

Dextran hydrolysate is solubilized in 25% 75 mM ammonium formate / 75% acetonitrile (v/v), and procainamide labeled according to 2.4. A prelabelled procainamide dextran ladder is also commercially available (SMB01378).

2.3 N-Glycan release

Prior to the analysis, samples are reconstituted to a concentration of 1 mg/mL in water and 200 μ g of each protein is used for N-glycan analysis.

2.3.1 Denaturation

- 1. Set heating block to 50 °C
- 2. Start with at least 100 μ L protein at neutral pH
- 3. Add 200 μL 8 M guanidine HCl solution and quickly vortex to mix
- Incubate at 50 °C for 30 min to denature (shaking optional)
- 5. Bring the temperature of the sample to RT

2.3.2 Buffer exchange to 50 mM ABC buffer

- 1. Transfer sample to a 30 kDa spin filter
- 2. Centrifuge at 14,000 x g for 15 min
- 3. Add 400 µL ABC buffer
- 4. Centrifuge at 14,000 x g for 30 min
- 5. Repeat the previous two steps once more, making sure all the solution has passed through the filter
- 6. Discard the flow through and place filters in new collection tubes

2.3.3 Enzymatic release of glycans

- 1. Set up heating block to 37 °C
- 2. Add 50 μL of 50 mM ABC buffer to each filter unit
- 3. Add 4 μL of 1 UN/ μL PNGase F to each filter unit
- 4. Cap and seal centrifuge device with parafilm
- Incubate at 37°C for 14-20 hours with shaking at 300 rpm

Note: The digestion time can be decreased to only 30 min using **PNGase Fast** (PN# **EMS0001-kit**) which produces a comparable result for most antibodies.

2.3.4 Recovery of glycans

- 1. Centrifuge at 1,000 x g for 10 sec to collect lid condensate
- 2. Add 40 µL ABC buffer
- 3. Centrifuge at 14,000 x g for 5 min
- 4. Add 100 μL ABC buffer
- 5. Centrifuge at 14,000 x g for 5 min
- 6. Repeat previous two steps once more
- 7. Transfer glycans from collection tube to 0.6 mL microcentrifuge tubes for labeling
- 8. Dry the glycans using speed vacuum

2.4 Procainamide labeling

Dried samples are labeled with procainamide in a onepot reductive amination solution, purified by normalphase SPE, and the resulting labeled product is then dried again. Glycans are solubilized in 50 μ L of 25% 75 mM ammonium formate/75% acetonitrile (v/v) prior to UPLC-FLR-MS.

Note: All preparation and labeling must be performed in a fume hood except for weighing reagents. Prepare the incubation block by moving to the fume hood and set the temperature to $65 \, ^{\circ}$ C.

2.4.1 Procainamide labeling reagent

- Weigh at least 1.8 mg sodium cyanoborohydride (NaBH3CN) per labeling reaction in a tube
 - a. Tare a microcentrifuge tube
 - b. Transfer NaBH3CN to the tube in the fume hood; a pencil eraser-head volume is usually sufficient
 - c. Cap the tube and blow off any dust with N2 gas in the fume hood
 - d. Weigh the tube
- Weigh at least 2.033 times more procainamide hydrochloride, by mass, than NaBH3CN in a separate tube
- 3. Prepare 9.1 μ L of a 70% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)/30% acetic acid (AcOH) (v/v) solution per mg procainamide
- 4. Solubilize the procainamide with the 70% DMSO/30% AcOH (v/v) solution
- 5. Ensure the solution is homogenous by vortexing
- 6. Add 18.5 uL of solubilized procainamide per mg of NaBH3CN
- Note: NaBH3CN will not completely solubilize; As exposure to strong acid releases cyanide gas, this step especially warrants working in the fume hood
- 7. Add 5 μL water per mg of NaBH3CN to completely dissolve NaBH3CN
- 8. Cap and mix by vortexing in the fume hood to fully solubilize NaBH3CN

2.4.2. Procainamide labeling of glycans

- 1. Add 40 μL labeling reagent per sample to reaction solution
- 2. Vortex for 1 min and briefly centrifuge
- 3. Place capped tube in incubator block and incubate at 65 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours

Note: Cover with foil to limit condensation on the lid and keep dark

2.5 SPE clean up

- 1. Prepare glycans for clean up by adding 200 μL of 70% ACN in water solution to the labeled glycans
- 2. Prepare Discovery[®] DPA-6S SPE tubes and vacuum manifold
 - a. Place falcon tube under cartridge for waste collection
 - b. Wash with 1 mL water, with minimum pressure gradient by vacuum manifold
 - c. Equilibrate with 1 mL 99% ACN in water, with minimum pressure gradient by vacuum manifold
 - d. Stop flow when meniscus completely enters top frit
- 3. Loading the samples
 - a. Place microcentrifuge tube under cartridge for breakthrough collection
 - b. Add full sample volume to cartridge bed
 - c. Pass sample through bed by gravity
 - d. When meniscus completely enters top frit, add 500 μL of 99% ACN
 - e. Pass volume through by gravity, collecting in same tube
 - f. Stop flow when meniscus completely enters top frit
 - g. Place falcon tube under cartridge for waste collection
 - h. Add breakthrough + 99% ACN to bed
 - i. Pass volume through bed by gravity
 - j. Stop flow when meniscus completely enters top frit
- 4. Wash
 - Add 1 mL of 99% ACN, and pass the solution through cartridge with minimum pressure gradient by vacuum manifold
 - b. Repeat the above step four more times

- 5. Elute
 - a. Place new microcentrifuge tube under cartridge for purified glycan collection
 - b. Add 200 μL of 20% ACN to bed
 - c. Pass volume through bed by gravity
 - d. When meniscus completely enters top frit, repeat the previous two steps once more
 - e. After the collection drip has stopped, apply medium vacuum manifold pressure to evacuate all liquid from SPE to the collection tube (Total volume \sim 400 µL)
- 6. Dry glycans by SpeedVac[™], 2-4 h
 - a. Labeled glycans can be stored at -20 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ for at least 6 months

2.6 UHPLC-FLR-MS

2.6.1 Solubilize glycans

- 1. Dissolve the dry glycans in 50 μL of 75% ACN / 25% of 75 mM ammonium formate (v/v) pH 4.4 (adjusted with formic acid) and vortex for 2 min
- 2. Centrifuge at 16,000 x g for 2 min
- 3. Transfer 40 µL to autosampler vials

2.6.2 UHPLC-FLR-MS parameters

| HPLC Parameters | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Instrument | Waters [™] Acquity UPLC-Waters FLR fluorescence detector |
| Software | MassLynx [®] 4.1 |
| Column | BIOshell™ Glycan 15 cm x 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm |
| | Equilibrate the column with 20 x column volume |
| Column temperature | 58 °C |
| Column equilibration time | 9 min |
| Sample Manager temperature | 8° C |
| Mobile Phase | Mobile Phase A: 75 mM Ammonium formate pH 4.4 |
| | Mobile Phase B: Acetonitrile |
| Gradient | Gradient: 75% B - 59% B in 75 min |
| Flow | 0.3 mL/min |
| Injection method | Partial Loop |
| Injection volume | 10 µL |
| Run time | 110 minutes |

| MS Settings | |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Instrument | Thermo Q Exactive [™] Plus mass spectrometer |
| Source | IonMax |
| Ion source | ESI |
| DDA mode | One MS2 per MS, most abundant ion, any charge state |
| Data-dependent exclusion | 3 s |
| Isolation width | 5 Da |
| Normalized collision energy (%) | 30 |
| Capillary (V) | 4000 |
| Capillary temperature | 200 °C |
| Sheath gas | 10 |
| Aux gas | 12 |
| Scan range (Da) | 400-2000 |

2.6.3 Sample analysis

- 1. Run 1-2 blanks at the start of sample list
- 2. Analyse dextran ladder and hIgG samples first
- 3. After every 5 samples, run a blank followed by a dextran to update GU values
- 4. Run a blank after samples and before column flush
- At completion of queue, flush column with water for 30 minutes followed by 80% ACN/20% water for 30 minutes and store

2.7 Data analysis

LC peaks are identified by their level of residue composition (**Table 1**) from the calculated glucose unit (GU) of their elution. GU levels are determined for each LC feature's retention time by interpolation to a 5th-order polynomial standard curve of the dextran hydrolysate ladder chromatogram (see Figure 1). Glycan assignment is done by comparing the GU values to a custom database of glycan GU values for the BIOshell[™] column. The dextran ladder is analysed via UHPLC FLR-MS after every fifth sample to correct for any retention time shifts. For relative quantification, fluorescence peak areas are normalized to the sum of all identified glycan fluorescence peak areas. The limit of quantification (LOQ) is defined as 0.5% of the most abundant peak area. This allows for compositions less than 0.5% of the total peak area because composition is normalized to the sum of all peak areas. The peak area is calculated using Xcalibur[™] Qual Browser. For general sample analysis, the Thermo Xcalibur[™] Qual Browser software retrieves and records the base peak intensity for each sample.

Table 1: Glycan constituent monosaccharides.

| Glycan/Modification | Short Name | Generic Name | Residue Mass | Representation |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Procainamide Modification | - | - | 219.17 | - |
| N-Acetylglucosamine | GlcNAc | HexNAc | 203.08 | |
| Mannose | Man | Hex | 162.05 | |
| Galactose | Gal | Hex | 162.05 | • |
| Fucose | Fuc | Fuc | 146.06 | |
| N-AcetyIneuraminic Acid | Neu5Ac | Neu5Ac | 291.10 | • |

3. Results

3.1 System suitability results

The procainamide-labeled dextran hydrolysate and control acquisitions demonstrated that the UHPLC-FLR system and column were suitable to resolve and identify labeled oligosaccharides, as per the four system suitability requirements shown in Table 2. First the workflow, including glycan release, labeling, and SPE steps was tested with hIgG and was found to be suitable, with 14 common hIgG glycans detected where a minimum of 10 hIgG glycan identifications are required. The second system suitability requirement was also met; peaks G1F (1,6) and G1F (1,3) were observed to be partially resolved in the fluorescence chromatogram (Figure 1). Although resolution between the G1F (1,6) and G1F (1,3) peaks was not complete, they could be visually differentiated upon magnification. The third system suitability requirement was met by the analysis of hIgG control. The relative abundances of a representative subset of these glycans gave an R2 correlation of 0.99 with the historical glycan profile. Finally, the slope created during analysis of the hIgG control was observed to be 0.99, which is within the slope requirement of 1.00 ± 0.07 .

Table 2: Four system suitability requirements and results for hIgG.

| Туре | Criteria | Result |
|--------------|--|--------|
| Qualitative | ≥ 10 hIgG peaks detected | Yes |
| | G1F(1,6); G1F(1,3) Partially Resolved | Yes |
| Quantitative | Correlation Coefficient $R2 \ge 0.95$ | 0.99 |
| | Slope 1.00 ± 0.07 | 0.99 |

Fluorescence chromatograms of procainamidelabeled dextran hydrolysate and hIgG control.



Figure 1: Fluorescence chromatograms of procainamide-labeled dextran hydrolysate and hIgG control. Top pane: annotations indicate the number of glucose units (GU) in each dextran hydrolysate-procainamide feature. Each feature of the control hIgG in the bottom pane was similarly identified. The inset shows the correlation of the glycan features' relative compositions with the historical values of previous hIgG data.

Fluorescence chromatograms of procainamide-labeled dextran hydrolysate and hIgG control showing the number of glucose units (GU) in each LC feature of the two, obtained in the released N-glycan analysis of adalimumab based on UHPLC-FLR-MS.

3.2 Adalimumab sample results

Sixteen glycan features for adalimumab samples were quantified. **Table 3** contains glycan compositions for all the samples. And **Figure 2** illustrates the fluorescence chromatograms for each sample type of adalimumab. Overall, the N-glycan profiles are broadly similar, but some differences exist in the observed relative compositions of some components. **Table 4** illustrates the structures of the observed glycans. The area under the peaks of glycans in the TIC chromatogram are summed and MS spectra were created to confirm the mass of glycans. **Figure 3** shows typical examples of MS spectra.

Table 3: N-Glycan % Composition.

| Peak | Glycan | Adalimumab Reference (%) | MSQC16 (%) |
|------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------|
| 1 | G0-N | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| 2 | G0F-N | 4.1 | 0.6 |
| 3 | G0 | 0.9 | 3.1 |
| 4 | Man5 | 5.3 | 1.0 |
| 5 | G0F | 65.0 | 44.4 |
| 6 | G1F-N | 1.7 | - |
| 7a | G1(1,6) | 0.4 | 1.1 |
| 7b | G1(1,3) | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| 8 | Man6 | 1.8 | - |
| 9a | G1F(1,6) | 12.1 | 29.6 |
| 9b | G1F(1,3) | 5.1 | 10.0 |
| 10 | G2F | 2.7 | 7.3 |
| 11 | G1FS(1,3) | - | 0.5 |
| 12a | G2FS(1,6) | - | 0.6 |
| 12b | G2FS(1,3) | - | 0.4 |
| 13 | G2FS2 | - | 0.4 |



Figure 2: Fluorescence chromatograms of adalimumab samples with the numbered LC features obtained in the released N-glycan analysis of adalimumab based on UHPLC-FLR-MS.



Figure 3: MS spectra of peak 4 (Man 5) and 5 (G0F) obtained on the fluorescence chromatograms of adalimumab samples in the released N-glycan analysis of adalimumab based on UHPLC-FLR-MS.

Table 4: N-Glycans Observed.

| Peak | RT (min) | RT GU (min) | Theoretical Mass | Glycan | Monosaccaride Composition | Structure |
|------|----------|-------------|------------------|--------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | 10.46 | 5.29 | 1332.58 | G0-N | Man3 GlcNAc3 | |
| 2 | 11.83 | 5.75 | 1478.64 | G0F-N | Fuc1 Man3 GlcNAc3 | • |
| 3 | 12.80 | 6.07 | 1535.66 | G0 | Man3 GlcNAc4 | |
| 4 | 13.66 | 6.35 | 1453.61 | Man5 | Man5 GlcNAc2 | |
| 5 | 14.17 | 6.51 | 1681.72 | G0F | Fuc1 Man3 GlcNAc4 | |
| 6 | 14.56 | 6.65 | 1640.69 | G1F-N | Fuc1 Man3 Gal1 GlcNAc3 | •= |
| 7a, | 15.36 | 6.91 | - 1697.71 | G1 | Man3 Gal1 GlcNAc4 | |
| 7b | 15.62 | 6.99 | | 91 | | |
| 8 | 16.44 | 7.28 | 1615.66 | Man6 | Man6 GlcNAc2 | |
| 9a, | 16.68 | 7.35 | - 1942 77 | C15 | Fuel Man2 Call CleNAc4 | |
| 9b | 16.87 | 7.42 | - 1843.77 | GIF | FUCI Mans Gall GICNAC4 | |
| 10 | 19.27 | 8.26 | 2005.82 | G2F | Fuc1 Man3 Gal2 GlcNAc4 | |
| 11 | 21.09 | 8.94 | 2134.9 | G1FS | Fuc1 Man3 Gal1 GlcNAc4 Neu5Ac1 | + |
| 12a, | 23.23 | 9.78 | - 2206 02 | COES | Fuel Man2 Cal2 ClaNAed NeuFAet | A |
| 12b | 23.47 | 9.88 | - 2296.92 | 9253 | | |
| 13 | 27.23 | 11.56 | 2588.01 | G2FS2 | Fuc1 Man3 Gal2 GlcNAc4 Neu5Ac2 | ****** |

4. Conclusion

A complete UHPLC-FLR-MS workflow has been developed to simplify the analysis of N-linked glycans. This workflow offers the following:

- MS and Fluorescence compatibility
- System suitability testing using human IgG
- Rapid and reproducible N-Glycan release. The protocol provides detailed instructions for sample washing and denaturation
- Procainamide labeling ensuring high fluorescence intensity and ESI efficiency while showing comparable chromatographic separation compared to the other fluorescence labeling systems
- BIOshell[™] HPLC column based UHPLC-FLR-MS analysis— suitable for the analysis of proteinlinked glycans— and typical mobile phases used for hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC)
- Complete listing of all reagents, consumables, and related products.

A total of 16 glycan features were quantified for the mAb adalimumab. The glycan profile, including the qualitative and quantitative aspects, is comparable to the results found by other analytical laboratories.^{1, 2}

Characterizing and monitoring the glycosylation pattern of therapeutic mAbs is required by regulatory authorities to ensure efficacy and safety of the drug.

This detailed protocol can be used for the analysis of N-linked glycans of mAbs and for complex and heterogenous glycoproteins.

References

- 1. Nayoung Lee, JongAh Joanne Lee, Hahymn Yang, Serim Baek, Soohwan Kim, Sooshin Kim, Tongkeun Lee, Dami Song & Gwangmin Park (2019) Evaluation of similar quality attribute characteristics in SB5 and reference product of adalimumab, mAbs, 11:1, 129-144, DOI: 10.1080/19420862.2018.1530920
- Tebbey PW, Varga A, Naill M, Clewell J, Venema J. Consistency of quality attributes for the glycosylated monoclonal antibody Humira[®] (adalimumab). MAbs. 2015;7(5):805-811.

doi:10.1080/19420862.2015. 1073429

Featured Products

| Product | Cat. No. |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Samples and System Suitability Reagents | |
| SILu™Lite SigmaMAb™ Adalimumab Monoclonal Antibody 💙 | MSQC16 |
| Dextran from Leuconostoc mesenteroides 🧲 | 31417 |
| IgG from human serum 💙 | 14506 |
| 2-AA Labelled dextran ladder | SMB01376 |
| 2-AB Labelled dextran | SMB01377 |
| PC Labelled dextran ladder | SMB01378 |
| Glycan Release | |
| Guanidine hydrochloride 💙 | 50933 |
| Ammonium bicarbonate 💙 | 09830 |
| PNGase F from Elizabethkingia meningoseptica 🔨 | F8435 |
| Microcon®-30kDa Centrifugal Filter Unit with Ultracel-30 membrane 🔴 | MRCF0R030 |
| Labeling | |
| Sodium cyanoborohydride 💙 | 156159 |
| Procainamide hydrochloride 🧲 | PHR1252 |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide 💙 | D8418 |
| Acetic acid 💙 | 695092 |
| Cleanup | |
| Discovery® DPA-6S SPE Tube 🧲 | 52624-U |
| Acetonitrile 📢 | 1.00029 |
| Visiprep™ SPE Vacuum Manifold (| 57044 |
| HPLC | |
| BIOshell™ Glycan HPLC Column, 15 cm x 2.1 mm, 2.7 µm | 50994-U |
| Acetonitrile 🗨 | 1.00029 |
| Ammonium formate | 70221 |
| Formic acid 🧲 | 5.33002 |
| Water | |
| Ultrapure water from Milli-Q® system e.g. Milli-Q® IQ 7000 🔴 | ZIQ7000T0 |
| Water for UHPLC-MS LiChrosolv® 🧹 | 1.03728 |
| Accessories | |
| Microcentrifuge tubes volume 0.6 mL | T5149 |
| Autosampler vials volume 0.3 mL 🧲 | 29661-U |
| | |
| Supelco® products Sigma-Aldrich® products Milli-Q® products | Millipore [®] products |

Excipients & Impurities Analysis

Impurities

In the rapidly advancing field of biopharmaceutical modalities, including proteins, peptides, bispecific antibodies, and cell and gene therapies, it is essential to control various impurities that can arise during the manufacturing process. These impurities can be biological, such as host-cell proteins, DNA, viruses, aggregates, and variants of therapeutic proteins, or process-related impurities such as residual solvents, reagents, and excipients. There may also be product-related impurities, such as product variants, degradation products, and impurities resulting from manufacturing equipment, which are also monitored during the manufacturing process.

In addition to internal quality control measures, the biopharmaceutical industry follows guidelines provided by regulatory agencies worldwide to ensure that the impurities are controlled to acceptable levels during production. For example, the International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH) provides guidelines such as ICH Q6B, which addresses the characterization and evaluation of impurities in biotechnology-derived products, and ICH Q11, which provides a framework for developing a description of the manufacturing process and the controls used to monitor the process. The US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) provides guidelines such as the Quality by Design (QbD) initiative, which emphasizes a systematic approach to product development and manufacturing,

HPLC and LC-MS have become indispensable tools in the development and manufacturing of biopharmaceutical modalities, ensuring their quality and purity. Proper use of HPLC and LC-MS techniques, in conjunction with regulatory guidelines, helps to ensure the safety and efficacy of biopharmaceutical modalities, allowing for successful drug development and regulatory approval.

Workflow for the Analysis of Polysorbate 80 in Erbitux[®] Formulation

Protocol for sample preparation and reversed phase HPLC-ELSD analysis of a nonionic surfactant in a monoclonal antibody formulation

Dr. Stephan Altmaier

Chemistry R&D, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany

Workflow for the Analysis of Polysorbate 80 in a mAb Formulation



Sample preparation

Standardization & Calibration

A complete reversed phase HPLC-ELSD workflow has been developed for the quantification of polysorbate 80 (Tween[®] 80) in antibody formulations.

In detail, it includes:

- Solid phase extraction (SPE) sample preparation procedure
- Preparation of calibration solutions
- Reversed phase HPLC-ELSD method for quantitative analysis of surfactant concentration

Introduction to Polysorbate 80 Analysis in mAb Formulations

Monoclonal antibodies [mAbs or immunoglobulins (IgGs)] are large glycoproteins with a molecular weight of approximately 150 kDa (150,000 g/Mol). They are composed of two identical light chains (LC, molecular weight ca. 25 kDa each) and two identical heavy chains (HC, molecular weight ca. 50 kDa each) linked through covalent inter- and intra-chain disulfide bonds. They are utilized for the treatment of various types of cancer, and other diseases such as multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease, or migraine.

Careful and thorough characterization of therapeutic mAbs is essential for ensuring drug safety and efficacy. mAbs are typically manufactured in mammalian host cell lines in bioreactors, generating a large number of heterogeneous drug molecules.

Analysis

Establishing a number of critical quality attributes (COAs) for each mAb and demonstrating that production batches are within acceptable limits is a requirement for both innovator and biosimilar therapeutics.^{1,2}

Polysorbate 80 (PS 80; commercial name: Tween[®] 80) is a nonionic surfactant that is utilized as a stabilizing excipient in protein therapeutics. PS 80 stabilizes proteins, prevents aggregation and nonspecific adsorption of primary and secondary antibodies to surfaces, reduces the rate of protein denaturation and increases the drug solubility and stability.^{3,4} In order to ensure product quality, the accurate quantitation of PS 80 in the final drug product is crucial.

Figure 1 displays the chemical structure of polysorbate 80.



Figure 1. Chemical structure of polysorbate 80 (PS 80; commercial name: Tween[®] 80).

This report describes the application of reversed phase HPLC-ELSD (high performance liquid chromatography - evaporative light scattering detection) for the quantification of polysorbate 80 in an Erbitux® antibody drug formulation (Erbitux® is the trade name of the drug formulation using the monoclonal antibody cetuximab). Sample preparation is performed using solid phase extraction and a set of seven calibration solutions is prepared for system calibration.

General Procedures – Erbitux[®] Drug Product Sample Preparation, Polysorbate 80 Standard Preparation, System Setup and Calibration

The samples were received as Erbitux[®] drug product (DP, formulation of 5 mg/mL cetuximab, excipients: sodium chloride, glycine, polysorbate 80, citric acid monohydrate, sodium hydroxide, water) and were stored at 8 °C. Prior to sample preparation the samples were heated up to room temperature.

A set of two Erbitux[®] DP batches was analyzed in this work. The polysorbate 80 concentration of the samples was approximately 0.1 mg/mL. No dilution was required before loading onto the solid phase extraction (SPE) cartridge. All solvents applied during sample preparation were of gradient grade HPLC quality or higher.

Sample Preparation

The blank sample was represented by pure water and does not undergo the solid phase extraction process.

The Erbitux[®] DP samples were purified by SPE. In detail, sample preparation was executed as follows:

1. 4M Guanidinium hydrochloride solution

Dilute 80 mL guanidinium hydrochloride solution 6M with 40 mL water.

2. 10% Methanol

Dilute 10 mL methanol with 90 mL water to obtain a solution of 10% methanol in water.

3. Sample preparation – Solid phase extraction

- Position Supel[™] Swift HLB SPE cartridge in Visiprep[™] SPE Vacuum Manifold.
- Prime with 1 mL methanol.
- Condition with 1 mL water.
- $\bullet\,$ Load 0.5 mL of an Erbitux® DP sample solution, add 0.5 mL water.
- Wash with 1 mL 4M guanidinium hydrochloride solution.
- Wash with 1 mL 10% methanol.
- Elute with 1 mL acetonitrile and collect eluent in 15 mL centrifuge tube.
- Repeat elution once and collect eluent in the same centrifuge tube.
- $\bullet\,$ Evaporate acetonitrile in vacuum rotary evaporator at 40 °C for 25 minutes.
- Reconstitute sample with 250 μL water, vortex mix well and then transfer to HPLC glass vials.

Standard Preparation

The preparation of calibration standards was performed as follows:

4. PS 80 standard stock solution 1.2 mg/mL

For the preparation of PS 80 stock solution (c = 1.2 mg/mL) weigh approximately 60 mg PS 80 into a 50 mL volumetric flask and fill up to mark with water.

5. PS 80 calibration standards

Prepare dilution series according to **Table 1** to obtain a set of seven calibration standards.

Table 1. Polysorbate 80 calibration standardscompositions. Final concentrations resulted froman initial weighed portion of PS 80 of 59.61 mg.

| Calibration standard # | Standard stock solution (µL) | Water (µL) | Total volume (μL) | Final concentration (mg/mL) |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | 20 | 980 | 1000 | 0.0238 |
| 2 | 40 | 960 | 1000 | 0.0477 |
| 3 | 80 | 920 | 1000 | 0.0954 |
| 4 | 140 | 860 | 1000 | 0.1669 |
| 5 | 200 | 800 | 1000 | 0.2384 |
| 6 | 260 | 740 | 1000 | 0.3099 |
| 7 | 320 | 680 | 1000 | 0.3814 |

RP-HPLC-ELSD System Setup and Data Analysis

RP-HPLC-ELSD System Setup

The essential settings of the Hitachi Chromaster chromatography system and the gradient conditions applied in the analysis of polysorbate 80 are listed in **Tables 2** and **3** below.

Table 2. HPLC-ELSD settings.

| Instrument | Hitachi Chromaster |
|------------------|---|
| Software | Chromeleon™ 7.2.10 |
| Column | Supelco® Ascentis® Express C18 5 μm 7.5 cm \times 2.1 mm |
| Column temp | 40 °C |
| Gradient | See Table 3 |
| Flow | 0.6 mL/min |
| Injection volume | 50 μL |
| Run time | 18 min |
| Detection | ELS |

Table 3. HPLC-ELSD gradient conditions. A: Water, B: methanol, C: 2-propanol (all solvents LC-MS grade quality).

| Minute | % A | % В | % C |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|
| 0 | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| 2.5 | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| 5 | 10 | 20 | 70 |
| 10 | 0 | 10 | 90 |
| 10.5 | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| 18 | 95 | 5 | 0 |

Data Analysis

Data was processed with Chromeleon[™] 7.2.10 software; due to application of a gradient profile and the necessity to summarize peak areas in the retention time range from approximately 6 to 9 minutes, the integration was executed manually. Integration of peaks outside the mentioned range was inhibited automatically. The calibration type applied was "Quad with offset".

Calibration data

A total of seven polysorbate 80 calibration standards was prepared. Quadratic regression revealed an excellent fit of the resulting calibration curve over the entire calibration range, with an R2 value of 0.9997 (see **Figure 2**). Experimental data obtained from the calibration experiments are listed in **Table 4. Figure 3** displays an overlay of the chromatograms obtained by the analysis of the seven calibration standards.



Figure 2. HPLC-ELSD calibration curve obtained by injection of polysorbate 80 calibration standards 1-7.

Table 4. Polysorbate 80 standard solutionconcentrations, peak areas (median of duplicates)and RSD (%).

| Standard solution # | Concentration (mg/mL) | Peak area (mV*min) | RSD(%) |
|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| 1 | 0.0238 | 3.39 | 0.40 |
| 2 | 0.0477 | 21.16 | 1.08 |
| 3 | 0.0954 | 58.02 | 0.84 |
| 4 | 0.1669 | 133.27 | 2.53 |
| 5 | 0.2384 | 230.70 | 3.86 |
| 6 | 0.3099 | 359.78 | 2.12 |
| 7 | 0.3814 | 494.15 | 2.05 |



Figure 3. Overlay of chromatograms obtained by the HPLC-ELSD analysis of all seven PS 80 calibration standards.

Results

In this work, an Ascentis[®] Express C18 HPLC column was utilized for the HPLC-ELSD analysis of polysorbate 80 in two different batches of an Erbitux[®] antibody drug formulation. The HPLC column applied is comprised of reversed phase-modified, superficially porous silica particles and enables a fast, high-performance analysis.

Sample preparation by hydrophilc-lipophilic balanced solid phase extraction was shown to effectively separate PS 80 from major amounts of drug product excipients.

Duplicates of a total of five samples of each of the batches B4G and BM9 were analyzed to determine their polysorbate 80 content (see also **Table 5**). The corresponding ELSD traces of two representative samples of each batch are shown in **Figure 4**.

The analysis results revealed a PS 80 content of the samples of 0.12 and 0.14 mg/mL, which is in line with typical surfactant concentrations in antibody drug formulations. The calibration curve displayed an excellent quadratic fit over the entire calibration range, with an R2 value of 0.9997, and the LOD for the HPLC-ELSD method was 0.0055 mg/mL.

Table 5. Results of the polysorbate 80 analysis of two $Erbitux^{(n)}$ DP batches. Concentrations are provided as the median of duplicates.

| Batch / sample # | Concentration (mg/mL) | RSD (%) |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| B4G 1 | 0.1103 | 0.0062 |
| B4G 2 | 0.1117 | 0.0026 |
| B4G 3 | 0.1292 | 0.0031 |
| B4G 4 | 0.1123 | 0.0255 |
| B4G 5 | 0.1488 | 0.0133 |
| BM9 1 | 0.1418 | 0.0002 |
| BM9 2 | 0.1398 | 0.0105 |
| BM9 3 | 0.1455 | 0.0007 |
| BM9 4 | 0.1431 | 0.0233 |
| BM9 5 | 0.1291 | 0.0087 |
| | | |



Figure 4. RP-HPLC-ELSD chromatogram of the Erbitux[®] antibody drug samples B4G (purple trace) and BM9 (green trace). Several polysorbate 80 peaks are visible in the range from approximately 6 to 9 minutes.

Conclusion

This report describes the entire workflow for the quantitative analysis of polysorbate 80 in two Erbitux[®] antibody drug formulations, using reversed phase HPLC-ELSD analysis. A Supelco[®] Ascentis[®] Express C18 HPLC column packed with superficially porous silica particles was applied for the separation of PS 80 and matrix compounds.

The workflow includes a sample purification process using solid phase extraction with HLB cartridges and subsequent analysis of the samples by reversed-phase HPLC-ELSD. HPLC system calibration data was obtained by the preparation and analysis of seven polysorbate 80 standard solutions and allows for a simple quantification of polysorbate 80 content in mAb samples.

The chromatographic method established is suitable for sample separation and analysis of PS 80, and can also be applied in the quantification of similar non-ionic surfactants

References

- 1. K. Sandra, I. Vandenheede, P. Sandra, J. Chrom. A 1335, 81 103 (2014).
- S. A. Berkowitz, J. R. Engen, J. R. Mazzeo, G. B. Jones, Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov. 11, 527 – 540 (2012).
- 3. M. Khossravi, Y.H. Kao, R.J. Mrsny, T.D. Sweeney, Pharm. Res. 19, 634 639 (2002).
- 4. D. Hewitt, T. Zhang, Y.H. Kao, J. Chromatogr. A 1215, 156 160 (2008).

Product List

| Description | Cat. No. |
|---|------------------|
| HPLC columns & sample preparation | |
| Supelco [®] Ascentis [®] Express C18 5 µm 7.5 cm × 2.1 mm | 50511-U |
| Supel [™] Swift HLB SPE tubes 30 mg (bed), volume 1 mL | 57493-U |
| Solvents & reagents | |
| Polysorbate 80, certified reference material, pharmaceutical secondary standard | PHR3790-5X1G |
| Ultrapure water from Milli-Q [®] water purification | ZIQ7005TOC or |
| system or bottled water | 1.15333 |
| Methanol gradient grade for liquid chromatography LiChrosolv® | 1.06007 |
| Methanol hypergrade for LC-MS LiChrosolv® | 1.06035 |
| 2-Propanol gradient grade for liquid chromatography LiChrosolv® | 1.01040 |
| 2-Propanol hypergrade for LC-MS LiChrosolv® | 1.02781 |
| Acetonitrile gradient grade for liquid chromatography LiChrosolv® | 1.00030 |
| Guanidine hydrochloride solution 6M, manufactured under cGMP controls | SRE0066 |
| TWEEN [®] 80 BioXtra | P8074-100ML |
| Equipment & Consumables | |
| Visiprep [™] SPE Vacuum Manifold, standard, 12-port model Supelco [®] | 57030-U |
| Vacuum centrifuge Eppendorf Concentrator Plus | EP5305000100-1EA |
| Corning [®] 15 mL centrifuge tubes | CLS430053-500EA |
| Heidolph rotary evaporator Laborota 4003 | Z619094 |
| Snap Seal™ HPLC vials | 29141-U |

Workflow for Antibody Aggregate and Fragment Analysis

Protocol for heat stressing and SEC-UV analysis of monoclonal antibody aggregates and fragments

Workflow for Antibody Aggregate and Fragment Quantification



A complete SEC-UV workflow has been developed to enable quantitative analysis of antibody fragments and aggregates. In detail, it includes:

- Procedure for heat stressing of antibodies
- System suitability test utilizing a gel filtration standard
- SEC-UV method for sample separation and analysis

Introduction to Therapeutic mAb Characterization

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs or immunoglobulins -IgGs) are large glycoproteins with a molecular weight of approximately 150 kDa (150,000 g/mol). These biomolecules are composed of two light chains (LC, molecular weight ca. 25 kDa each) and two heavy chains (HC, molecular weight ca. 50 kDa each) linked through covalent inter- and intra-chain disulfide bonds. IgGs are utilized for the treatment of various types of cancer and other diseases such as multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's disease, and migraine.

Careful and thorough characterization of therapeutic mAbs is essential for ensuring drug safety and efficacy. MAbs are typically manufactured in mammalian host cell lines in bioreactors, generating many heterogeneous drug molecules. Establishing a number of critical quality attributes (CQAs) for each mAb and demonstrating that production batches are within acceptable limits are requirements for both innovator and biosimilar therapeutics.^{1,2}

In many cases, the characterization of an antibody-based drug is performed using a specific chromatographic technique (e.g., size exclusion, reversed phase or hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography, respectively – SEC, RP or HILIC)^{3,4} coupled with UV or mass spectrometry (MS) detection. This combination allows for different types of analyses to be carried out, e.g., accurate mass measurement of aggregates,⁵ the intact mAb and subunits, fragment analysis, peptide mapping, and the determination of post-translational modifications such as glycosylation, oxidation, and deamidation. SEC is a frequently utilized method for the determination of mAb fragments and aggregates.

Monoclonal antibody formulations can undergo various degradation processes during production, formulation, transport and storage, leading to the formation of protein aggregates and fragments - impurities that are CQAs and that must stay within specific limits. Typically, the total amount of impurities (high and low molecular weight - HMW/LMW) is required to be below 5% and no individual peak area should exceed 1-2%. Usually, the concentration of aggregates in a mAb formulation is higher than the fragment concentration and ranges from 1-5%. Specific limits are validated based on holistic characterization data or on stability trends.

During aggregation, two or more mAb monomers form a stable complex, a process that is difficult to reverse. This aggregation can be induced by electrostatic interactions, for example, leading to self-association of mAbs in concentrated formulations. Another pathway for aggregate formation is misfolding or (partial) unfolding of antibodies creating hydrophobic regions which subsequently bind to each other. Chemical reactions such as disulfide bonding of thiol groups can also form mAb aggregates. Depending on the process, reversible and irreversible covalent and non-covalent species of different sizes can be observed.

Factors influencing aggregation can include temperature, pH value, shear stress during shaking or stirring, or exposure to light or hydrophobic surfaces.⁶ Fragmentation of mAbs can be described as the chemically or enzymatically induced disruption of a covalent protein bond.⁷ In the same manner as aggregation, fragmentation is a CQA that needs to be monitored.

An inactivation of a mAb by irreversible fragmentation reactions is most frequently caused by temperature, pH or mAb concentration or the presence of enzymes, metals, or radicals.

The presence of aggregates or fragments in antibody formulations is considered a paramount issue, since they can cause effects such as decreased or increased activity, increased cytotoxicity and immunogenicity and decreased solubility of the drug.⁸ More specifically, side effects such as headache, shivers, anaphylactic reactions or renal failure have been observed⁹ as well as the development of an immune response by patients. In such a situation, the effectiveness of a drug is strongly reduced.¹⁰ Hence, it is essential to characterize mAb aggregate and fragment formation during drug formulation and to establish a suitable quality control process for these therapeutics.

The focus of this application note is to conduct forced temperature stress studies on a monoclonal antibody and to separate and quantify resulting aggregates and fragments as well as monomers by utilizing SEC-UV analysis.

General Procedures – Eluent and Sample Preparation and System Setup for SEC-UV Analysis of Unstressed and Heat-Stressed Antibodies

Eluent and Sample Preparation

Eluent Preparation

Add 38.1 g potassium di-hydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄), 21.1 g of di-potassium hydrogen phosphate (K₂HPO₄) and 37.3 g of potassium chloride (KCl) to a 2 L volumetric flask containing about 1.8 L water and mix thoroughly. Check pH (6.2 \pm 0.1) and adjust, if needed, with 85% phosphoric acid (H₃PO₄) or 47% potassium hydroxide (KOH) under stirring. Fill up to 2 L with water and filter solution using a 0.2 µm bottle-top filter. Solution can be stored at 23 \pm 5 °C for two weeks.

Sample Preparation

In this work, a research antibody was utilized as a model mAb and is referenced as "antibody". The antibody sample was obtained as a formulation in 10 mM histidine (c = 21.6 mg/mL, pH 5.5).

Blank sample

Utilize undiluted eluent as a blank sample.

Gel filtration standard solution (system suitability)

Reconstitute one vial of a gel filtration standard (GFS; 1,350–670,000 Da; contains thyroglobulin, bovine γ -globulin, chicken ovalbumin, equine myoglobin, vitamin B12) with 0.5 mL water. Dilute 480 μ L of reconstituted solution by adding 9.12 mL water. Dispense resulting solution in 200 μ L aliquots in amber vials. Avoid direct light exposure of the vials (GFS contains vitamin B12 which is light sensitive). Store at -80 °C \pm 10 °C and use within three years.

Unstressed antibody sample solution

Dilute 93 μ L of antibody sample solution with 907 μ L eluent in amber vial to yield a final sample concentration of 2 mg/mL.

Heat-stressed antibody sample solution

Stress 1 mL of antibody sample solution for 6 hours at 60 °C utilizing an Eppendorf Thermomixer (or equivalent). Dilute 93 μ L of resulting sample solution with 907 μ L eluent in amber vial to yield a final sample concentration of 2 mg/mL.

SEC-UV System Setup and Data Analysis

System Setup

The essential settings of the SEC-UV chromatography system applied in the analysis of antibody aggregates and fragments are listed in **Table 1** below.

Table 1. SEC-UV system settings.

| Instrument | ThermoScientific™ Vanquish™ |
|----------------------|--|
| Software | Chromeleon™ Version 7.2 SR4 |
| Column | TSKgel® UP-SW3000 HPLC Column, phase diol, L \times I.D. 30 cm \times 4.6 mm I.D., 2 μm |
| Column temp | 25 °C |
| Autosampler temp | 5 °C ± 3 °C |
| Mobile phase | 0.2 M Potassium phosphate + 0.25 M potassium chloride in water, pH 6.2±0.1 |
| Gradient | Isocratic |
| Flow | 0.35 mL/min |
| Injection volume | 10 µL |
| Run time | 15 min |
| UV | 214 nm |
| Data collection rate | 10.0 Hz |
| Response time | 0.5 s |

A typical sample injection scheme applied was as follows:

* Use 15 injections of GFS in case of new columns

Data Analysis

For quantitative analysis of aggregates, monomers and fragments, all sample peaks that were not present in the blank were integrated; both automatic and manual integration approaches were applied.

Results of SEC-UV Analysis of Unstressed and Heat-Stressed Antibodies

The analysis objective was to perform fragment and aggregate analysis of a research antibody by SEC-UV.

The antibody sample was received as a formulation in 10 mM histidine and used without further treatment. Both unstressed as well as heat-stressed antibody samples were tested. In addition, a gel filtration standard was utilized to determine system suitability.

System Suitability Test Results

A volume of 10 μ L of the gel filtration standard was injected on the SEC-UV system. The obtained chromatographic result is displayed in **Figure 1**. The chromatogram shows five peaks for thyroglobulin, bovine γ -globulin, chicken ovalbumin, equine myoglobin, and vitamin B12. In addition, thyroglobulin aggregate and fragment peaks are visible. Depending on the analytical needs, critical parameters such as the resolution or the plate count for specific peaks can be predefined ("acceptance criteria").



Figure 1. Chromatogram obtained by a gel filtration standard analysis utilizing SEC-UV. 1 Thyroglobulin, 2 bovine γ -globulin, 3 chicken ovalbumin, 4 equine myoglobin, 5 vitamin B12. 1a and 1f are thyroglobulin aggregate and fragment peaks, respectively.

Sample Test Results – Unstressed Antibody Sample

The SEC-UV chromatogram of an overlay of six injections of 10 μ L of an unstressed antibody sample is displayed in **Figure 2**. The monomer antibody elutes as the main peak at 7.40 minutes; minor amounts of antibody fragments and aggregates elute between 9.19 to 14.62 minutes and at 6.33 minutes, respectively.

The relative peak areas of six consecutive runs of an unstressed mAb sample are listed in **Table 2**. Median peak area for the monomer was determined at 92.378%, with the standard deviation (SD) being as low as 0.320. Respective values for HMW and LMW compounds were 0.138% (SD 0.004) and 7.485% (SD 0.321), respectively.



Figure 2. Overlay of SEC-UV traces of six consecutive runs of an unstressed mAb sample (top) and zoom-in (bottom).

Table 2. Relative peak areas of SEC-UV tracesof six consecutive runs of an unstressedmAb sample.

| Injection # | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Median | Standard |
|-------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Peak | | Р | eak ar | ea [% |] | | | deviation |
| HMW | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.138 | 0.004 |
| Monomer | 92.29 | 92.74 | 92.34 | 91.78 | 92.72 | 92.40 | 92.378 | 0.320 |
| LMW | 7.58 | 7.12 | 7.53 | 8.08 | 7.14 | 7.46 | 7.485 | 0.321 |

Sample Test Results – Heat-Stressed Antibody Samples

Heat-stressing of a research antibody sample was performed for 6 hours at 60 °C. 10 μ L of the resulting sample was then injected on the SEC-UV system. **Figure 3** displays an overlay of six injections of the stressed antibody. The monomer antibody elutes as the main peak at 7.40 minutes; several antibody fragment peaks are visible between 8 to 15 minutes, and aggregates elute between 4.5 to 7 minutes.



Figure 3. Overlay of SEC-UV traces of six consecutive runs of a mAb sample heat-stressed for 6 h at 60 °C (top) and zoom-in (bottom).

Table 3 shows the relative peak areas of six consecutive runs of the temperature-stressed mAb sample. Median peak area for the monomer, aggregates and fragments was 26.345%, 66.292% and 7.367%, respectively, and the corresponding standard deviations were 0.299, 0.312 and 0.033. Comparison of these results with the composition of the unstressed mAb sample revealed a negligible influence of temperature stress on the number of fragments detected. In contrast, monomer content dropped by approximately 26% and HMW content increased by the same amount.

Table 3. Relative peak areas of SEC-UV traces of six consecutive runs of a heat-stressed mAb sample.

| Injection # | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Median | Standard |
|-------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-----------|
| Peak | | P | eak ar | ea [% |] | | | deviation |
| HMW | 25.86 | 26.10 | 26.33 | 26.41 | 26.64 | 26.73 | 26.345 | 0.299 |
| Monomer | 66.80 | 66.55 | 66.33 | 66.17 | 66.02 | 65.88 | 66.292 | 0.312 |
| LMW | 7.35 | 7.35 | 7.34 | 7.43 | 7.34 | 7.39 | 7.367 | 0.033 |

Conclusion of SEC-UV Analysis of Antibody Aggregates, Monomers and Fragments

The objective of this work was to develop a workflow for the quantification of antibody aggregates, monomers, and fragments by SEC-UV.

The workflow was comprised of a system suitability test utilizing a gel filtration standard and a procedure for heat stressing of antibodies. In addition, a SEC-UV method suitable for sample separation and analysis of mAb monomers, fragments and aggregates was established.

The research antibody analyzed in this work underwent temperature stressing at 60 °C for 6 h. A comparison of SEC-UV chromatograms of unstressed and stressed antibody samples revealed a strong influence of temperature on the formation of several mAb aggregates. The unstressed antibody sample contained the monomer as the main component (peak area 92.4%), 7.5% of various fragment molecules and 0.1% of aggregate species.

Heat-stressing of the antibody sample was utilized to cause accelerated change of sample composition. The applied process led to a strong shift in sample composition: While monomer concentration dropped to 66.3% (peak area) and the monomer remained the predominant species, the aggregate fraction portion increased to 26.4% and the amount of fragments remained almost constant and was calculated at 7.4%.

The generated data displays that the implemented workflow can be used for the quantitative analysis of unstressed and temperature stressed antibody aggregates, monomers, and fragments by SEC-UV.

References

- 1. K. Sandra, I. Vandenheede, P. Sandra, J. Chrom. A 1335, 81 103 (2014).
- S. A. Berkowitz, J. R. Engen, J. R. Mazzeo, G. B. Jones, Nat. Rev. Drug. Discov. 11, 527 – 540 (2012).
- J. H. Knox, High Performance Liquid Chromatography, Edinburgh University Press, 1978.
- 4. V. D'Atri, S. Fekete, A. Beck, M. Lauber, D. Guillarme, Anal. Chem. 89, 2086 – 2092 (2017).
- 5. B. Kükrer, V. Filipe, E. van Duijn, P. T. Kasper, R. J. Vreeken, A. J. R. Heck, W. Jiskoot, Pharm. Res. 27, 2197-2204 (2010).
- 6. C. J. Roberts, Trends Biotechnol. 32, 372-380 (2014).
- 7. J. Vlasak, R. Ionescu, Mabs 3, 253-263 (2011).
- 8. A. Eon-Duval, H. Broly, R. Gleixner, Biotechnol. Prog. 28, 608-622 (2012).
- 9. B. Demeule, R. Gurny, T. Arvinte, Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 62, 121-130 (2006).
- W. Jiskoot, T. W. Randolph, D. B. Volkin, C. R. Middaugh, C. Schöneich, G. Winter, W.Friess, D. J. A. Crommelin, J. F. Carpenter, J. Pharm. Sci. 101, 946-954 (2012).

Product List

| Description | Cat. No. |
|---|--|
| HPLC columns | |
| TSKgel® UP-SW3000 HPLC column, phase diol, L \times I.D. 30 cm \times 4.6 mm, 2 μm particle size | 80023448 |
| Solvents & reagents | |
| Ultrapure water from Milli-Q [®] IQ 7 series water purification system or LiChrosolv [®] UHPLC-MS grade water | Milli-Q [®] IQ 7000 or 1.03728 |
| Methanol for analysis | 1.06009 |
| Potassium di-hydrogen phosphate | 1.04873 |
| di-Potassium hydrogen phosphate | 1.05101 |
| Potassium chloride | 1.04936 |
| Phosphoric acid 85% | 49685 |
| Potassium hydroxide solution 47% | 1.05545 |
| Instruments & consumables | |
| Eppendorf ThermoMixer [®] F1.5 | EP5384000012 |
| Mettler Toledo SevenCompact [™] pH meter S210 | MT30130863 |
| Vials, amber glass, volume 2 mL | 27344 |
| Bottle-top filter unit, pore size 0.2 µm | Z377422 |
| Pipette 0.5-10 µL | EP4924000223 |
| Pipette 10-100 µL | EP4861000716-1EA |
| Pipette 100-1000 µL | EP4924000282 |
| Pipette 500-5000 µL | EP4924000304 |
| Pipette tips 0,1-20 µL box | Z640204 |
| Pipette tips 2-200 µL box | Z640220 |
| Pipette tips 50-1000 µL box | Z640247 |
| Pipette tips 100-5000 µL box | Z640271 |

oligonucleotide analysis

Oligonucleotides

Therapeutic oligonucleotides, including antisense oligonucleotides, aptamers, and short interfering RNAs (siRNA), have emerged as a promising class of drugs with the potential to treat a wide variety of diseases, including cancer, genetic disorders, and viral infections. However, the development and manufacturing of oligonucleotide-based therapeutics require strict quality control measures to ensure their efficacy, safety, and regulatory compliance. In this regard, HPLC and LC-MS techniques play a critical role in the analysis and characterization of oligonucleotide-based therapeutics. These techniques enable the detection, quantification, and identification of impurities, degradation products, and variants of the oligonucleotide, thereby ensuring the purity, consistency, and stability of the drug product. HPLC and LC-MS have become essential tools in the development and manufacturing of oligonucleotide-based therapeutics, enabling accurate and precise quality control and facilitating regulatory approval.



Analysis of Oligonucleotides by SEC-MALS

Presented application data courtesy of Tosoh Bioscience

Anders Fridström, Analytical Scientific Liaison Manager

Abstract

The importance of oligonucleotides in the generation of new pharmaceuctical therapies has been increasing in recent years with 10 FDA approved therapies in 2020.¹ This emerging field of therapies often requires improved or alternative analytical methods to accelerate development and assure the safety of the drug. This article describes the ability of ultrahigh performance size exclusion chromatography to distinguish N and N-1 oligonucleotide species.

Introduction

In recent years, several oligonucleotide drugs for gene silencing, such as short interfering RNA (siRNA) and antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) have been approved and microRNA (miRNA) and aptamers are being developed as therapeutic platforms. The promising CRISPR-Cas system also requires a specific RNA moiety - guiding RNA - to recruit and direct the Cas nuclease activity.

Therapeutic oligonucleotides are produced through a synthetic, solid-phase chemical synthesis. Despite improvements in oligonucleotide synthesis, and despite the most ardent post-synthesis clean-up, there is always some heterogeneity with regards to oligonucleotide distribution. Monitoring of impurities in this distribution is a fundamental aspect of process and quality control. This fundamental assessment is typically done by capillary gel electrophoresis (CGE) or anion exchange chromatography. Here, we present the ability of size exclusion chromatography (SEC) to discriminate oligonucleotides differing by one base in length. The 2 µm silica-based stationary phase, TSKgel[®] UP-SW2000, with a pore size of 125 Å, was used in combination with UHPLC and UHPLC-MALS systems.²

Analysis of Oligonucleotides by SEC²

TSKgel® UP-SW2000 is a recently developed silicabased 2 µm, 125 Å pore size SEC column designed for the separation of small proteins, peptides, and oligonucleotides. The column can be used both in HPLC and UHPLC systems and is ideally suited for method transfer from conventional silica-based size exclusion columns to UHPLC technology. Two, 30 cm TSKgel® UP-SW2000 columns in series were used to analyze a mixture of two oligonucleotides differing by only one base.

Materials and Method

| Columns: | TSKgel® UP-SW2000, 2 x 300 x 4.6 mm I.D., 2 μm (823514) |
|---------------|--|
| Mobile phase: | [A] 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.7, [B] 300 mM sodium chloride, 0.03% W/V sodium azide |
| Flow rate: | 0.2 mL/min |
| Detection: | UV, 260 nm |
| Injection: | 10 µL |
| Sample: | 19-mer (5'-AATTCATCGGTTCAGAGAC-3') & 20-mer(5'-GAATTCATCGGTTCAGAGAC-3') |

Results

Figure 1 demonstrates that UP-SW2000 can be used to separate a 20-mer and its N-1 19-mer.



Figure 1. Separation of N and N-1 Oligonucleotides.

SEC-MALS Analysis of Oligonucleotides²

Crude and purified oligonucleotide samples were analyzed by SEC-MALS using $\text{LenS}_3^{\ensuremath{\circledast}}$ multi-angle light scattering detector.

Materials and Method

| Instrument: | Thermo Fisher Dionex TM Ultimate 3000 UHPLC system with LenS ₃ ® MALS |
|-----------------|---|
| Column: | TSKgel® UP-SW2000 300 x 4.6 mm I.D., 2 μm (823514) |
| Mobile phase: | 0.5 M Sodium chloride, 0.1 M EDTA, pH 7.5; 0.1 M sodium sulfate; 0.03% w/v sodium azide in 0.1 M phosphate buffer |
| Flow rate: | 0.3 mL/min |
| Column temp: | Room temperature |
| Detection: | UV, 260 nm |
| Injection vol.: | 10 μL |
| Sample: | 20 Bases custom oligonucleotide with MW= 6141 Da (purified sample 0.3 mg/mL; crude sample 1 mg/mL) |

Results

Figure 2 shows the comparison of chromatograms of the crude and purified oligonucleotide samples and Figure 3 shows the molecular weight distribution of the unpurified 20-mer. The molecular weight trace clearly indicates the presence of higher and lower molecular weight impurities.



Retention time (minutes)





The peak analysis (**Figure 4** and **Table 1**) allows a molecular weight profiling of the product and the impurities. The MALS analysis of the purified sample (**Figure 5**) proves the high purity of the 20-mer oligonucleotide. The good reproducibility of retention time and calculated molecular weight of the purified 20-mer is shown in **Table 2** (triplicate injection).



Retention time (minutes)

Figure 4. Peak analysis of the unpurified 20-mer.

Table 1. Molecular weight profiling

| Peak | Retention time | % RSD | MW (Da) | % RSD |
|------|----------------|-------|---------|-------|
| 1 | 9.774 | 0.1% | 13,599 | 2.1% |
| 2 | 10.012 | 0.0% | 11,550 | 1.9% |
| 3 | 10.398 | 0.1% | 6,398 | 0.7% |
| 4 | 10.776 | 0.1% | 5,751 | 1.5% |
| 5 | 11.053 | 0.1% | 5,177 | 2.3% |
| 6 | 11.422 | 0.2% | 4,446 | 5.5% |





Figure 3. Molecular weight distribution (green) of the unpurified 20-mer.

Table 2. Reproducibility of retention time

| Injection | Retention time (Min) | MW (Da) |
|-----------|----------------------|---------|
| 1 | 10.431 | 6.066 |
| 2 | 10.443 | 6.023 |
| 3 | 10.445 | 6.038 |
| Average | 10.440 | 6.042 |
| % RSD | 0.1% | 0.3% |

Conclusion

TSKgel[®] UP-SW2000 is a size exclusion column designed for UHPLC analysis of biomolecules having molecular weight of 1 to 150 kDa. The separation range is ideally suited to analyze small proteins or peptides and their aggregates.

This study shows that this column can also be used to analyze oligonucleotides by (U)HPLC. Multi-angle light scattering detection delivers additional information on the molecular weight of the oligonucleotide and any impurities present in the sample.

Learn more about our HPLC portfolio at SigmaAldrich.com/HPLC

Featured Product

| Description | Cat. No. |
|---|----------|
| TSKgel [®] UP-SW2000, phase diol, 300 \times 4.6 mm, 2 μ m | 823514 |

Related Products

| Description | Cat. No. |
|---|----------|
| EDTA disodium salt suitable for HPLC, LiChropur™, 99.0-101.0% (KT) | 79884 |
| Potassium dihydrogen phosphate anhydrous for HPLC LiChropur™ | 5.43841 |
| Sodium azide, purum p.a., ≥99.0% (T) | 71290 |
| Sodium chloride for HPLC LiChropur™ | 5.43832 |
| di-Sodium hydrogen phosphate anhydrous for HPLC LiChropur™ | 5.43838 |
| Sodium sulfate suitable for HPLC LiChropur [™] , 99.0-101.0% (T) | 80948 |
| Sodium azide, purum p.a., ≥99.0% (T) | 71290 |

References

- Roberts, T.C.; Langer R.; Wood, J. A. Advances in oligonucleotide Drug Delivery. Nature Reviews, Drug Discovery, volume 19, 673–694 (2020) https://www.nature.com/articles/s41573-020-0075-7
- 2. Tosoh Application note, Analysis of Oligonucleotides by SEC-MALS https://www.separations.eu.tosohbioscience.com/OpenPDF. aspx?path=~/File%20Library/TBG/Products%20Download/ Application%20Note/an0008a-g.pdf

Custom & Predesigned DNA Oligos and qPCR Probes

Providing Oligo manufacturing expertise for more than 35 years

- Variety of amounts (up to gram quantities), purifications, modifications and formats
- DNA in supplied in 96 and 384 well plates including mixed forward and reverse primers per well
- Several qPCR probe chemistries with a variety of reporter/quencher options
- Excellence in difficult constructs
- Manufacturing under ISO 9001 and 13485 quality systems
- Proprietary in-house engineered manufacturing platforms

Learn more: SigmaAldrich.com/oligos



Analysis of Oligonucleotide Standard 6 Mix by Liquid Chromatography-UV

Jessie Zhixin Miao¹, Geoffrey Rule², Cory Muraco², Uma Sreenivasan¹

¹ MilliporeSigma, Round Rock, TX, USA ² MilliporeSigma, Bellefonte, PA, USA



Introduction

With the COVID-19 pandemic, oligonucleotides (Oligos) have proven their importance in diagnostic and therapeutic applications. Currently, 11 oligonucleotide drugs crossing many disease areas have been approved by the FDA.^{1, 2} Obstacles preventing quicker development of oligonucleotide therapeutics include the challenges of unfavorable absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity (ADMET) studies for many clinical trials.² Some strategies have been developed to tackle the challenges, such as chemical modification to improve drug delivery.

Synthetic oligonucleotides are typically small, singleor double-stranded modified nucleic acids.² There are many established techniques to analyze and characterize oligonucleotides, including capillary gel electrophoresis (CGE), ion exchange chromatography (IEX), and ion pair reversed phase liquid chromatography (IP-RPLC). Generally, liquid chromatography of Oligos is very challenging due to the similarity of oligonucleotide structures, very polar characteristics, presence of truncated and/or modified Oligos, ease of self-association into a variety of conformations, and affinity for metal surfaces.^{1,2} This application describes the separation of an internally produced oligonucleotide standard (Oligo Standard 6) mix, which includes six oligonucleotides, on Supelco® Chromolith® RP-18e columns.

General Procedures

Oligo Standard 6 is an internal (in-house) system suitability mix for HPLC-UV evaluation of oligonucleotide separations. The standard contains six components with molecular weights of 3588.3 Da (Oligo 1), 4157.93 Da (Oligo 2), 7580.83 Da (Oligo 3), 10014.35 Da (Oligo 4), 6116.97 Da (Oligo 5), and 4395.8 Da (Oligo 6) following their elution order on Chromolith® RP-18e columns tested here.

Reagent Preparation

50 mM of Triethylammonium Acetate (TEAA)

To prepare 1 L of 50 mM TEAA, 50 mL of TEAA (commercial 1 M solution) was added into 950 mL of HPLC grade water and mixed well.

20 mM of Triethylammonium Acetate (TEAA)

To prepare 1 L of 20 mM TEAA, 20 mL of TEAA (commercial 1 M solution) was added into 980 mL of HPLC grade water and mixed well.

5 mM of Triethylammonium Acetate (TEAA)

To prepare 1 L of 5 mM TEAA, 5 mL of TEAA (commercial 1 M solution) was added into 995 mL of HPLC grade water and mixed well.

Sample Preparation

5 µM of Oligo Standard 6 sample

1 mL of HPLC grade water was added into the sample vial which contains 5 nmol each of the six Oligo components and mixed well.

HPLC-UV System Setup and Data Analysis

Essential settings of the HPLC-UV chromatography system for analysis of Oligo Standard 6 are listed in **Table 1** below.

Table 1. HPLC-UV general system settings.

| Agilent 1260 Infinity II |
|--|
| Agilent ChemStation |
| Chromolith® RP-18e, 100 x 4.6 mm; Chromolith® High Resolution RP-18e, 100 x 2.0 mm/50 x 2.0 mm |
| 25 °C; 40 °C |
| 5 °C |
| 5–50 mM TEAA |
| Acetonitrile |
| 0.4–3 mL/min |
| 5 μL |
| 12 min |
| UV; 260 nm |
| |

Results and Discussion

With the linkage of phosphate groups, oligonucleotides tend to stick to metal surfaces present in stainless steel column hardware and the LC system, resulting in reduced sensitivity and inaccurate quantitation. Researchers have made a variety of efforts to mitigate this adsorption inside instrumentation, such as treatment of the system with EDTA, high pH mobile phase, or utilizing bio-inert HPLC system components.³ Conventional HPLC columns are typically packed in metal columns, exposing the metal surfaces with positive charge which can adsorb acidic molecules, such as oligonucleotides containing phosphate groups. Chromolith[®] HPLC columns are made of highly porous monolithic rods of silica, with an innovative bimodal pore structure and packed in metal-free PEEK (polyetheretherketone) columns, which make it a good candidate for oligonucleotide analysis.

Chromolith® RP-18e, 100 x 4.6 mm Column

Flow Rate Test

To improve separation efficiencies, the particle size of packing material is usually reduced. Currently, conventional HPLC columns contain 5, 3, 2, and even sub 2 μ m silica particles.⁴ However, the smaller particle size will cause higher back pressure affecting the assay throughput, robustness, and column lifetime. The optimal solution is to use a column that offers faster throughput without the risk of high back pressure. Since Chromolith[®] is not packed with silica particles, but a single rod of high-purity, polymeric silica gel, the unique construction enables highly efficient separations at accelerated speeds, which is ideal for high throughput analysis.⁴



Figure 1. Oligo Standard 6 separation on Chromolith[®] RP-18e, 100 x 4.6 mm column at flow rates: a) 2 mL/min; b) 3 mL/min with a gradient of 5% B to 15 % B in 10 minutes. Mobile phase A: 50 mM TEAA in water; Mobile phase B: acetonitrile. Note: injection volume for 2 mL/min is 20 μ L and 5 μ L for 3 mL/min.

Figure 1 shows the separation of Oligo Standard 6 on a Chromolith[®] RP-18e column under flow rates of 2 mL/min and 3 mL/min with only 25 pmol on column injection for each oligonucleotide. 50 mM of TEAA was used as mobile phase A and acetonitrile as mobile phase B with a gradient of 5% B ramping to 15% B in 10 minutes. The typical back pressure at 2 mL/min and 3 mL/min is 30–50 bar which is beneficial for high throughput assays.

Ion-Pairing Additive Concentration Test

In the qualitative and quantitative analysis of oligonucleotide impurities, ion-pair reversed phase liquid chromatography has been the dominant technique. The ion-pairing reagents added in mobile phase are typically several alkylammonium salts which are adsorbed on the column sorbent with the positive charges exposed to interact with the negatively charged oligonucleotides. Triethylammonium acetate (TEAA) is one of the commonly used ion-paring reagents in LC-UV analysis of oligonucleotides. Optimizing ion-pairing additive concentration is important to achieve efficient separation while minimizing cost from additive consumption. In this work, optimization of TEAA concentration was conducted.



50 mM TEAA

| Peak ID | RT (min) | Peak Area | Peak Height | Resolution (USP) |
|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------------|
| Oligo 1 | 6.051 | 113.191 | 32.336 | |
| Oligo 2 | 7.232 | 45.290 | 11.023 | 12.549 |
| Oligo 3 | 8.476 | 153.993 | 45.496 | 13.167 |
| Oligo 4 | 8.647 | 136.388 | 38.111 | 1.938 |
| Oligo 5 | 9.058 | 205.826 | 50.765 | 4.293 |
| Oligo 6 | 10.964 | 142.741 | 30.822 | 17.499 |



20 mM TEAA

| Peak ID | RT (min) | Peak Area | Peak Height | Resolution (USP) |
|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------------|
| Oligo 1 | 5.418 | 110.992 | 29.261 | |
| Oligo 2 | 6.916 | 44.280 | 10.811 | 15.225 |
| Oligo 3 | 7.780 | 158.234 | 42.434 | 8.820 |
| Oligo 4 | 7.969 | 145.570 | 35.631 | 1.917 |
| Oligo 5 | 8.263 | 215.256 | 39.189 | 2.522 |
| Oligo 6 | 9.835 | 144.133 | 34.367 | 13.334 |



| • | | | | |
|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------------|
| Peak ID | RT (min) | Peak Area | Peak Height | Resolution (USP) |
| Oligo 1 | 3.942 | 121.392 | 17.759 | |
| Oligo 2 | 5.865 | 40.805 | 5.964 | 10.811 |
| Oligo 3 | 6.163 | 157.698 | 32.526 | 1.925 |
| Oligo 4/5 | 6.517 | 368.327 | 12.332 | 0.749 |
| Oligo 6 | 7.685 | 143.739 | 28.318 | 2.462 |

Figure 2. Oligo Standard 6 separation on Chromolith® RP-18e, 100 x 4.6 mm column with different TEAA concentration in mobile phase A: a) 50 mM TEAA; b) 20 mM TEAA; and c) 5 mM TEAA. Resolution is calculated between each two adjacent peaks.

Figure 2 shows the different concentrations of TEAA tested in mobile phase A with acetonitrile as mobile phase B in the separation. Five microliters of Oligo Standard 6 sample was injected on a Chromolith® RP-18e, 100 x 4.6 mm column at a flow rate of 1 mL/min with a gradient of 8% B to 15% B in 10 minutes for each test. With 50 mM of TEAA in mobile phase A, the oligonucleotides were well separated with the retention time as indicated in Figure 2. When the TEAA concentration was lowered to 20 mM, Oligo 1 to 6 eluted in the same order but with less retention on column. With the exception of Oligos 1 and 2, the resolution between each peak pair is seen to be lower as well. When TEAA concentration was further lowered to 5 mM, Oligos 4 and 5 were not separated which indicates the ion-pairing strength is not high enough to separate these two oligonucleotides. Comparing the peak heights of the six Oligos under the three different TEAA concentrations, 50 mM TEAA produced the highest peak height as shown in the table in Figure 2. Therefore, the ion-pairing additive concentration needs to be optimized based on the characteristics of the oligonucleotides.

Chromolith® High Resolution RP-18e Column

The Chromolith[®] High Resolution column possesses 1.15 μ m macropores compared with 2 μ m on the standard Chromolith[®] column. This modification results in higher separation efficiency and better peak shape. Although this creates higher back pressure, it is still less than half that of any particulate column of similar efficiency.⁴



Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18e, 100 x 2.0 mm-3 µL injection

| Peak ID | RT (min) | Peak Area | Peak Height | Resolution (USP) |
|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------------|
| Oligo 1 | 6.337 | 102.148 | 27.621 | |
| Oligo 2 | 7.568 | 50.707 | 10.807 | 11.691 |
| Oligo 3 | 8.781 | 167.619 | 65.201 | 13.279 |
| Oligo 4 | 9.072 | 150.772 | 47.522 | 3.972 |
| Oligo 5 | 9.539 | 228.983 | 53.013 | 4.936 |
| Oligo 6 | 11.327 | 159.908 | 39.979 | 17.292 |

Figure 3. Oligo Standard 6 separation on Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18e, 100 x 2.0 mm column. Mobile phase A: 50 mM TEAA in water, Mobile phase B: acetonitrile; gradient: 8% B to 15% B in 10 minutes at flow rate of 0.4 mL/min, column temperature: 40 °C.

k Height - Recolution (USP)

Here, 3 μ L of Oligo Standard 6 sample was injected onto the Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18e, 100 x 2.0 mm column at 0.4 mL/min with a gradient of 8% B to 15% B in 10 minutes. **Figure 3** is an overlay of three injections showing consistent retention and response. 50 mM TEAA concentration was used as mobile phase A and acetonitrile was mobile phase B. Resolution between Oligo 4 and 5 is 4.936. A shorter column of Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18e, 50 x 2 mm was compared with the same conditions with 5 μ L of injection volume used in **Figure 3**. As shown in **Figure 4**, on a 50 x 2 mm column, all six oligonucleotides were eluted within 10 minutes with the resolution between Oligo 4 and 5 of 3.921. Thus, Chromolith[®] HR RP-18e column is capable of oligonucleotide analysis using LC-MS compatible flow rates.



Chromolith® High Resolution RP-18e, 50 x 2.0 mm $-5~\mu L$ injection

| Peak ID | RT (min) | Peak Area | Peak Height | Resolution (USP) |
|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|------------------|
| Oligo 1 | 5.343 | 261.641 | 56.765 | |
| Oligo 2 | 6.794 | 106.792 | 23.310 | 12.458 |
| Oligo 3 | 7.618 | 357.882 | 90.331 | 7.641 |
| Oligo 4 | 8.292 | 316.582 | 61.032 | 5.746 |
| Oligo 5 | 8.836 | 479.91 | 84.329 | 3.921 |
| Oligo 6 | 9.625 | 307.511 | 84.625 | 6.631 |

Figure 4. Oligo Standard 6 separation on Chromolith[®] High Resolution RP-18e, 50 x 2.0 mm column. Mobile phase A: 50 mM TEAA in water, Mobile phase B: acetonitrile; gradient: 8% B to 15% B in 10 minutes at flow rate of 0.4 mL/min, column temperature: 40 °C.

Conclusion

In this application note, the separation of Oligo Standard 6, an internally created HPLC-UV system suitability mix, was demonstrated on Chromolith® and Chromolith® High Resolution RP-18e columns. Flow rates up to 3 mL/min were evaluated on a Chromolith® column with excellent separation of the six Oligos indicating that it is ideal for high throughput assays. The results of the ion-pairing reagent optimization experiments indicate that 50 mM TEAA provides the best separation and sensitivity for Oligo Standard 6. Separation of Oligo Standard 6 on Chromolith[®] High Resolution column with flow rate of 0.4 mL/min produced better resolution of Oligo 4 and 5 compared to 3 mL/min method on Chromolith[®] column, with resolution (USP) of 3.9 vs 1.9. This result demonstrates that Chromolith® High Resolution column is suitable for oligonucleotide analysis by LC-MS with mass spectrometer favorable flow rates tested here. In addition, the polymeric column housing can be used as part of a metal free, or bio-inert HPLC system.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Pierre Potier for providing the Standard 6 oligonucleotide mix and for technical support.

References

- Roberts, T.C.; Langer, R.; Wood, J. A. Advances in oligonucleotide drug delivery. Nature Reviews, Drug Discovery, volume 19, 673–694 (2020).
- Hammond, S.M.; Aartsma-Rus, A.; Alves, S. et al. Delivery of oligonucleotide-based therapeutics: challenges and opportunities. EMBO Molecular Medicine 13: e13243 (2021).
- Gilar M.; DeLano M.; Gritti F. Mitigation of analyte loss on metal surfaces in liquid chromatography. J. Chrom. A 1650 (2021) 462247
- 4. Chromolith[®] HPLC columns brochure-pb6401, Race through separations with revolutionary technology.

Product List

| Description | Cat. No. |
|---|------------------|
| HPLC columns | |
| Chromolith [®] HPLC column RP-18e, L × I.D. 100 mm × 4.6 mm | 1.02129.0001 |
| Chromolith® HPLC column HR RP-18e, L \times I.D. 100 mm \times 2.0 mm | 1.52322.0001 |
| Chromolith® HPLC column HR RP-18e, L \times I.D. 50 mm \times 2.0 mm | 1.52321.0001 |
| Chemicals & reagents | |
| Triethylammonium Acetate, 1 M Solution | 90358 |
| Water, HPLC-Grade | 270733 |
| Acetonitrile, HPLC-Grade | 900667 |
| Instruments & consumables | |
| Eppendorf ThermoMixer [®] F1.5 | EP5384000012 |
| Vials, amber glass, volume 2 mL | 27344 |
| Pipette 0.5-10 µL | EP4924000223 |
| Pipette 10-100 µL | EP4861000716-1EA |
| Pipette 100-1000 µL | EP4924000282 |
| Pipette tips 0.1–20 µL box | Z640204 |
| Pipette tips 2–200 µL box | Z640220 |
| Pipette tips 50–1000 µL box | Z640247 |

Tools for successful biopharmaceutical analysis

U/HPLC Columns for Biochromatography Applications

With an unrivaled selection of High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) columns, we cater to every type of biochromatography application, empowering scientists and researchers to achieve unparalleled results. Whether you are exploring peptide mapping, intact analysis, or any other biological analysis, our cutting-edge HPLC columns deliver exceptional separation, sensitivity, and reliability. Backed by decades of scientific expertise and innovation, trust the Supelco[®] brand to elevate your research to new heights.

BIOshell[™] UHPLC columns provide maximum resolution of proteins, peptides, oligonucleotides, and other large biomolecules. Based on superficially porous particle (SPP) technology, the BIOshell[™] line of columns offers higher efficiency than fully porous particle (FPP) packed columns. To see the complete range of BIOshell[™] columns, please click **here**



Chromolith[®] HPLC columns are based on a unique monolithic architecture that is resistant to matrix components due to large macropores in the silica skeleton. The large molecule version, Chromolith[®] WP, is compatible for biomolecules as the mesopores are 300 Å in diameter.

Learn more about Chromolith® columns by clicking here



Mesopores: Average pore size is 13 nm for Chromolith[®], 15 nm for Chromolith[®] HR, and 30 nm for Chromolith[®] WP 300.

Forms a fine porous structure with a large, uniform surface area on which adsorption takes place, thus enabling high-performance chromatographic separation. Macropores: Average pore size is 1.5 µm for Chromolith® 2 mm I.D. , 1.15 µm for Chromolith® HR, and 2 µm for all others. Allows rapid flow of the mobile phase at low back pressure.

Though not large molecules, per se, glycans are essential components of mAb-based biotherapeutics. Historically, two approaches were employed in analyzing these compounds, HILIC or RPC with a porous graphitic carbon (PGC) column. The Supel[™] Carbon LC column is a U/HPLC compatible PGC phase capable of resolving glycans, without derivatization, using conventional RPC conditions. To learn more about Supel[™] Carbon LC, click **here**, and to download an app note on glycan analysis using this column, click **here**.





* Tosoh Bioscience columns are available in select countries.

Biopharma Reference Materials

In the biopharma industry, reference materials are used for quality control, method validation, and calibration of instruments, among others. These products serve as tools to ensure consistency and accuracy in the manufacturing processes of biopharmaceuticals. Using reference materials and CRMs allow for reliable and reproducible results, which are critical for ensuring product safety and efficacy.

Monoclonal Antibody Reference Materials

- Method Performance mAbs from USP and NIST
- SILu[™]MAb for Mass Spectrometry

Extractables & Leachables

- Standard mix for GC
- Standard mix for LC

Antibiotics & Media Constituents

- Antibiotics
- Amino Acids
- Vitamins



Secondary Biopharmaceutical Certified Reference Materials

- Human Insulin PHR8925
- Teriparatide PHR8659
- Heparin sodium PHR8925

Chromatography Performance Mixes

- Protein Standard Mix 15 600 kDa 69385
- Oligonucleotide HPLC Performance Standard Mix - PHR8687

Excipients

• Polysorbate 80 – PHR3790

Physical Properties

- Ultraviolet/visible spectroscopy (UV/VIS)
- Conductivity
- Turbidity
- Particle size
- Density
- Flash Point
- Refractive Index
- Viscosity

Explore the interactive links above or the complete portfolio of Reference Materials and Certified Reference Materials at **SigmaAldrich.com**

Appendix – Additional Content For Your Consideration

Additional Applications

Workflow for Antibody Aggregate and Fragment Analysis

Featuring comparison of heat-stressed vs non-heat stressed antibodies; SEC-UV analysis on a TSKgel $^{\mbox{\tiny B}}$ UP-SW3000 HPLC Column

Peptide Mapping LC-MS/MS Analysis Workflow for Adalimumab

Featuring low artifact formation digestion buffer and LC-MS analysis on Ascentis $^{\circledast}$ Express Peptide ES-C18 columns

To place an order or receive technical assistance

Order/Customer Service: SigmaAldrich.com/order Technical Service: SigmaAldrich.com/techservice SigmaAldrich.com

We have built a unique collection of life science brands with unrivalled experience in supporting your scientific advancements. Millipore: Sigma-Aldrich: Supelco: Milli-Q: SAFC: BioReliance:

© 2024 Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany and/or its affiliates. All Rights Reserved. MilliporeSigma, the vibrant M, BioReliance, Millipore, Milli-Q, SAFC, Sigma-Aldrich, Supelco, ProteoExtract, Seppro, Amicon, Millex, Supelclean, ZipTip, CelLytic, ProteoPrep, BIOShell, LiChrosolv, LiChropur, Microcon, Ultracel, Chromolith, Ascentis, Titripur, Proteomix, Cerilliant, OmniTrace, Multiscreen, EX-CELL, VirusExpress, SILu, SigmaMAb, CHOZN, SigmaProt, Stericup, Multiscreen, SeQuant, Visiprep, Discovery, and ZIC are trademarks of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany or its affiliates. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. Detailed information on trademarks is available via publicity accessible resources.

MS_AG12836EN Ver. 1.0 53042 05/2024 MilliporeSigma 400 Summit Drive Burlington, MA 01803

SigmaAldrich.com